Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth



2(1): 34-43, 2019; Article no.AJPCB.49386

# Birth Preparedness and Complication Readiness among Pregnant Women Attending Selected Health Centres in Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

Deborah Tolulope Esan<sup>1\*</sup>, Ayodeji Akinwande Fasoro<sup>2</sup>, Oluwaseun Adebimpe Anifowose<sup>1</sup> and Elizabeth Funmilayo Ojo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing Science, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author DTE designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author AAF and Author OAA managed the analyses of the study. Author EFO managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Article Information

Received 06 March 2019 Accepted 24 May 2019

Published 03 June 2019

(1) Dr. Oslinah Buru Tagutanazvo, Department of Midwifery, University of Swaziland, Swaziland. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Shigeki Matsubara, Jichi Medical University, Japan. (2) Sivalingam Nalliah, International Medical University, Malaysia. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49386</u>

**Original Research Article** 

# ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** This study assessed birth preparedness and complication readiness (BP/CR) and its associated factors among pregnant woman attending selected health care facilities in Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti state, Nigeria.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study design was employed. Two hundred and six pregnant women were randomly selected from four health care facilities. Data were analysed using descriptive, binary and multiple logistic regression analysis. The level of significance was set at P <0.05. **Results:** The overall prevalence of BP/CR was 70.6% (n = 125). About 149 (81%) already identified a place of delivery, 114 (62%) identified skilled birth attendant, and 160 (87%) saved money for delivery. However only 30 (16.3%) of the respondents made arrangement for blood donor in case of emergency. Religion, parity, and knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs

\*Corresponding author: Email: esandt@abuad.edu.ng;

were significantly associated with the extent of BP/CR practice. Being a Christian (AOR = 6.15, 95% CI: 1.65 - 22.97) and having knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs (AOR = 5.80, 95% CI: 1.81 - 18.56) were significant predictors of good BP/CR practices. **Conclusions:** Health care providers should stress the importance of identifying blood donor in case of emergency and antenatal clinics should be goal - and client-oriented and time effective. BP/CR should be made an integral part of maternal and child health services as the occurrences of complications during the process of childbirth are unpredictable.

Keywords: Birth preparedness; complication readiness; pregnant women.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Globally, birth preparedness and complication readiness (BP/CR) has been endorsed as an essential component of safe motherhood programmes [1]. A BP/CR plan includes: identification of the preferred skilled birth attendant; funds required for birth related and emergency expenses; preferred place of birth; support in looking after the home and children; a birth companion; transport to health facility; transport in the case of an obstetric emergency; and identification of a compatible blood donor in case of emergency [2]. However, BP/CR has not been easy to achieve particularly in developing countries where most people live on less than US \$1 per day [2]. Most health facilities are quite a distance to residential areas in developing countries. Even if vehicles are available, poor roads and epileptic electricity supply may still cause delays that could put the life of any pregnant woman at risk of death.

Maternal mortality has remained a major global public health concern especially in developing countries where it is reported that 99% of these deaths occur [3]. In 2013, it was reported that 289,000 maternal deaths occurred about worldwide and about 62% of these deaths occurred in Sub-Saharan Africa where Nigeria belongs [4]. The 2013 report of the Nigeria demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) puts the Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) at 576 deaths per 100,000 live births [5]. This is estimated to account for 13% of the global maternal deaths. The report revealed that approximately six women die per 1000 live births during pregnancy, during childbirth or within two months of childbirth [5]. Despite efforts by governments to improve maternal health, low BP/CR have been reported in most developing countries such as 47.8% among pregnant women in Indora, India [6], 23% in Ghana [7], 17% in Ethiopia [8], 34.9% [9] and 40.3% [10] in Nigeria.

The essence of BP/CR is because every pregnant woman is at risk of developing life-

threatening obstetric complications that could lead to maternal mortality. It is impossible to predict who among pregnant women will develop complications that would lead to death or injury of the mother, foetus and/or infant, hence the importance of BP/CR [2]. Care received from a skilled healthcare provider during childbirth has been identified as the single most important factor for safe delivery [11,12]. This study therefore assessed birth preparedness and complication readiness and its associated factors among pregnant woman attending antenatal clinics in Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti state, Nigeria.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 Study Area

The study took place in four different health facilities within Ado-Ekiti, the capital of Ekiti State. Ado-Ekiti is an urban area situated in the Yoruba-speaking South-western region of Nigeria. The health institutions studied include: Universitv Teaching Ekiti State Hospital (EKSUTH), Okeyinmi Comprehensive Primary Health Care Centre, Odo-Ado Primary Health Care Centre and Oke-Oniyo Basic Health Care Centre.

# 2.2 Study Design

The study design used was cross sectional. The study was carried out from November 2016 to February 2017. The inclusion criteria were pregnant women, attending antenatal clinics at the health facilities, at least two months of current pregnancy, domiciled in the study area and voluntary participation. Non-residents, mentally disabled, visually impaired and auditory impaired women were excluded from the study.

# 2.3 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The sample size calculation was determined by using a single population proportion formula for cross sectional study.

$$n = \frac{Z^2_{1 - \alpha/2} P(1 - P)}{d^2}$$

Z is the value of standard normal variable at 95% confidence interval, P is the prevalence of birth preparedness and complication readiness from a study and d is the marginal error which is 5%.

n = sample size,  $Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 = 1.96$ , P = 40% [10], d = 0.05 n = 188 n = 188 + (10% non-response) n = 206

The estimated sample size needed for this study after adjusting for the non-respondents that may be encountered was 206 participants.

The health clinics were randomly selected from the list of government health facilities in Ado-Ekiti. The health facilities were visited on the antenatal clinic days. The participants were recruited using each health facility's record book as sampling frame in order to make a random selection of participants and to avoid selection bias. A proportionate allocation was done to select the required number of participants from each health facility.

# 2.4 Instrument of Data Collection

A validated semi-structured questionnaire was used for data collection. The questionnaire was adapted from the survey tools developed by JHPIEGO [1], consisting of three sections and was in English language. Section A assessed the socio-demographic profile; section B assessed the obstetric history and BP/CR practice, while section C assessed the respondents' knowledge BP/CR. Socio-demographic of variables measured included age, ethnicity, educational level, marital status and religion. Six variables were used to assess the extent of BP/CR practice among the respondents. These six variables were selected after extensive literature review. The questions asked included: Identified place of delivery; transportation to health facility for delivery; identified skilled birth attendant; saved money for delivery; made arrangement for someone who will stay with family members: and made arrangement for blood donor in case of emergency. The content and face validity of the questionnaire were ascertained by experts in the fields of reproductive health and epidemiology. The questionnaire was pretested among 10 (5% of the sample size) pregnant women from another health facility. The items on BP/CR practices were scored with the total score ranging from zero to six. A woman was considered to have good BP/CR if she practised at least four out of the six BP/CR indicators. Scores below four were classified as poor BP/CR. Each respondent was informed about the purpose of the study and was given instruction on how to complete the questionnaire. Nursing students of Afe Babalola University were employed and trained on how to administer the questionnaire. The questionnaire took about 10 minutes for it to be completed by the respondents and was self-administered.

## 2.5 Data Analysis

The questionnaires checked were for completeness and consistencies. Data were then coded, entered, cleaned and analysed using SPSS version 21.0. Descriptive statistics were presented in frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables were presented in their means, odd ratio with their 95% confidence intervals (CI). Bivariate analyses to assess factors associated with BP/CR were done using Pearson Chi-Squared test and Fishers exact test. A multiple logistic regression analysis was also done to identify factors that predict BP/CR while controlling for possible confounders. The level of significance was set at 5%.

#### 3. RESULTS

A total of 206 questionnaires were administered. Only 184 were recovered giving a response rate of 89.3%. The mean age of the respondents was 29.70 years (95% CI: 28.95 – 30.44). The ages of the women ranged from 20 – 44 years. The socio-demographic profile of the respondents (Table 1) shows that majority of the respondents were between the ages of 20 – 29 years (46.7%), married (96.2%), Yoruba (85.9%), Christians (89.1%) and obtained a tertiary institution qualification (61.4%).

Table 2 shows the obstetric history of the respondents. Approximately 66% of the respondents' gestational age was five months and above. Only 12% reported ever having miscarriage and 5.4% had complications in their previous deliveries. In a bid to assess the BP/CR of the respondents, Table 3 shows that 81.0% had identified a place of delivery, 62% identified skilled birth attendant, 87% saved money for delivery and only 16.3% made arrangement for blood donor in case of emergency.

A chi-square test of association was conducted to ascertain factors associated with BP/CR. Table 4 shows that religion (P = 0.007), parity status (P = 0.039) awareness of BP/CR (P = 0.009) and knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs (P<0.001) were significantly associated with BP/CR. Christians were found to practice good BP/CR than Muslims. Those who have ever had children were more prepared than those who have never had children. Those who have heard about BP/CR were also found to have good BP/CR.

The simple logistic model (Table 5) showed that being a Christian (COR = 4.14, 95% Cl: 1.39 -12.34), ever heard of BP/CR (COR = 2.58, 95% Cl: 1.26 - 5.30) and knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs (COR = 5.47, 95% Cl: 2.03 - 14.75) were significant predictors of being well prepared. The adjusted multivariate model however showed that significant predictors for being well prepared for birth and complications are being a Christian (AOR = 6.15, 95% Cl: 1.65 – 22.97) and knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs (AOR = 5.80, 95% Cl: 1.81 – 18.56).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

This study revealed that the prevalence of BP/CR was 70.6%. Nearly all passed all the six indicators used for determining BP/CR except the fact that only 16.3% made arrangement for blood donors and 56% made arrangement for someone to stay with their family members while they go for delivery. In Nigeria, family members are mostly saddled with the responsibility of making arrangement for blood donors prior to the child delivery unlike in developed countries. The percentage of women who were prepared (70.6%) in our study, is higher than 40.3% reported in Ogbomoso, Nigeria [10], 47.8% in Indore, India [6], 23% in Jimma, Ethiopia [13], 29.9% in Oromia region in Ethiopia [14], 23% in Ghana [7] and 58.2% in Chamwino, Tanzania [15]. The difference observed in this study could have been because the study was carried out in an urban location where 88% of the women sampled had secondary and/or tertiary education. A similar study conducted among

| Socio-demographic characteristics | Frequency (n=184) | Percentage |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| Age                               |                   |            |  |
| 20-29                             | 86                | 46.7       |  |
| 30-39                             | 70                | 38.1       |  |
| 40 and above                      | 5                 | 2.7        |  |
| No response                       | 23                | 12.5       |  |
| Marital Status                    |                   |            |  |
| Single                            | 3                 | 1.6        |  |
| Married                           | 177               | 96.2       |  |
| Divorced                          | 1                 | 0.6        |  |
| No response                       | 3                 | 1.6        |  |
| Ethnicity                         |                   |            |  |
| Yoruba                            | 158               | 85.9       |  |
| Igbo                              | 19                | 10.3       |  |
| Others                            | 2                 | 1.1        |  |
| No response                       | 5                 | 2.7        |  |
| Religion                          |                   |            |  |
| Christianity                      | 164               | 89.1       |  |
| Islam                             | 16                | 8.7        |  |
| No response                       | 4                 | 2.2        |  |
| Educational qualification         |                   |            |  |
| No formal Education               | 5                 | 2.7        |  |
| Primary                           | 8                 | 4.4        |  |
| Secondary                         | 49                | 26.6       |  |
| Tertiary                          | 113               | 61.4       |  |
| No response                       | 9                 | 4.9        |  |
| Health facility                   |                   |            |  |
| EKSUTH                            | 62                | 33.7       |  |
| Odo-Ado                           | 65                | 35.3       |  |
| Okeyinmi                          | 35                | 19.0       |  |
| Oke-Oniyo                         | 22                | 12.0       |  |

women in Edo state, Nigeria reported that 87.4% of the women sampled were well prepared for birth [16]. It could also be because about 70% of the respondents have heard of BP/CR.

The BP/CR most practiced among the respondents was to save money for delivery (87%). This shows that the pregnant women must have perceived that money has the most important role to play in BP/CR. The place of delivery (81%) was another important factor considered by the respondents. These two factors were also the most important ones reported among Ethiopian women [17]. Gebre and colleagues [12] in their study among 578 pregnant women also reported that money saved was the highest BP/CR practice among the respondents.

In this study, we found out that as the age increased, the practice of BP/CR increased. All (100%) those who were 40 years and above were well prepared. This could have been because they have had experience in child bearing. Married women (71.8%) and the divorcee (100%) were more prepared than single women (33.3%). However, this was not

statistically significant. Marital status of a woman plays an important role is good BP/CR. In a Nigerian setting, pregnancy is a thing of joy in any marriage. This could be the more reason why women prepare for the arrival of the new born baby. It is most likely that the single women who get pregnant would have loved not to get pregnant and would not consider abortion as an option. Hiluf and Fantahun [17] also reported married women being more likely to be prepared than non-married women.

Educational qualification, health facility and gestational age played insignificant roles in BP/CR among pregnant women in this study. In contrast, several studies found respondents' level of education to be significantly associated practice [7,16,18,19]. with **BP/CR** The association must have been missing in this study probably because of the high level of education of most respondents and the urban area they live. A study reported the odds of BP/CR were two times greater (AOR = 2.01, 95% CI = 1.20. 3.36) among urban residents than rural residents [14]. Most studies were carried out in communities unlike this study which was hospital-based.

| Variables                           | Frequency (n=184) | Percentage |  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| Gestational age (in months)         |                   |            |  |
| 2-4                                 | 6                 | 3.3        |  |
| 5-7                                 | 52                | 28.2       |  |
| 8-10                                | 69                | 37.5       |  |
| No response                         | 57                | 31.0       |  |
| Parity                              |                   |            |  |
| Nulliparous                         | 53                | 28.8       |  |
| Primigravida                        | 48                | 26.1       |  |
| Multiparous                         | 58                | 31.5       |  |
| Grand multiparous                   | 6                 | 3.3        |  |
| No response                         | 19                | 10.3       |  |
| Ever had any miscarriage            |                   |            |  |
| Yes                                 | 22                | 12.0       |  |
| No                                  | 160               | 87.0       |  |
| No response                         | 2                 | 1.0        |  |
| Complication during the previous de | liveries          |            |  |
| Yes                                 | 10                | 5.4        |  |
| No                                  | 159               | 86.4       |  |
| No response                         | 15                | 8.2        |  |
| Previous surgery on reproductive or | gan               |            |  |
| Yes                                 | 12                | 6.5        |  |
| No                                  | 165               | 89.7       |  |
| No response                         | 7                 | 3.8        |  |

| Variables  |     | Yes  |  |  |
|--|-----|------|--|--|
|  | n   | %    |  |  |
| Identified place of delivery   | 149 | 81.0 |  |  |
| Transportation to health facility for delivery                       | 141 | 76.6 |  |  |
| Identified skilled birth attendant                                   | 114 | 62.0 |  |  |
| Saved money for delivery   | 160 | 87.0 |  |  |
| Made arrangement for someone who will stay with other family members | 103 | 56.0 |  |  |
| Made arrangement for blood donor in case of emergency                | 30  | 16.3 |  |  |

# Table 3. Birth preparedness and complication readiness practices

## Table 4. Factors associated with birth preparedness and complication readiness (BP/CR)

| n%n%Overall (n=177)5229.412570.6Age $20 - 29$ 2428.95971.71.23 $30 - 39$ 1725.05175.040 $40$ and above00.04100.040Marital status $33.3$ 2.555871.8Single266.7133.32.55Married4828.212271.8Divorced00.01100Ethnicity $77.0$ 11173.04.38Igbo950.0950.0Others00.02100.0Religion $73.4$ 7.3511673.4Christianity4226.611673.47.35Islam960.0640.062.5Secondary1429.83370.27Tertiary3128.77771.31Health facility $27.3$ 2472.70Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.00Gestational age (months) $27.3$ 2472.70 $2-4$ 250.0250.02.10 $5-7$ 1325.53874.510 $8-10$ 1420.95379.114  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Age $20 - 29$ $24$ $28.9$ $59$ $71.7$ $1.23$ $30 - 39$ $17$ $25.0$ $51$ $75.0$ $75.0$ $40$ and above $0$ $0.0$ $4$ $100.0$ Marital status $33.3$ $2.55$ Single $2$ $66.7$ $1$ $33.3$ $2.55$ Married $48$ $28.2$ $122$ $71.8$ $71.7$ Divorced $0$ $0.0$ $1$ $100$ $100$ EthnicityYoruba $41$ $27.0$ $111$ $73.0$ $4.38$ Igbo $9$ $50.0$ $9$ $50.0$ $9$ Others $0$ $0.0$ $2$ $100.0$ $100.0$ ReligionChristianity $42$ $26.6$ $116$ $73.4$ $7.35$ Islam $9$ $60.0$ $6$ $40.0$ $9$ Educational qualificationNo formal education $1$ $20.0$ $4$ $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary $3$ $37.5$ $5$ $62.5$ $5$ Secondary $14$ $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ $71.3$ Health facilityEKSUTH $19$ $31.1$ $42$ $68.9$ $6.58$ Odo-Ado $13$ $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ $72.7$ Okeyinmi $9$ $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ $72.7$ Okeyinmi $9$ $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ $72.7$ Oke-Oniyo $11$ $50.0$ |           |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |           |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |           |
| 40 and above 0 0.0 4 100.0   Marital status 5 5 66.7 1 33.3 2.55   Married 48 28.2 122 71.8 71.8   Divorced 0 0.0 1 100 100   Ethnicity 7 111 73.0 4.38   Igbo 9 50.0 9 50.0   Others 0 0.0 2 100.0   Religion 2 26.6 116 73.4 7.35   Islam 9 60.0 6 40.0 62.5   Educational qualification 1 20.0 4 80.0 0.62   Primary 3 37.5 5 62.5 5   Secondary 14 29.8 33 70.2 7   Tertiary 31 28.7 77 71.3 7   Health facility 1 29.8 33 70.2 1   EKSUTH 19 31.1 42 68.9 6.58   O  | 31 0.642  |
| Marital statusSingle2 $66.7$ 1 $33.3$ $2.55$ Married48 $28.2$ $122$ $71.8$ Divorced0 $0.0$ 1 $100$ EthnicityYoruba41 $27.0$ $111$ $73.0$ $4.35$ Igbo9 $50.0$ 9 $50.0$ 9Others0 $0.0$ 2 $100.0$ ReligionChristianity $42$ $26.6$ $116$ $73.4$ $7.35$ Islam9 $60.0$ 6 $40.0$ Educational qualificationNo formal education1 $20.0$ 4 $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary3 $37.5$ 5 $62.5$ $56condary$ $14$ $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ Tertiary $31$ $28.7$ $77$ $71.3$ $71.3$ $77$ $71.3$ Health facilityEKSUTH19 $31.1$ $42$ $68.9$ $6.58$ Odo-Ado13 $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ $72.7$ Okeyinmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ $72.7$ Oke-Oniyo11 $50.0$ 11 $50.0$ $2.10$ Gestational age (months) $2$ $50.0$ $2.10$ $5-7$ $13$ $25.5$ $38$ $74.5$  |           |
| Single2 $66.7$ 1 $33.3$ $2.55$ Married48 $28.2$ $122$ $71.8$ Divorced0 $0.0$ 1 $100$ EthnicityYoruba41 $27.0$ $111$ $73.0$ $4.35$ Igbo9 $50.0$ 9 $50.0$ 9Others0 $0.0$ 2 $100.0$ Religion $2$ $26.6$ $116$ $73.4$ $7.35$ Islam9 $60.0$ 6 $40.0$ Educational qualification1 $20.0$ 4 $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary3 $37.5$ 5 $62.5$ Secondary14 $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ Tertiary31 $28.7$ $77$ $71.3$ Health facility $2$ $26.0$ $11$ $50.0$ $21.3$ Gkeyinmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ Oke-Oniyo11 $50.0$ 11 $50.0$ $21.00$ $2 - 4$ 2 $50.0$ 2 $50.0$ $2.10$ $5 - 7$ 13 $25.5$ $38$ $74.5$   |           |
| Married4828.212271.8Divorced0 $0.0$ 1 $100$ EthnicityYoruba41 $27.0$ $111$ $73.0$ $4.39$ Igbo9 $50.0$ 9 $50.0$ 9Others0 $0.0$ 2 $100.0$ ReligionChristianity42 $26.6$ $116$ $73.4$ $7.35$ Islam9 $60.0$ 6 $40.0$ Educational qualificationNo formal education1 $20.0$ 4 $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary3 $37.5$ 5 $62.5$ $52$ Secondary14 $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ $77$ Tertiary31 $28.7$ $77$ $71.3$ $71.3$ Health facilityEKSUTH19 $31.1$ $42$ $68.9$ $6.58$ Odo-Ado13 $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ $76.56$ Okeyinmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ $72.7$ Okeyonmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ $72.7$ Oke-Oniyo11 $50.0$ 11 $50.0$ $2.10$ $2 - 4$ 2 $50.0$ 2 $50.0$ $2.10$ $5 - 7$ 13 $25.5$ $38$ $74.5$ $74.5$  |           |
| Divorced0 $0.0$ 1 $100$ EthnicityYoruba41 $27.0$ $111$ $73.0$ $4.36$ Igbo9 $50.0$ 9 $50.0$ Others0 $0.0$ 2 $100.0$ ReligionChristianity42 $26.6$ $116$ $73.4$ $7.35$ Islam9 $60.0$ 6 $40.0$ $0.62$ Educational qualificationNo formal education1 $20.0$ 4 $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary3 $37.5$ 5 $62.5$ $5$ Secondary14 $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ $77$ Tertiary31 $28.7$ $77$ $71.3$ $71.3$ Health facilityEKSUTH19 $31.1$ $42$ $68.9$ $6.58$ Odo-Ado13 $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ $78.7$ Okeyinmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ $70.6$ Oke-Oniyo11 $50.0$ 11 $50.0$ $50.0$ $2.10$ $2 - 4$ 2 $50.0$ 2 $50.0$ $2.10$ $5 - 7$ 13 $25.5$ $38$ $74.5$ $74.5$   | 54 0.430  |
| EthnicityYoruba4127.011173.04.39Igbo950.0950.0Others00.02100.0ReligionChristianity4226.611673.47.35Islam960.0640.0Educational qualificationNo formal education120.0480.00.62Primary337.5562.55Secondary1429.83370.27Tertiary3128.77771.371.3Health facilityEKSUTH1931.14268.96.58Odo-Ado1321.34878.70Okeyinmi927.32472.70Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.02 - 4250.0250.02.10 $5 - 7$ 1325.53874.510   |           |
| Yoruba41 $27.0$ $111$ $73.0$ $4.39$ Igbo9 $50.0$ 9 $50.0$ 9 $50.0$ Others0 $0.0$ 2 $100.0$ 100.0Religion </td <td></td>  |           |
| Igbo950.0950.0Others00.02100.0Religion226.611673.47.35Christianity4226.611673.47.35Islam960.0640.02000Educational qualification120.0480.00.62Primary337.5562.52000Secondary1429.83370.277Tertiary3128.77771.3Health facilityEKSUTH1931.14268.96.58Odo-Ado1321.34878.778.7Okeyinmi927.32472.772.7Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.021.0Eastional age (months)250.0250.02.102 - 4250.0250.02.105 - 71325.53874.574.5  | 0.000     |
| Others   0   0.0   2   100.0     Religion   Christianity   42   26.6   116   73.4   7.35     Islam   9   60.0   6   40.0   40.0   40.0     Educational qualification   1   20.0   4   80.0   0.62     Primary   3   37.5   5   62.5 <th< td=""><td>0.083</td></th<>  | 0.083     |
| ReligionChristianity $42$ $26.6$ $116$ $73.4$ $7.35$ Islam9 $60.0$ 6 $40.0$ Educational qualificationNo formal education1 $20.0$ 4 $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary3 $37.5$ 5 $62.5$ $62.5$ Secondary14 $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ Tertiary31 $28.7$ $77$ $71.3$ Health facilityEKSUTH19 $31.1$ $42$ $68.9$ $6.58$ Odo-Ado13 $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ Okeyinmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ Oke-Oniyo11 $50.0$ 11 $50.0$ $2 - 4$ 2 $50.0$ 2 $50.0$ $2.10$ $5 - 7$ 13 $25.5$ $38$ $74.5$  |           |
| Christianity4226.6116 $73.4$ $7.35$ Islam9 $60.0$ $6$ $40.0$ Educational qualification1 $20.0$ $4$ $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary3 $37.5$ $5$ $62.5$ Secondary14 $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ Tertiary $31$ $28.7$ $77$ $71.3$ Health facility $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ Odo-Ado13 $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ Okeyinmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ Oke-Oniyo11 $50.0$ 11 $50.0$ $2 - 4$ 2 $50.0$ 2 $50.0$ $2.10$ $5 - 7$ 13 $25.5$ $38$ $74.5$   |           |
| Islam   9   60.0   6   40.0     Educational qualification   1   20.0   4   80.0   0.62     Primary   3   37.5   5   62.5 <t< td=""><td>59 0.007*</td></t<>   | 59 0.007* |
| Educational qualificationNo formal education120.0480.00.62Primary337.5562.55Secondary1429.83370.2Tertiary3128.77771.3Health facilityEKSUTH1931.14268.96.58Odo-Ado1321.34878.7Okeyinmi927.32472.70Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.012 - 4250.0250.02.105 - 71325.53874.55   | 0.007     |
| No formal education1 $20.0$ 4 $80.0$ $0.62$ Primary3 $37.5$ 5 $62.5$ Secondary14 $29.8$ $33$ $70.2$ Tertiary31 $28.7$ $77$ $71.3$ Health facilityEKSUTH19 $31.1$ $42$ $68.9$ $6.58$ Odo-Ado13 $21.3$ $48$ $78.7$ Okeyinmi9 $27.3$ $24$ $72.7$ Oke-Oniyo11 $50.0$ 11 $50.0$ Estational age (months) $2-4$ 2 $50.0$ 2 $50.0$ $2.10$ $5-7$ 13 $25.5$ $38$ $74.5$  |           |
| Primary337.5562.5Secondary1429.83370.2Tertiary3128.77771.3Health facilityEKSUTH1931.14268.96.58Odo-Ado1321.34878.7Okeyinmi927.32472.70Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.012 - 4250.0250.02.105 - 71325.53874.5   |           |
| Secondary1429.83370.2Tertiary3128.77771.3Health facilityEKSUTH1931.14268.96.58Odo-Ado1321.34878.7Okeyinmi927.32472.7Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.0Gestational age (months)2 - 4250.0250.02.105 - 71325.53874.55   | 22 0.951  |
| Tertiary3128.77771.3Health facilityEKSUTH1931.14268.96.58Odo-Ado1321.34878.7Okeyinmi927.32472.7Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.0Gestational age (months)2 - 4250.0250.02.105 - 71325.53874.5   |           |
| Health facility   EKSUTH 19 31.1 42 68.9 6.58   Odo-Ado 13 21.3 48 78.7   Okeyinmi 9 27.3 24 72.7   Oke-Oniyo 11 50.0 11 50.0   Gestational age (months) 2 50.0 2 50.0 2.10   5 - 7 13 25.5 38 74.5  |           |
| EKSUTH 19 31.1 42 68.9 6.58   Odo-Ado 13 21.3 48 78.7   Okeyinmi 9 27.3 24 72.7   Oke-Oniyo 11 50.0 11 50.0   Gestational age (months) 2 50.0 2 50.0 2.10   5 - 7 13 25.5 38 74.5  |           |
| Odo-Ado 13 21.3 48 78.7   Okeyinmi 9 27.3 24 72.7   Oke-Oniyo 11 50.0 11 50.0   Gestational age (months)   2 - 4 2 50.0 2 50.0 2.10   5 - 7 13 25.5 38 74.5  |           |
| Okeyinmi   9   27.3   24   72.7     Oke-Oniyo   11   50.0   11   50.0     Gestational age (months)   2   50.0   2   50.0   2.10     2 - 4   2   50.0   2   50.0   2.10     5 - 7   13   25.5   38   74.5   | 0.086     |
| Oke-Oniyo   11   50.0   11   50.0     Gestational age (months)   2   50.0   2   50.0   2.10     5 – 7   13   25.5   38   74.5   74.5   |           |
| Oke-Oniyo1150.01150.0Gestational age (months)2 - 4250.0250.02.105 - 71325.53874.5  |           |
| Gestational age (months)     2 - 4   2   50.0   2   50.0   2.10     5 - 7   13   25.5   38   74.5  |           |
| 2 - 4250.0250.02.105 - 71325.53874.5   |           |
| 5-7 13 25.5 38 74.5  | 0.290     |
|  |           |
|  |           |
| Parity   |           |
| Nulliparous 22 43.1 29 56.9 8.36   | 69 0.039* |
| Primigravida 8 17.4 38 82.6  |           |
| Multiparous 15 26.3 42 73.7  |           |
| Grand multiparous 1 20.0 4 80.0  |           |
| Miscarriage history  |           |
| Yes 4 18.2 18 81.8 1.46  | 64 0.226  |
| No 47 30.7 106 69.3  | . 0.220   |

| Variables                | Poor BP/CR  |             | Good | BP/CR | χ²/Fisher's | P value |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------|-------------|---------|
|                          | n           | %           | n    | %     | _ ^         |         |
| Pregnancy complication   | history     |             |      |       |             |         |
| Yes                      | 3           | 33.3        | 6    | 66.7  | 0.225       | 0.635   |
| No                       | 40          | 26.1        | 113  | 73.9  |             |         |
| Reproductive surgery hi  | istory      |             |      |       |             |         |
| Yes                      | 3           | 25.0        | 9    | 75.0  | 0.067       | 0.796   |
| No                       | 45          | 28.5        | 113  | 71.5  |             |         |
| Ever heard of BP/CR      |             |             |      |       |             |         |
| Yes                      | 28          | 23.0        | 94   | 77.0  | 6.897       | 0.009*  |
| No                       | 20          | 43.5        | 26   | 56.5  |             |         |
| Knowledge of at least tw | o obstetric | danger sign | S    |       |             |         |
| Yes                      | 5           | 9.8         | 46   | 90.2  | 13.231      | <0.001* |
| No                       | 47          | 37.3        | 79   | 62.7  |             |         |

\*p value significant at 0.05

#### Table 5. Multivariate logistic regression of BP/CR

| Variables        |             | Crude OR           |                  |      | Adjusted OR  |                 |  |  |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|------|--------------|-----------------|--|--|
|                  | OR          | 95%CI              | <i>p</i> -value  | OR   | 95%CI        | <i>p</i> -value |  |  |
| Religion         |             |                    |                  |      |              |                 |  |  |
| Islam            | Ref.        |                    |                  | Ref. |              |                 |  |  |
| Christianity     | 4.14        | 1.39 – 12.34       | 0.011            | 6.15 | 1.65 – 22.97 | 0.007*          |  |  |
| Health facility  |             |                    |                  |      |              |                 |  |  |
| Oke-Oniyo        | Ref.        |                    |                  | Ref. |              |                 |  |  |
| EKSUTH           | 2.21        | 0.82 – 5.99        | 0.119            | 1.36 | 0.38 – 4.87  | 0.641           |  |  |
| Odo-Ado          | 3.69        | 1.31 – 10.41       | 0.013            | 2.45 | 0.69 – 8.76  | 0.167           |  |  |
| Okeyinmi         | 2.67        | 0.86 – 8.29        | 0.090            | 3.09 | 0.77 – 12.36 | 0.110           |  |  |
| Miscarriage hist | ory         |                    |                  |      |              |                 |  |  |
| No               | Ref.        |                    |                  | Ref. |              |                 |  |  |
| Yes              | 2.00        | 0.64 – 6.22        | 0.234            | 1.82 | 0.50 - 6.64  | 0.362           |  |  |
| Ever heard of Bl | P/CR        |                    |                  |      |              |                 |  |  |
| No               | Ref.        |                    |                  | Ref. |              |                 |  |  |
| Yes              | 2.58        | 1.26 – 5.30        | 0.010            | 2.24 | 0.94 – 5.32  | 0.069           |  |  |
| Knowledge of at  | t least two | obstetric danger s | igns             |      |              |                 |  |  |
| No               | Ref.        |                    |                  | Ref  |              |                 |  |  |
| Yes              | 5.47        | 2.03 – 14.75       | 0.001            | 5.80 | 1.81 – 18.56 | 0.003*          |  |  |
|                  |             | *p value sig       | nificant at 0.05 |      |              |                 |  |  |

\*p value significant at 0.05

The bivariate analysis showed that there was a statistically significant association between parity and BP/CR. Women with parity of one and above were more prepared than nulliparous (no previous delivery). This association between parity and BP/CR has also been reported by other studies [17,19]. In this study, women who had miscarriage history were more prepared for birth and its complications than women who never had miscarriage. In contrast, there was no difference in BP/CR among those who had pregnancy complication history or not. Both miscarriage history and pregnancy complication history did not show any statistically significant association with BP/CR. Some studies reported

that women who had history of stillbirth were about three times and four times respectively more likely to be prepared than women who never had still birth [17,18]. The BP/CR of women with history of miscarriage or still birth could have been to avoid recurrence.

Those who have ever heard of BP/CR were more prepared for birth and its complications than those who have never heard of it. This study revealed that knowledge of BP/CR was significant in influencing the statistically preparedness of pregnant women towards birth and its complications. This is the more reason that women, irrespective of their marital status should be enlightened on BP/CR. In this study, only 46 (27.4%) reported that they have never heard of BP/CR. This is lower than 53.6% reported among Ethiopian women [14]. This wide-gap difference could have occurred due to the fact that only 21.2% of the respondents in their study had Secondary education and above compared to 88% in this study.

Christians were seven times more likely to be prepared for birth and its complications than Muslims (AOR = 6.15, 95% CI: 1.65 - 22.97). This could have been because besides health facilities, Christians do patronise birth Some churches attendants. employ birth attendants in their churches or clinics. There is a possibility that these Christian women must have been educated on the importance of BP/CR. This study found out that the proportion (73.4%)was significantly higher among Christians than other religions. This finding is similar to that reported in a study in Nigeria (76.4%) [10],

Knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs was significantly associated with BP/CR. Nearly all (90.2%) of those who had knowledge on at least two obstetric dangers signs were prepared for birth and its complications. This prevalence is higher compared to 34.8% Nepalese women who had knowledge on at least two danger signs during pregnancy [20] and 13% Ethiopian women [18]. The logistic regression model revealed that those who had knowledge on obstetric danger signs were 5.8 times more likely to be prepared than those who do not have the knowledge. Gebre and colleagues [12] reported that having knowledge of at least two danger signs during pregnancy is a significant predictor of BP/CR (AOR = 2.81, 95% CI: 1.69 - 4.67). This is also similar to AOR = 2.94, 95% CI = 1.61 -5.37 reported in Robe Woreda, Ethiopia [18]. [14] in their study Markos and Bogale among Ethiopian women of child bearing age found out that having knowledge about key danger signs during pregnancy is a significant predictor of BP/CR (AOR = 1.74, 95% CI: 1.06 -2.88).

# 4.1 Strengths and Limitations

The strength of the study lies in the fact that only pregnant women were sampled in this study. Selection bias was overcome by random selection of participants. Recall bias was also reduced by asking questions on what happened in recent times among those who are currently pregnant. The 10% of the guestionnaires that were not retrieved did not in any way affect the results of the study, as it was already envisaged that there would be 10% non-response in the sample size calculation. The limitations of the study include the inability of this study to establish causal relationship and the а authenticity of the answers cannot be verified because they were based on self-report. This study was conducted among women attending health facilities; hence this result may not be representative of the entire population of pregnant women in the study area and the country at large. It is not unlikely that difference in study area, socio-cultural characteristics and implementation of related health program would have played their roles in the outcome of this study compared to other studies.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

The prevalence of BP/CR (70.6%) among pregnant women attending selected health facilities in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria is high. Religion, parity, awareness of BP/CR and knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs were significantly associated with BP/CR practice. Being a Christian and having knowledge of at least two obstetric danger signs were significant predictors of good BP/CR practices.

#### CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval to carry out this study was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of Ekiti State University Teaching Hospital, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. (Protocol number: EKSUTH/A67/2017/02/008). Permission was also obtained from all the health centres before the survey. Informed written consent was obtained from all the respondents prior to their inclusion in the study. Their rights to decline participation were clearly explained to them.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

1. JHPIEGO. Maternal and neonatal health. Monitoring birth preparedness and complication readiness, tools and indicators for maternal and newborn health. Johns Hopkins, Bloomberg school of Public Health, Center for communication programs, Family Care International; 2004. Available:http://pdf.dec.org/pdf\_docs/ PNADA619.pdf

- World Health Organization. Birth and emergency preparedness in antenatal care: Standards for maternal and neonatal care. Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth (IMPAC). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014. Available:http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2007/ a91272.pdf
- World Health Organization. Maternal mortality: Fact sheet 348. Geneva, Switzerland. World Health Organization; 2014.
- 4. WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, the World Bank and the United Nations Population Division. Trends in maternal mortality from 1990-2013. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization; 2014.
- 5. National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF International. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survev: 2013. Abuia. Nigeria. and Rockville. Maryland, USA: NPC ICF and International: 2014.
- Agarwal S, Sethi V, Srivastava K, Jha PK, Baqui AH. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among slum women in Indore city, India. Journal of health, population, and nutrition; 2010;28(4):383-91.
- Kuganab-Lem RB, Dogudugu R, Kanton L. Birth preparedness and complication readiness: A study of postpartum women in a rural district of Ghana. Public Health Research; 2013;4(6):225-233.
- Hailu M, Gebremariam, A, Alemseged, F, Deribe K. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women in Southern Ethiopia; 2011. PLoS One,6(6),e21432.
- Kuteyi EA, Kuku JO, Lateef IC, Ogundipe JA, Mogbeyteren T, Banjo MA. Birth preparedness and complication readiness of pregnant women attending the three levels of health facilities in Ife central local government, Nigeria. Journal of Community Medicine and Primary Health Care. 2011;23(1-2):41-54.
- 10. Idowu A, Deji SA, Aremu OA, Bojuwoye OM, Ofakunrin AD. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among women Attending antenatal clinics in Ogbomoso,

South West, Nigeria. International Journal. 2015;4(1):47-56.

11. USAID, SCA, MOH, UKaid, UNFPA, UNICEF, EHNRI, CDC. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey; 2011, Central Statistical Agency Addis Ababa, Ethiopia ICF International Calverton, Maryland, USA; 2012.

Available:http://www.unicef.org/ethiopia/ET \_2011\_EDHS.pdf

- 12. Gebre M, Gebremariam A, Abebe TA. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women in Duguna Fango District, Wolayta Zone, Ethiopia. PloS one. 2015;10(9):e0137570.
- Debelew GT, Afework MF, Yalew AW. Factors affecting birth preparedness and complication readiness in Jimma Zone, Southwest Ethiopia: A multilevel analysis. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2014;19: 272.
- 14. Markos D, Bogale D. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among women of child bearing age group in Goba woreda, Oromia region, Ethiopia. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2014;14(1):282.
- 15. Bintabara D, Mohamed MA, Mghamba J, Wasswa P, Mpembeni RN. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among recently delivered women in chamwino district, central Tanzania: A cross sectional study. Reproductive Health. 2015;12(1):44.
- Tobin EA, Ofili AN, Enebeli N, Enueze O. Assessment of birth preparedness and complication readiness among pregnant women attending Primary Health Care Centres in Edo State, Nigeria. Annals of Nigerian Medicine. 2014;8(2):76-81.
- Hiluf M, Fantahun M. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among women in Adigrat town, north Ethiopia. Ethiopian Journal of Health Development. 2008; 22(1):14-20.
- Kaso M, Addisse M. Birth preparedness and complication readiness in Robe Woreda, Arsi Zone, Oromia Region, Central Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. Reproductive health. 2014;11(1): 55.
- Bitew Y, Awoke W, ChekolS. Birth preparedness and complication Readiness practice and associated factors among pregnant women, Northwest Ethiopia. International Scholarly Research Notices; 2016.

Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/87 27365

20. Kaphle HP, Neupane N, Kunwar B, Acharya A. Birth preparedness and

complications readiness among women in Lekhnath Municipality, Nepal. Global. Journal of Medicine and Public Health. 2015;4(3):1-8.

© 2019 Esan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49386