Enhancing Precision Agriculture and Environmental Monitoring Using Proximal Remote Sensing

Badal Verma [a*], Muskan Porwal [a], A. K. Jha [a], R. G. Vyshnavi [b], Alok Rajpoot [c] and Ashish Kumar Nagar [d]

[a] *Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP)-482004, India.*
[b] *Department of Plant Physiology, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP)-482004, India.*
[c] *Guest Faculty, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP)482004, India.*
[d] *Department of Extension Education, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP)-482004, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Review Article

ABSTRACT

Proximal remote sensing is a cutting-edge technology that has emerged as a powerful tool in precision agriculture and environmental monitoring. By capturing high-resolution data from a close range, it provides valuable insights into crop health, soil conditions, and ecosystem dynamics. This paper explores the applications, advantages, and limitations of proximal remote sensing, focusing on its use in precision agriculture and environmental management. The applications of proximal remote sensing in precision agriculture include crop monitoring, disease detection, and resource

___

optimization. In environmental management, it aids in habitat mapping, biodiversity assessment, and environmental impact analysis. The advantages of proximal remote sensing lie in its high spatial resolution, real-time data acquisition, and flexibility in sensor selection. However, limitations such as limited coverage area and skill requirements need to be considered. The future perspectives of proximal remote sensing encompass advancements in sensor technology, automation, integration with other technologies, and enhanced data storage and analysis. By leveraging these advancements, proximal remote sensing can contribute to more sustainable practices and informed decision-making for a better and resilient future.

1. INTRODUCTION

Proximal remote sensing has emerged as a powerful technology that enables the collection of high-resolution data from a close range, providing valuable insights into various applications. This innovative approach to data acquisition has garnered significant attention worldwide, including in India, where it holds immense potential for precision agriculture and environmental monitoring [1]. The unique agricultural landscape, diverse ecosystems, and growing environmental concerns in India make proximal remote sensing particularly relevant and impactful [2].

India, with its vast agricultural sector and large population dependent on agriculture for livelihood, faces numerous challenges in optimizing crop production, resource management, and environmental sustainability [3]. The conventional methods of monitoring crop health, soil conditions, and environmental parameters often lack the necessary precision and timeliness required for effective decision-making. Proximal remote sensing offers a solution by facilitating real-time data acquisition, enabling farmers, researchers, and policymakers to make informed choices and take timely actions [4].

In the Indian context, researchers and scientists have recognized the potential of proximal remote sensing and have undertaken numerous studies to explore its applications and benefits. For instance, in precision agriculture, proximal remote sensing techniques have been used to monitor crop growth, detect plant diseases, assess nutrient deficiencies, and optimize irrigation practices [5]. By capturing detailed information about the spatial and temporal variations within fields, proximal remote sensing helps farmers make targeted interventions, reducing input costs and improving crop yield [6].

Environmental monitoring and management is another critical area where proximal remote sensing offers substantial advantages in India. With its rich biodiversity, fragile ecosystems, and mounting environmental challenges, the need for accurate and timely information is paramount [7]. Proximal remote sensing has been successfully employed for mapping habitats, assessing vegetation dynamics, monitoring forest cover changes, and identifying areas of environmental degradation [8]. Such information aids policymakers and conservationists in designing effective strategies for ecosystem preservation and restoration.

While proximal remote sensing holds great promise, it is essential to understand its limitations and address the unique challenges present in the Indian context. Factors such as varying soil types, cropping patterns, socio-economic conditions, and diverse agro-climatic zones require careful consideration [9]. The cost-effectiveness, scalability, data processing capabilities, and skill requirements of proximal remote sensing techniques also need to be evaluated in the Indian agricultural and environmental management context [10].

Therefore, this paper aims to provide an in-depth exploration of the applications, advantages, limitations, and future perspectives of proximal remote sensing in India. By reviewing existing literature, analyzing case studies, and highlighting the ongoing research initiatives, we seek to contribute to the understanding of proximal remote sensing's potential and promote its effective utilization in addressing the challenges faced by Indian agriculture and environmental sustainability. The findings of this study can inform policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders in making informed decisions and developing strategies to harness the full potential of proximal remote sensing for the benefit of India's agricultural productivity and environmental well-being.

Fig. 1. Word cloud for 'proximal remote sensing'

2. UNDERSTANDING PROXIMAL REMOTE SENSING

Proximal remote sensing is a dynamic and evolving field that has revolutionized the way we collect and analyze data for various applications, particularly in precision agriculture and environmental monitoring. In the Indian context, proximal remote sensing has gained significant attention and has been applied in various domains, including agriculture and environmental monitoring. Researchers in India have explored the use of proximal remote sensing techniques to address the specific challenges faced by the country. This innovative approach involves the use of specialized sensors and instruments to capture high-resolution data from a close range, providing detailed insights into the target area's characteristics and conditions. Let's explore the key components and techniques involved in proximal remote sensing and how they contribute to a deeper understanding of our surroundings.

2.1 Sensor Technology

At the heart of proximal remote sensing lies the use of advanced sensor technology. These sensors are designed to capture specific types of data, such as multispectral or hyperspectral imaging, LiDAR, thermal imaging, or electromagnetic radiation. Each type of sensor has its unique capabilities and applications (Table 1). In the Indian context, researchers have explored the use of these sensors for various purposes. [11] conducted a case study in Gujarat, India, utilizing proximal remote sensing sensors for crop health assessment and management. The study showcased the potential of these sensors in providing valuable information for effective crop management practices.

2.2 Platforms

Proximal remote sensing can be conducted using various platforms, depending on the specific requirements of the application. In India, ground-based platforms are commonly used for proximal remote sensing. These platforms involve mounting the sensors on vehicles, carts, or handheld devices, allowing for data collection at a close proximity to the target area. Additionally, UAVs have gained popularity in India for proximal remote sensing applications. [12] conducted a study in India using UAVs equipped with proximal remote sensing sensors for crop health assessment. The study highlighted the advantages of using UAVs for capturing high-resolution data over large agricultural areas.

2.3 Data Analysis and Interpretation

Once the data is collected, it needs to be processed, analyzed, and interpreted to extract meaningful information. In the Indian context, researchers have employed various data analysis techniques to derive valuable insights from proximal remote sensing data. For example, integrated proximal remote sensing data with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in India to assess and monitor land cover changes and guide environmental management decisions.

Table 1. Proximal remote sensing technologies and their application areas

Technology	Spatial Resolution (m)	Spectral Bands	Penetration Depth (m)	Application Areas
LiDAR	0.1	N/A	10	Environmental monitoring, forestry, archaeology
Multispectral Cameras	0.5	4	N/A	Agriculture, crop health assessment, land cover mapping
Hyperspectral Imaging	1	100	N/A	Mineral exploration, vegetation analysis, pollution monitoring
Thermal Imaging	N/A	N/A	N/A	Building inspections, energy efficiency assessments, wildlife monitoring
Ground Penetrating Radar	N/A	N/A	10	Utility detection, archaeological surveys, geotechnical investigations
Infrared (IR) Imaging	N/A	N/A	N/A	Surveillance, firefighting, industrial inspections
Sonar	N/A	N/A	100	Marine exploration, underwater mapping, fishery studies

3. APPLICATIONS IN PRECISION AGRICULTURE

The significance of Precision Agriculture (PA) has gained widespread recognition as a crucial contributor to crop production technology worldwide [13]. However, its practical implementation has been limited to large-scale farms thus far [14]. PA is built upon an innovative systems approach that relies on various fundamental technologies, including Geographic Information System (GIS), Global Positioning System (GPS), computer modeling, ground-based/airborne/satellite remote sensing, variable rate technology, and advanced information processing [15] (Fig. 2). These technologies enable timely in-season and between-season crop management. The implementation of PA involves three primary steps: (1) gathering information on variability, (2) processing and analyzing the gathered information to evaluate its significance, and (3) implementing changes in input management. PA follows a cyclical process that becomes more refined with each year of usage by farm operators. Consequently, each of the three general steps may take several months to years to collectively establish a stable and workable structure, which remains open to further amendments and refinements [16]. The importance of PA emerged during the era of agricultural mechanization in the 20th century, driven by economic pressures to apply uniform agronomic practices across large fields. PA offers a means to automate Site-Specific Management (SSM) through information

technology, thereby making SSM feasible in commercial agriculture [17].

Proximal remote sensing has revolutionized precision agriculture by providing valuable insights into crop health, soil conditions, and resource management. The detailed data collected through proximal remote sensing technologies enables farmers and agronomists to make informed decisions, optimize productivity, and minimize environmental impact. Let's delve into the specific applications of proximal remote sensing in precision agriculture:

3.1 Plant Health Assessment

Proximal remote sensing plays a critical role in assessing the health and vigor of crops. By capturing high-resolution data on plant parameters such as chlorophyll content, leaf area index, and vegetation indices (e.g., NDVI - Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), farmers can monitor plant health and detect early signs of stress, diseases, or nutrient deficiencies [18-20]. This information helps them identify specific areas within a field that require attention, enabling targeted interventions such as adjusting irrigation, nutrient application, or implementing pest control measures [21-23].

3.2 Soil Moisture Monitoring

Efficient water management is essential for sustainable agriculture. Proximal remote sensing allows farmers to monitor soil moisture levels across their fields with high spatial resolution

[24,25]. By deploying sensors that measure soil moisture content, farmers can obtain real-time data on soil moisture variations [26,27]. This information enables them to optimize irrigation practices, ensuring that water is applied precisely where and when it is needed [28,29]. By avoiding over- or under-irrigation, farmers can conserve water resources, minimize energy costs, and improve crop yields [30,31].

3.3 Nutrient Management

Proximal remote sensing aids in precise nutrient management by providing insights into nutrient distribution and uptake within fields [32,33]. By analyzing data on vegetation indices and nutrient content, farmers can identify areas with nutrient deficiencies or imbalances. This information guides them in applying fertilizers in a targeted manner, adjusting nutrient rates based on specific field conditions [34,35]. This approach, known as variable rate application, optimizes nutrient utilization, minimizes waste, and reduces the risk of environmental pollution [36]. Proximal remote sensing also enables farmers to assess the effectiveness of their nutrient management strategies and make data-driven adjustments for improved crop nutrition [37,38].

3.4 Weed and Pest Detection

Early detection of weeds and pests is crucial for effective crop protection [39-41]. Weeds are most severe and widespread biological constraints to crop production [42-44]. Proximal remote sensing provides a means to detect and monitor the presence of weeds and pest infestations in a timely manner [45-47]. By analyzing high-resolution imagery, farmers can identify areas of the field where weed growth is prevalent or where pests have infiltrated [48]. This information enables them to implement targeted weed control measures, such as spot spraying or mechanical removal, and take appropriate pest

management actions, such as releasing beneficial insects or applying targeted pesticides [49]. By accurately identifying and addressing weed and pest issues, farmers can minimize yield losses and reduce the reliance on broad-spectrum chemicals [50,51].

3.5 Crop Yield Estimation and Harvest Planning

Proximal remote sensing contributes to accurate crop yield estimation and facilitates effective harvest planning. By combining data on plant health, canopy density, and biomass, farmers can estimate crop yields with greater precision [52]. This information helps them optimize harvest timing and allocate resources efficiently, including labor and storage facilities [53]. Accurate yield estimation aids in crop marketing and financial planning, allowing farmers to make informed decisions regarding market opportunities, storage capacities, and post-harvest handling requirements.

3.6 Precision Irrigation and Variable Rate Application

Proximal remote sensing enables precision irrigation and variable rate application of inputs. By analyzing data on soil moisture levels, plant water stress, and canopy evapotranspiration, farmers can determine precise irrigation needs and deliver water in the right amounts and at the right time [54,55]. This approach maximizes water-use efficiency, conserves resources, and minimizes the risk of over- or under-irrigation. Similarly, by integrating proximal remote sensing data with information on soil fertility, crop growth, and pest pressure, farmers can apply inputs such as fertilizers, pesticides, and growth regulators at variable rates [56,57]. This targeted approach optimizes resource allocation, reduces input waste, and improves overall crop performance [58].


Fig. 2. Crop sensing in precision agriculture

4. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT

Proximal remote sensing plays a crucial role in environmental monitoring and management, offering valuable insights into ecosystems, land-use changes, habitat conservation, and environmental hazards [59,60]. By capturing high-resolution data from a close range, this technology provides detailed information that is essential for informed decision-making and effective environmental stewardship [61]. Let's explore the diverse applications of proximal remote sensing in environmental monitoring and management:

4.1 Ecosystem Health Assessment

Proximal remote sensing enables the assessment of ecosystem health and biodiversity. By capturing data on vegetation indices, species composition, and habitat characteristics, researchers and conservationists can evaluate the overall health and functioning of ecosystems [62]. This information helps identify areas of concern, such as habitat fragmentation, invasive species encroachment, or degradation due to human activities. Proximal remote sensing aids in monitoring changes in vegetation cover, identifying critical habitats, and tracking ecological indicators to inform conservation strategies and protect biodiversity [63].

4.2 Land-use and Land Cover Mapping

Accurate land-use and land cover mapping is essential for effective land management and planning. Proximal remote sensing provides detailed data on the distribution and characteristics of different land cover types, including forests, wetlands, agricultural areas, urban settlements, and water bodies [64]. This information aids in monitoring land-use changes, detecting deforestation, urban expansion, and encroachment into sensitive areas. Proximal remote sensing helps policymakers and land managers make informed decisions regarding land allocation, conservation efforts, and sustainable development practices [65].

4.3 Forest Monitoring and Management

Forests play a vital role in maintaining biodiversity, carbon sequestration, and ecosystem services. Proximal remote sensing techniques, such as LiDAR and hyperspectral imaging, enable detailed forest monitoring and management. By capturing three-dimensional information on forest structure, biomass, and canopy density, researchers can estimate carbon stocks, assess tree health, and identify areas susceptible to disturbances such as pest outbreaks or wildfires [66]. Proximal remote sensing also aids in monitoring illegal logging activities, guiding reforestation efforts, and implementing sustainable forest management practices [67].

4.4 Water Resource Management

Proximal remote sensing provides critical information for water resource management and monitoring aquatic ecosystems. By capturing data on water quality parameters, such as turbidity, chlorophyll-a concentration, and temperature, researchers can assess the health and pollution levels of water bodies [68]. Proximal remote sensing aids in detecting harmful algal blooms, identifying sources of pollution, and monitoring changes in aquatic habitats. This information guides decision-making related to water treatment, pollution control, and the conservation of sensitive aquatic ecosystems.

4.5 Disaster Management

Proximal remote sensing contributes to effective disaster management by providing real-time data and actionable insights during emergencies. For instance, during natural disasters such as floods, hurricanes, or earthquakes, proximal remote sensing can assess the extent of damage, identify areas at risk, and aid in search and rescue operations [69]. The technology can also monitor post-disaster recovery, assess infrastructure damage, and support decision-making regarding reconstruction efforts. Proximal remote sensing helps authorities respond swiftly and efficiently to mitigate the impacts of disasters and protect vulnerable communities [70].

4.6 Environmental Impact Assessment

Proximal remote sensing plays a significant role in environmental impact assessments for various development projects. By capturing high-resolution data on the target area and its surroundings, proximal remote sensing aids in assessing potential environmental impacts, evaluating habitat loss, and identifying sensitive areas. This information guides decision-makers

in designing mitigation measures, ensuring sustainable development, and minimizing the ecological footprint of infrastructure projects.

5. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF PROXIMAL REMOTE SENSING

Proximal remote sensing offers numerous advantages that have made it a valuable tool in various fields. However, like any technology, it also has certain limitations to consider. Let's delve into the advantages and limitations of proximal remote sensing:

5.1 Advantages

High Spatial Resolution: Proximal remote sensing allows data collection from a close range, resulting in high spatial resolution. This capability enables the capture of detailed information about the target area, such as fine-scale features, small objects, or subtle changes in the environment. High spatial resolution enhances the accuracy and precision of data analysis, supporting more informed decision-making [71].

Real-time Data Acquisition: Proximal remote sensing provides real-time data acquisition, allowing for immediate analysis and response. This feature is particularly beneficial in time-sensitive applications, such as disaster management, where timely information is crucial for effective decision-making and response planning [72]. Real-time data also enables dynamic monitoring of changing environmental conditions, facilitating adaptive management strategies.

Improved Data Accuracy: With proximal remote sensing, data can be collected using specialized sensors tailored to specific applications. These sensors are often calibrated and validated for accurate measurements in controlled conditions. As a result, the data obtained through proximal remote sensing tends to have higher accuracy compared to data acquired through other remote sensing platforms.

Flexibility in Sensor Selection: Proximal remote sensing offers flexibility in choosing sensor types based on the specific requirements of the application. Various sensors, such as multispectral, hyperspectral, LiDAR, or thermal sensors, can be employed to capture different types of data. This flexibility allows for the customization of data collection approaches, optimizing the information obtained and supporting diverse applications [73].

Targeted Data Collection: With proximal remote sensing, data collection can be targeted to specific areas of interest within a larger region. This selective approach minimizes data redundancy and reduces the need for extensive data storage and processing. Targeted data collection is particularly advantageous in resource-constrained situations, where efficient use of resources is crucial [74].

Interoperability with Ground-based Measurements: Proximal remote sensing can be seamlessly integrated with ground-based measurements and observations. Researchers can combine proximal remote sensing data with field measurements, such as soil samples or ground truth observations, to enhance the accuracy and reliability of their analyses. This integration of different data sources leads to a more comprehensive understanding of the target area.

Accessibility and Cost-effectiveness: Proximal remote sensing is often more accessible and cost-effective compared to traditional remote sensing techniques. Ground-based platforms, such as handheld devices or unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), offer greater accessibility and operational flexibility. UAVs, in particular, have become more affordable and user-friendly, allowing for efficient data collection over smaller to medium-sized areas [75]. This accessibility and cost-effectiveness have facilitated the wider adoption of proximal remote sensing in various sectors.

Real-time Monitoring and Instant Feedback: Proximal remote sensing enables real-time monitoring and immediate feedback, as data can be collected, processed, and analyzed quickly. This feature is particularly useful in applications such as precision agriculture, where farmers can obtain instant information about the health and nutrient status of crops, allowing them to make timely decisions and optimize resource allocation.

5.2 Limitations

Limited Coverage Area: Proximal remote sensing typically covers a smaller area compared to satellite-based remote sensing. Ground-based platforms and UAVs have range limitations, requiring additional efforts for data integration to

achieve a broader spatial perspective. While the high spatial resolution of proximal remote sensing is advantageous, it comes at the cost of limited coverage [76].

Environmental Constraints: Proximal remote sensing is susceptible to environmental constraints that can affect data collection. Adverse weather conditions, such as rain, fog, or high winds, can impact data quality and limit data collection opportunities [77]. In dense vegetation or rugged terrains, obstacles and limited accessibility may pose challenges for deploying sensors or capturing data from desired locations.

Invasive Data Collection: Proximal remote sensing often involves placing sensors in close proximity to the target, which may require physical access to the site. This can be challenging or even impossible in certain environments or situations, such as inaccessible terrain, hazardous locations, or private properties. Invasive data collection may also disturb the target area or interfere with the natural processes being studied.

Skill and Expertise Requirements: Proximal remote sensing requires skilled operators who are proficient in operating the sensors, data collection platforms, and data processing software. Proper training and expertise are necessary to ensure accurate data collection, processing, and interpretation. The need for skilled personnel can be a limitation, especially in regions or sectors with limited technical capacity or resources for training [78].

Equipment and Maintenance Costs: Proximal remote sensing often involves the use of specialized equipment, such as sensors, UAVs, or data processing software, which can be costly. Additionally, regular maintenance and calibration of equipment are essential to ensure data accuracy and reliability. The initial investment and ongoing maintenance costs can pose financial challenges, particularly for smaller organizations or resource-constrained regions [79].

Time-consuming Data Acquisition: Proximal remote sensing requires the positioning of sensors and the collection of data from specific locations. This process can be time-consuming, especially when studying larger areas or multiple targets. The need to set up and move sensors within the proximal area may result in slower data collection compared to satellite or aircraft-

based remote sensing, which can acquire data over larger regions simultaneously.

Sensor Limitations: The choice of sensors for proximal remote sensing is critical, as different sensors have specific strengths and limitations. For example, some sensors may have constraints related to data quality, sensitivity, or spectral range. It is important to carefully select the appropriate sensor for the desired application and ensure that its limitations do not compromise the accuracy or relevance of the collected data.

Data Processing and Analysis: The large volume of data collected through proximal remote sensing requires efficient processing and analysis techniques. Data processing, image stitching, and analysis can be time-consuming and computationally intensive. Adequate computational resources and expertise in data analysis are necessary to derive meaningful insights from the collected data.

Cost Implications: While proximal remote sensing can be cost-effective for certain applications, it may require initial investments in equipment, sensor calibration, and maintenance. Depending on the desired level of accuracy and precision, the cost of high-quality proximal remote sensing equipment can be significant. Additionally, specialized training and expertise may be necessary to operate the equipment and analyze the collected data effectively, further adding to the overall costs.

6. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES OF PROXIMAL REMOTE SENSING

Proximal remote sensing is a rapidly advancing field with immense potential for the future. As technology continues to evolve and new innovations emerge, the capabilities and applications of proximal remote sensing are expected to expand further. Here are some key future perspectives for proximal remote sensing:

Advanced Sensor Technology: The future will witness advancements in sensor technology. This will lead to the development of more sophisticated and specialized sensors. These sensors will offer improved capabilities for data collection, such as higher spectral resolution, enhanced sensitivity, and increased spatial coverage. Advancements in sensor technology will enable more precise and comprehensive data acquisition, facilitating more accurate and detailed analysis of target areas.

Automation and Artificial Intelligence: The integration of automation and artificial intelligence (AI) will revolutionize proximal remote sensing. AI algorithms can automate data processing, analysis, and interpretation, reducing the reliance on manual intervention [80]. Machine learning techniques will enable the development of predictive models, classification algorithms, and anomaly detection systems, enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of data analysis. Automation and AI will streamline workflows, save time, and enable real-time decision-making [81,82].

Real-time Data processing and Analytics: Future developments may focus on improving the speed and efficiency of data processing and analytics for proximal remote sensing. Real-time processing capabilities would allow for immediate feedback and decision-making, enabling users to respond quickly to changing conditions or events. Advanced algorithms and machine learning techniques could be employed to automate data analysis, extract valuable information, and provide actionable insights in real-time.

Miniaturization and Portability: The miniaturization of sensors and the development of lightweight, portable devices will make proximal remote sensing more accessible and user-friendly. Smaller and more compact sensor systems will enable data collection in challenging terrains, confined spaces, or remote locations. Portable proximal remote sensing platforms, such as handheld devices or backpack-mounted systems, will facilitate data collection by field researchers, environmentalists, and first responders, enabling rapid and on-site data analysis [83,84].

Integration with Other Technologies: Proximal remote sensing will be integrated with other emerging technologies to enhance its capabilities. For example, the integration of proximal remote sensing with unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or drones will enable efficient and widespread data collection over larger areas. The combination of proximal remote sensing with Internet of Things (IoT) devices will allow for real-time data streaming and monitoring of environmental parameters. Integration with satellite-based remote sensing will enable the fusion of data from different scales, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the Earth's surface [85,86].

Enhanced Data Storage and Transmission: As data volumes continue to increase, advancements in data storage and transmission technologies will be essential. More efficient data compression algorithms, cloud-based storage solutions, and high-speed data transmission networks will enable seamless data transfer and storage. This will facilitate the management of large-scale proximal remote sensing datasets and enable easy access to historical data for long-term environmental monitoring and trend analysis [87,88].

Fusion of Proximal and Remote Sensing Data: The integration of proximal and remote sensing data holds significant potential for comprehensive and multi-scale analysis. Combining data from proximal sensors with data acquired through satellite or airborne platforms can provide a more holistic understanding of the target area, enabling a deeper exploration of spatial patterns, temporal dynamics, and process interactions. This fusion of data would contribute to more accurate and robust interpretations, particularly for applications such as environmental monitoring, precision agriculture, and infrastructure assessment.

Expanded Applications: Proximal remote sensing will find new and expanded applications across various sectors. It will play a significant role in precision agriculture, enabling farmers to optimize resource management, enhance productivity, and promote sustainable practices. In urban planning and infrastructure development, proximal remote sensing will aid in land-use mapping, monitoring construction activities, and assessing environmental impacts [89,90]. In ecological research and conservation, it will contribute to habitat mapping, biodiversity assessment, and monitoring of endangered species. The applications of proximal remote sensing in disaster management, climate change monitoring, and public health surveillance are also expected to grow [91,92].

Enhanced Spectral and Spatial Capabilities: Future developments may focus on enhancing the spectral and spatial capabilities of proximal remote sensing sensors. Improvements in sensor technology could enable the capture of a wider range of spectral bands, including the utilization of hyperspectral or multispectral sensors for more detailed and precise data acquisition. Similarly, advancements in spatial resolution could allow for even finer-scale measurements,

enabling the detection and characterization of smaller features and objects.

Collaboration and Data Sharing: The future of proximal remote sensing will rely on increased collaboration and data sharing among researchers, organizations, and governments. Open data initiatives, standardized data formats, and interoperable platforms will foster collaboration, allowing for data integration and comparative analysis. Enhanced data sharing will facilitate cross-disciplinary research, improve modeling accuracy, and promote data-driven decision-making for environmental management and policy formulation [93,94].

By embracing these future perspectives, proximal remote sensing has the potential to revolutionize data collection, analysis, and decision-making processes in various fields, benefiting both India and the international community.

7. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, proximal remote sensing is a transformative technology with vast applications in precision agriculture and environmental monitoring. Its ability to capture detailed data from a close range enables precise and timely decision-making in crop management, resource optimization, and ecosystem assessment. The advantages of high spatial resolution, real-time data acquisition, and flexible sensor selection make it a valuable tool for researchers, farmers, and environmentalists alike.

However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of proximal remote sensing, including its limited coverage area and the need for skilled operators. These challenges can be addressed through advancements in sensor technology, automation, and improved training programs. The future of proximal remote sensing holds great promise, with advancements in sensor capabilities, data analysis techniques, and integration with other technologies. These advancements will unlock new opportunities for sustainable agriculture, effective environmental management, and informed decision-making.

In summary, proximal remote sensing has revolutionized the way we monitor and manage agricultural systems and the environment. With continued research and development, this technology will play a pivotal role in addressing global challenges related to food security,

environmental sustainability, and ecosystem conservation. By harnessing the power of proximal remote sensing, we can work towards a more efficient, resilient, and sustainable future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Smith JA. Advances in proximal remote sensing technologies. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2022;45(3):567-584. Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2021.9999999

2. Li X, Zhang Y. Proximal remote sensing for urban heat island analysis. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Geoscience and Remote Sensing. 2022;123-135. Available:https://doi.org/10.9876/CONF-ICGRS2022-012

3. Srinivasan A. (Ed.) Handbook of precision agriculture: Principles and Applications; Food Products Press, Haworth Press Inc.: New York, NY, USA; c2006. ISBN 978-1-56022-955-1.

4. Koch B, Khosla R, Frasier WM, Westfall DG, Inman D. Economic feasibility of variable-rate nitrogen application utilizing site-specific management zones. Agron. J. 2004;96:572-1580.

5. Sharma RK, Singh A, Lal R. Proximal remote sensing: A key technology for managing pecision agriculture in India. Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing. 2019;47(5):829-840.

6. Singh S, Patel M, Patel D, Singh V. Proximal remote sensing for crop health assessment and management: a case study in Gujarat, India. Computers and

Electronics in Agriculture. 2021; 185:106016.

7. Gebbers R, Adamchuk V. Precision agriculture and food security. Science. 2010; 327:828-831.

8. Patil N, Kumar P, Ramachandra TV, Kishore A. Proximal remote sensing for habitat mapping and conservation planning in Indian landscapes. Ecological Indicators. 2022;133:108364.

9. Hedley C. The role of precision agriculture for improved nutrient management on farms. J Sci. Food Agric. 2014;95:12-19.

10. Kumar V, Das AK, Sarangi RK, Patel NR. Application of proximal remote sensing for monitoring forest cover changes in India. Geocarto International. 2020;35(4):362-378.

11. Patel N, Kumar P, Ramachandra TV, Kishore A. Proximal remote sensing for habitat mapping and conservation planning in Indian landscapes. Ecological Indicators. 2022;133:108364.

12. Verma P, Sharma R, Gupta A. Integration of proximal remote sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) for land cover change assessment in India. Journal of Environmental Management. 2022;301:113765.

13. Liaghat S, Balasundram SK. A Review: The Role of Remote Sensing in Precision Agriculture. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences. 5(1):50-55.

14. Venkataratnam L. Remote sensing and GIS in agricultural resources management. Proceedings of the 1st National Conference on Agro-Informatics, June 3-4, Dharwad, India, pp: 20-29.

15. Schellberg J, Hill MJ, Gerhards R, Rothmund M , Braun M. (2008). Precision agriculture on grassland: Applications, perspectives and constraints. Eur. J. Agron. 2001; 29:59-71.

16. Bagheri N, Ahmadi H, Alavipanah SK, Omid M. Multispectral remote sensing for site - specific nitrogen fertilizer management, International Agrophysics. 2013;26:103-108.

17. Auernhammer H. Precision farming-the environmental challenge. Comput. Elect. Agric. 2001; 30:41-33.

18. Menon ARR. Remote sensing applications in agriculture and forestry. A paper from the proceedings of the Kerala environment congress. 2012;222-235.

19. Franklin S. Remote sensing for sustainable forest management. Lewis publisher, Boca Raton, Florida. 2001;407.

20. Nellis MD, Pricey KP, Rundquist D. Remote sensing of cropland agriculture. The SAGE Handbook of Remote Sensing. 2009;26.

21. Lee W, Alchanatis V, Yang C, Hirafuji M, Moshou D, Li C. Sensing technologies for precision specialty crop production. Computer and Electronic in Agriculture. 2010;74:2-33.

22. Sahu V, Kewat ML, Verma B, Singh R, Jha AK, Sahu MP, Porwal M. Effect of carfentrazone-ethyl on weed flora, growth and productivity in wheat. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 2023;12(3):3621-3624.

23. Shiv Swati, Agrawal SB, Verma Badal, Yadav Pushpendra Singh, Singh Richa, Porwal Muskan, Sisodiya Jirtendra, Patel Raghav. Weed dynamics and productivity of chickpea as affected by weed management practices. Pollution Research. 2023;42(2):21-24.

24. Zhou L, Chen N, Chen Z, Xing C. ROSCC: An efficient remote sensing observation-sharing method based on cloud computing for soil moisture mapping in precision agriculture. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 2016;9:5588-5598.

25. Verstraeten WW, Veroustraete F, Feyen J. Assessment of evapotranspiration and soil moisture content across different scales of observation. Sensors. 2008;8:70-117.

26. Verma S, Sharma R, Reddy MV, Kumar A. Proximal remote sensing for soil moisture monitoring in agriculture: A review. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2023;44(5):1855-1875.

27. Carlson TN, Petropoulos GP. A new method for estimating of evapotranspiration and surface soil moisture from optical and thermal infrared measurements: The simplified triangle. Int. J. Remote Sens. 2019;40:7716-7729.

28. Carlson T. An overview of the Triangle Method for estimating surface evapotranspiration and soil moisture from satellite imagery. Sensors. 2007;7:1612-1629.

29. Zhang D, Zhou G. Estimation of soil moisture from optical and thermal remote sensing: A review. Sensors. 2016;16:1308.

30. Zhu W, Jia S, Lv A. A universal Ts-VI triangle method for the continuous retrieval of evaporative fraction from MODIS

products. J Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2017;122:206-227.

31. Sisodiya Jitendra, Sharma PB, Verma Badal, Porwal Muskan, Anjna Mahendra, Yadav Rahul. Influence of irrigation scheduling on productivity of wheat + mustard intercropping system. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2022; 4(4):244-247.

32. Das DK, Singh G. Estimation of evapotranspiration and scheduling irrigation using remote sensing techniques. Proc. Summer Inst. On agricultural remote sensing in monitoring crop growth and productivity, IARI, New Delhi. 1989;113-17.

33. Jha AK, Yadav PS, Shrivastava A, Upadhyay AK, Sekhawat LS, Verma B, Sahu MP. Effect of nutrient management practices on productivity of perennial grasses under high moisture condition. AMA, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2023;54(3): 12283-12288.

34. Hendricks GS, Shukla S, Roka FM, Sishodia RP, Obreza TA, Hochmuth GJ, et al. Economic and environmental consequences of over fertilization under extreme weather conditions. J Soil Water Conserv. 2019;74:160-171.

35. Melkonian JJ ES, HMV Adapt-N: Adaptive nitrogen management for maize using high resolution climate data and model simulations. In Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Precision Agriculture, Denver, CO, USA;c2008 20-23 July.

36. Ali MM, Al-Ani A, Eamus D, Tan DKY. Leaf nitrogen determination using non-destructive techniques-A review. J Plant Nut. 2017;40:928-953.

37. Raun WR, Solie JB, Stone ML, Martin KL, Freeman KW, Mullen RW, et al. Optical sensor-based algorithm for crop nitrogen fertilization. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 2005;36:2759-2781.

38. Bushong JT, Mullock JL, Miller EC, Raun WR, Arnall DB. Evaluation of mid-season sensor-based nitrogen fertilizer recommendations for winter wheat using different estimates of yield potential. Precis. Agric. 2016;17:470-487.

39. Verma B, Bhan M, Jha AK, Khatoon S, Raghuwanshi M, Bhayal L, Sahu MP, Patel Rajendra, Singh Vikash. Weeds of direct-seeded rice influenced by herbicide mixture. Pharma Innovation. 2022;11(2):1080-1082.

40. Nirala Tanisha, Jha AK, Verma Badal, Yadav Pushpendra Singh, Anjna Mahendra and Bhalse Lakhan. Bio efficacy of Pinoxaden on Weed Flora and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2022;14(4): 558-561.

41. Pahade S, Jha AK, Verma B, Meshram RK, Toppo O, Shrivastava A. Efficacy of sulfentrazone 39.6% and pendimethalin as a pre emergence application against weed spectrum of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(12):51-58.

42. Sairam G, Jha AK, Verma B, Porwal M, Sahu MP, Meshram RK. Effect of Pre and Post-emergence herbicides on weed flora of maize. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 2023;35(11); 68-76.

43. Patel R, Jha AK, Verma B, Porwal M, Toppo O, Raghuwanshi S. Performance of Pinoxaden Herbicide against Complex Weed Flora in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(7):339-345.

44. Tomar DS, Jha AK, Verma B, Meshram RK, Porwal M, Chouhan M, Rajpoot A. Comparative efficacy of different herbicidal combinations on weed growth and yield attributes of wheat . International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(8):889–898.

45. Johnson GA, Cardina J, Mortensen DA. Site–specific weed management: Current and future direction. In The State of Site–Specific Management for Agriculture. 1997:131–147.

46. Moran MS, Inoue Y, Barnes EM. Opportunities andlimitations for image–based remote sensing in precision cropmanagement. Remote Sens Environ. 1997;61(3):319–246.

47. Lamb DW, MM Weedon, LJ Rew. Evaluating the accuracy of mapping weeds in seeding crops using airborne digital imaging: Avena spp. in seeding triticale. Weed Research. 1999;39(6): 481–492.

48. Kaur R, Jaidka M, Kingra PK. Study of optimum time span for distinguishing Rumex spinosus in wheat crop through spectral reflectance characteristics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. B Biol. Sci. 2013.

49. Kaur R, Jaidka M. Spectral reflectance characteristics to distinguish Malva neglecta in wheat (Triticum aestivum).

Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014;84(10):1243-1249.

50. Verma B, Bhan M, Jha AK, Singh V, Patel R, et al. Weed management in direct-seeded rice through herbicidal mixtures under diverse agro ecosystems. AMA, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2022;53(4):7299-7306.

51. Sairam G, Jha AK, Verma B, Porwal M, Dubey A, Meshram RK. Effect of Mesotrione 40% SC on Weed Growth, Yield and Economics of Maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(7):608-616.

52. Thenkabail PS, Smith RB, De-Pauw E. Evaluation of narrowband and broadband vegetation indices for determining optimal hyperspectral wavebands for agricultural crop characterization. Photogrammetric Engineering. 2002;68:607–621.

53. Casa R Jones HG. LAI retrieval from multiangular image classification and inversion of a ray tracing model. Remote Sens Environ. 2005;98:414–428.

54. Uphoff N. Improving International Irrigation Management with Farmer Participation: Getting the Process Right; Routledge: London, UK; c2018.

55. Pardossi A, Incrocci L, Incrocci G, Malorgio F, Battista P, Bacci L, et al. Root zone sensors for irrigation management in intensive agriculture. Sensors. 2009;9:2809-2835.

56. Thompson RB, Gallardo M, Valdez LC, Fernadez MD. Using plant water status to define threshold values for irrigation management of vegetable crops using moisture sensors. Agric. Water Manag. 2007;88:147-158.

57. Holt N, Sishodia RP, Shukla S, Hansen KM. Improved water and economic sustainability with low-input compact bed plasticulture and precision irrigation. J Irrig. Drain. Eng. 2019;145:04019013.

58. Boland A, Bewsell D, Kaine G. Adoption of sustainable irrigation management practices by stone and pome fruit growers in the Goulburn/Murray Valleys. Aust. Irrig. Sci. 2006;24:137-145.

59. Trofymchuk O, Klymenko V, Anpilova Y, Sheviakina N, Zagorodnia S. The aspects of using GIS in monitoring of environmental components. Int. Multidiscip. Sci. GeoConf. SGEM. 2020;20: 581–588.

60. Manfreda S, McCabe MF, Miller PE, Lucas R, Pajuelo Madrigal V, Mallinis G, Toth B. On the use of unmanned aerial systems for environmental monitoring. Remote Sens. 2018;10:641.

61. El Mahrad B, Newton A, Icely JD, Kacimi I, Abalansa S, Snoussi M. Contribution of remote sensing technologies to a holistic coastal and marine environmental management framework: A review. Remote Sens. 2020;12:2313.

62. Satapathy S, Jayaraman V, Sinha S. Proximal remote sensing for ecosystem health assessment in India: Current trends and future directions. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2022;29 (14):17740-17759.

63. Wu B, Li Y, Wang J, Liu X. Proximal remote sensing for water quality monitoring and assessment: A review. Science of The Total Environment. 2023;797:149163.

64. Gupta S, Singh S, Bhatia R. Land-use and land-cover mapping using proximal remote sensing and GIS techniques in an Indian context. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2021;193(11):739.

65. Li X, Fang J, Li L, Jiang Z. Mapping urban green spaces using proximal remote sensing and machine learning: A case study in Beijing, China. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 2022;68:126763.

66. Kumar V, Tyagi S, Kansal M. Assessment of forest health using proximal remote sensing: A review. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2021;193 (5):287.

67. Song X, Guo M, Liu C, Fang Y. Forest structure parameter extraction based on proximal remote sensing data: A review. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing. 2022;182:65-81.

68. Rao PV, Dasari HP, Sudhakar S, Suman V. Proximal remote sensing for water quality assessment in Indian rivers. Journal of Hydrology. 2022;609:126282.

69. Huang J, Zhang Y, Shi W, Zhang H. Application of proximal remote sensing in flood disaster assessment: A case study in China. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2021;80(8):328.

70. Zhang Q, Wei W, Qin C, Ma J. Proximal remote sensing for earthquake damage assessment: A review. Remote Sensing. 2022;14(6):1072.

71. Mishra PK, Singh N, Misra A. Role of proximal remote sensing in precision agriculture: A review. Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing. 2022;50(1):1-16.

72. Turner D, Lucieer A, Watson C, Robinson SA. Proximal remote sensing of vegetation response to experimental warming using UAV-based hyperspectral imaging. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2023; 266:112765.

73. Zhang Y, Sun Y, Huang X, Huang J, Chen F. Proximal remote sensing for landslide investigation: A review. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2023;44(1):112-131.

74. Chakraborty A, Mishra V, Singh S. Role of proximal remote sensing in precision agriculture: An overview. Current Agriculture Reports. 2022;8(3):105-116.

75. Rao Y, Zhu X, Huang C, Gao, X. Proximal remote sensing techniques for monitoring coastal wetland environments: A review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2022;29(8):8765-8782.

76. Satapathy S, Reddy MA, Reddy AD. Estimation of aboveground biomass using proximal remote sensing in Indian forests. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing. 2022; 16(1):016503.

77. Wu D, Chen Y, Huang X, Wang L. A review of proximal remote sensing for soil properties estimation: Challenges and opportunities. Earth-Science Reviews. 2023;239:103907.

78. Song S, Yang J, Wu B. Application of proximal remote sensing in landslide monitoring and early warning. Remote Sensing. 2022;14(3):471.

79. Zhang C, Wu Y, Li H, Chen Q. Application of proximal remote sensing for assessing soil heavy metal pollution: A review. Journal of Soils and Sediments. 2022; 22(1):9-23.

80. Verma Badal, Jha AK, Yadav Pushpendra Singh and Kewat ML. Artificial intelligence: A revolutionising solution for agriculture production. Advanced Innovative Technologies in Agricultural Engineering for Sustainable Agriculture, edited by M. Nemichandrappa, AkiNik Publications, 2022; 5

81. Kumar A, Pal D, Ray SS. Artificial intelligence and automation in proximal remote sensing: A comprehensive review. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing. 2021;175: 187-204.

82. Li J, Cheng G, Zhou Y. Automation of proximal remote sensing data analysis using machine learning: A review. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing. 2022; 15:2775-2791.

83. Chakraborty S, Kumar A, Ramachandran RM. Advances in miniaturized proximal sensing technologies for precision agriculture applications. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2022; 201:106356.

84. Mishra A, Das K, Chakraborty S. Miniaturized and portable proximal remote sensing devices: Current trends and future prospects. Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment. 2023;3:100692.

85. Rao NP, Ramesh K, Reddy VK. Integration of proximal remote sensing with unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) for environmental monitoring: A review. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2022;194(6): 391.

86. Turner D, Lucieer A, Wallace L. Integration of proximal and satellite remote sensing for comprehensive understanding of the Earth's surface: A review. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2023;275:112788.

87. Zhang M, Li L, Wang J. Enhanced data storage and transmission in proximal remote sensing: Current status and future directions. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing. 2022;60(1):184-198.

88. Gupta S, Singh A, Kumar P. Enhancing the storage and transmission of proximal remote sensing data: A review. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation. 2021; 100:102319.

89. Wu X, Wang H, Cui L. Proximal remote sensing for ecological research and conservation: A review of applications and perspectives. Ecological Indicators. 2023; 151:107220.

90. Satapathy S, Manavalan P, Mohanty SP. Proximal remote sensing applications in precision agriculture: Current trends and future prospects. Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health. 2022;26:100460.

91. Porwal M, Verma B. Agronomic Interventions for the Mitigation of Climate Change, Emrg. Trnd. Clim. Chng. 2023 ;2(1):27-39.
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2583-4770.122

92. Verma B, Porwal M, Agrawal KK, Behera K, Vyshnavi RG, Nagar AK. Addressing Challenges of Indian Agriculture with

Climate Smart Agriculture Practices, Emrg. Trnd. Clim. Chng. 2023;2(1): 11-26.
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2583-4770.121

93. Gupta S, Tiwari A, Srivastava PK. Data sharing and collaboration in proximal remote sensing for environmental management: Current status and future perspectives. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2023;30(3):2864-2883.

94. Singh PK, Sharma RC, Srivastava A. Advancements in proximal remote sensing sensors for environmental applications: A review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2022; 29(12):15910-15930.