



Growth Traits of Pendent *Heliconia* Genotypes in Kanyakumari Conditions

S. Swathi Krishna^{a++*}, A. Jaya Jasmine^{b#},
S. T. Bini Sundar^{ct†}, D. Rajakumar^{b‡}
and P. Irene Vethamoni^{c#}

^a Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

^b Horticultural Research Station, Pechiparai, India.

^c Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193604

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105539>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/06/2023

Accepted: 23/08/2023

Published: 25/08/2023

ABSTRACT

Heliconia is one of the important emerging exotic specialty cut flowers which is gaining importance in the Indian markets and is used as a cut flower, floral decoration, and beautifying gardens. We carried out a field experiment at Horticultural Research Station, Pechiparai, Kanyakumari to evaluate the performance of pendent *Heliconia* genotypes based on the growth characteristics. The results revealed that among the eight genotypes evaluated, *H. chartacea* 'Surinam Gold' recorded the highest plant height (168.12 cm and 228.32 cm) at 120 and 180 days after planting (DAP) followed by *H. vellerigera* 'Red' and *H. chartacea* 'Sexy Pink' which were on par with each other with the maximum number of suckers (8.05 and 8.20 respectively). *H. vellerigera* 'Red' has the highest stem girth of the rest of the genotypes.

⁺⁺P G Scholar;

[#]Professor;

[†]Assistant Professor

[‡]Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: swathysidhu@gmail.com;

Keywords: Floriculture; *Heliconia* genotypes; specialty cut flower.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heliconia, a singular genus encompassing around 250-300 species, has earned considerable attention both in Indian and international markets. It is perennial, characterized by a sturdy stem and propagation through rhizomes. The name "*Heliconia*" traces its origin back to Greek, paying homage to Mount Helicon, and is derived from the term 'helikonios' by Carl Linnaeus [1]. Inflorescences, composed of variedly colored waxy bracts, constitute the hallmark of this flower. These inflorescences can either droop or stand upright, exposing these plants to a unique opportunity to flourish within the floricultural sector. Emerging as an exotic specialty, *Heliconias* have surged in popularity in Indian markets. Beyond their role in enhancing gardens, they command significant prices as cut flowers on the global stage. Referred to as lobster claw, false bird of paradise, wild plantains, parrot flower, parrot plantain, or false plantain (Janakiram and Kumar 2011), *Heliconia* boast year-round inflorescence production across all seasons, rendering them indispensable for exotic floral arrangements. Their spectrum of colors spans red, pink, orange, yellow, and green, coupled with diverse sizes and shapes. Prominent growing regions for *Heliconia* in India include the West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, southern Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Assam, and other northeastern states.

Heliconia finds utility in landscaping due to its lush tropical foliage and an array of inflorescence styles and hues. Additionally, they serve as intercrops in coconut, areca nut, and rubber-based cropping systems, enhancing farmers' profits [2]. Notably, the sizable leaves of *H.* species, particularly *H. bihai*, are harnessed to craft eco-friendly bio food wrappers. This innovative packaging solution, including an outer paper covering, caters to busy consumers [3]. Moreover, the folk medicine realm has embraced *H. rostrata* for addressing diabetes and diabetes-related leg swellings [4]. There is a good demand for the flowers and suckers of the pendant types. On average in a hectare 88,000 to 1,15,000 flowers can be obtained from 10,000 to 30,000 suckers. Additional revenue can be obtained by the sale of suckers besides flowers. In light of this, the principal objective of the study is to comprehensively evaluate various *H.* genotypes,

focusing on their vegetative and growth characteristics.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

The current experiment was carried out at Horticultural Research Station, Pechiparai in Kanyakumari district of Tamil Nadu during the year 2023. The study includes eight genotypes of *Heliconia* which are all pendent types. The species includes *H. rostrata* 'Regular', *H. rostrata* 'Ten Days', *H. excelsa*, *H. chartacea* 'Temptress', *H. chartacea* 'Sexy Pink', *H. chartacea* 'Surinam Gold', *H. vellerigera* 'Brown', and *H. vellerigera* 'Red'. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications in open-field conditions. Six-month-old suckers were planted in uniform pits of size 30cm x 30cm x 30cm with a spacing of 1m x 1m and recommended package of practices were followed thereafter. Biometric observations on growth attributes were recorded 60, 120, and 180 days post-planting (DAP).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height

The genotypes evaluated exhibited significant ($P < 0.05$) difference in the plant height at 60 days intervals (Table 1). Among the genotypes studied, *H. rostrata* 'Ten Days' showed maximum ($P < 0.05$) plant height which was on par with *H. excelsa*, and *H. vellerigera* 'Brown' whereas minimum plant height was observed in *H. vellerigera* 'Red' at 60 days DAP. While at 120 and 180 days DAP, *H. chartacea* 'Surinam Gold' recorded a maximum ($P < 0.05$) plant height whereas *H. chartacea* 'Sexy Pink' had a minimum plant height. Similarly, Malakar et al., [5] assessed the vegetative and floral characteristics of various *Heliconia* genotypes to determine their usefulness as cut flowers or as landscape plants. They claim that *Heliconia stricta*, has the highest recorded height, followed by *Heliconia wagneriana*. The *Heliconia stricta* var. "Dwarf Jamaican Red" was found to be the shortest According to Kress [6], the genus *Heliconia* displays substantial variability, with approximately 422 distinct species identified. Plant height plays a pivotal role in a species' light competition capacity [7]. In the case of *H. psittacorum* cv. *Andromeda* and *H. x H. spathocircinata* cv. Golden Torch, their plant height ranged from 1.0 to 1.8 m, as documented

by Broschat et al. (1984). This current study similarly observed notable variations in plant height among the species [11-16].

3.2 Number of Suckers

The number of suckers evaluated at 60 days intervals among *Heliconia* sp. revealed that *H. chartacea* 'Sexy Pink' recorded the highest ($P<0.05$) number of suckers while *H. vellerigera* 'Brown' had no suckers (Table 2). At 120 DAP, a maximum number of suckers was observed in *H. vellerigera* 'Red' which was on par with *H. chartacea* 'Sexy Pink' while the minimum number of suckers was observed in *H. excelsa* and *H. vellerigera* 'Brown'. At 180 DAP *H. chartacea* 'Sexy Pink' had the highest ($P<0.05$) number of suckers which was on par with *H. vellerigera* 'Red'. The lowest ($P<0.05$) number of suckers was observed in *H. excelsa* which was equivalent to *H. chartacea* 'Surinam Gold'. Andersson [17] and Thangam et al., [18] noted the presence of extensive variation within the

genus *Heliconia*, attributing it to the diverse characteristics of the *Heliconia* family which was observed in the current study also, where substantial variations were also observed. Sexy Pink, Temptress, Wagneriana, and other notable cut flower *Heliconia*'s recorded medium to high sucker production per clump [1] which was similar to the present study [19-22].

3.3 Stem Girth

The stem girth of eight different *Heliconia* genotypes at 60 days intervals was observed (Table 3). The genotype *H. vellerigera* 'Red' has the highest ($P<0.05$) stem girth while *H. rostrata* 'Ten Days' had the least value of stem girth. Among the *Heliconia* genus, the distinction between interspecific cultivars and hybrids is naturally evident and discernible. This differentiation has been observed and understood through the process of morphological characterization, as elucidated by Berry and Kress [23-27].

Table 1. Plant height (cm) of different *Heliconia* genotypes under open field condition

Genotypes	60DAP	120DAP	180DAP
H ₁ - <i>H. rostrata</i> 'Regular'	83.05	123.88	178.71
H ₂ - <i>H. rostrata</i> 'Ten Days'	105.21	123.07	136.29
H ₃ - <i>H. excelsa</i>	102.31	125.69	169.82
H ₄ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Temptress'	97.48	127.50	150.93
H ₅ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Sexy Pink'	67.36	81.89	108.82
H ₆ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Surinam Gold'	86.62	168.12	228.32
H ₇ - <i>H. vellerigera</i> 'Brown'	102.85	154.55	180.32
H ₈ - <i>H. vellerigera</i> 'Red'	79.10	144.94	184.87
SE.d	1.80	3.61	3.30
CD	3.87	7.75	7.07

Table 2. Number of suckers produced by different *Heliconia* genotypes under open field condition

Genotypes	60 DAP	120 DAP	180 DAP
H ₁ - <i>H. rostrata</i> 'Regular'	1.12	3.85	6.06
H ₂ - <i>H. rostrata</i> 'Ten Days'	1.21	3.95	5.22
H ₃ - <i>H. excelsa</i>	1.07	2.19	3.17
H ₄ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Temptress'	1.23	3.05	4.98
H ₅ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Sexy Pink'	3.04	6.01	8.05
H ₆ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Surinam Gold'	1.04	5.14	6.07
H ₇ - <i>H. vellerigera</i> 'Brown'	0.00	2.02	3.07
H ₈ - <i>H. vellerigera</i> 'Red'	1.06	6.19	8.20
SEd	0.11	0.23	0.19
CD	0.24	0.49	0.415

Table 3. Stem girth (cm) of different *Heliconia* genotypes under open field condition

Genotypes	60 DAP	120 DAP	180 DAP
H ₁ - <i>H. rostrata</i> 'Regular'	2.20	2.60	2.90
H ₂ - <i>H. rostrata</i> 'Ten Days'	1.90	2.00	2.30
H ₃ - <i>H. excelsa</i>	2.20	3.30	4.50
H ₄ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Tempress'	2.80	3.30	4.00
H ₅ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Sexy Pink'	2.80	3.60	3.81
H ₆ - <i>H. chartacea</i> 'Surinam Gold'	2.30	2.60	3.14
H ₇ - <i>H. vellerigera</i> 'Brown'	3.10	4.01	5.02
H ₈ - <i>H. vellerigera</i> 'Red'	2.70	3.02	3.60
SE.d	0.05	0.05	0.18
CD	0.11	0.11	0.38

4. CONCLUSION

The present study revealed that *H. chartacea* displayed the highest plant height at 120 and 180 days DAP, while *H. chartacea* displayed the lowest plant height. In terms of the number of suckers, *H. vellerigera* 'Red' and *H. chartacea* demonstrated similar high counts, while *H. excelsa* and *H. chartacea* had the lowest counts. *H. vellerigera* 'Red' emerged with the greatest stem girth, while *H. rostrata* exhibited the smallest stem girth value. Among the evaluated genotypes, *H. vellerigera* revealed superior morphological characteristics in terms of growth and sucker production which could be beneficial to the flower growers for obtaining higher flower yield and revenue in terms of suckers.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Malakar M, Biswas S. *Heliconia* s: Dramatic Flowers of the Tropics and Subtropics. In Floriculture and Ornamental Plants. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. 2022;729-776.
- Nihad K, Krishnakumar V, Bhat R. Floriculture for enhancing profitability of coconut gardens; 2016.
- Dodampe IC, Rifnas LM, Madushani WGC, Weerasinghe SS. Development of Eco-friendly Smart Bio-food Wrapper using Undersized *Heliconia* bihai leaves; 2022.
- Shahriar S, Farzana B, Rahmatullah M. *Heliconia rostrata* Ruiz & Pav. (*Heliconiaceae*)—a previously unreported plant for treatment of diabetes and diabetes-induced edema. Asian J Pharmacogn, 2017;1(4):51-54.
- Malakar M, Acharyya P, Biswas S. Evaluation of *Heliconia* species based on agro-morphological traits. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2015;8(4):957.
- Kress WJ. Systematics of central American *Heliconia* (*Heliconiaceae*) with pendent inflorescences. Journal of the Arnold Arboretum. 1984;65(4):429-532.
- Moles A, Warton DI, Warman L, Swenson GN, Laffan SW, Zanne AE, et al. Global patterns in plant height. J. of Ecol. 2009;1-10.
- Chawla SL, Dhaduk BK, Patel RB. Evaluation of *heliconia* (*Heliconia* spp) genotypes under south Gujarat agro-climatic condition. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2016;86(6):750-752.
- Costa AD, Loges V, Guimarães WNR, Castro ACR, Nogueira LC. *Heliconia* genotypes under partial shade: II. Evaluation of flowering stems. In VI International Symposium on New Floricultural Crops. 2007;813:171-176.
- Costa AS, Loges V, Castro ACR, Guimarães WNR, Nogueira LC. *Heliconia* genotypes under partial shade: I. Shooting and blooming. In VI International Symposium on New Floricultural Crops. 2007;813:609-614.
- Dalawai B, Mantur SM, Biradar MS. Performance of *Heliconia* genotypes for vegetative and flowering traits under shadehouse condition. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(6):2023-2025.
- Kannan M, Jawaharlal M, Ranchana P. Evaluation of *Heliconia* genotypes for genetic, yield and quality parameters. In III International Symposium on Underutilized Plant Species. 2015;1241: 209-214.

13. Patil L, Biradar MS, Patil VS, Math KK, Patil HY. Influence of growing condition, spacing and nutrition on growth and yield of *Heliconia* genotypes; 2022.
14. Priya RS. Genetic cataloguing of *Heliconia* genotypes. Agricultural Science Digest. 2010;30(3):168-172.
15. Priyadharsini R, Padmapriya S, Rajamani K, Senthil N. Physiological and Biochemical characteristics of *Heliconia* genotypes grown in shadenet condition; 2022.
16. Srinivas M, Kumar R, Janakiram T. Evaluation of *Heliconia* genotypes for vegetative and flowering traits. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 2012;72(03):397-399.
17. Andersson L. Revision of *Heliconia* subgen. *Stenochlamys* (*Musaceae-Heliconioideae*). Council for Nordic Publications in Botany; 1985.
18. Thangam M, Safeena SA, Priya Devi, S Singh NP. Performance of *Heliconia*-an exotic cut flower crop as intercrop in coconut under coastal climatic conditions of Goa; 2014.
19. Mangave Bahubali D, Alka Singh, Mahesh K Mahatma. Effects of different plant growth regulators and chemicals spray on post harvest physiology and vase life of *heliconia* inflorescence cv. Golden Torch. Plant Growth Regulation. 2013;69(3):259-264.
20. Narkar Nikhil, Dileep. Variability and character association in heliconiya (*heliconia* spp). PhD diss., Department of Pomology and Floriculture, College of Agriculture, Vellayani; 2012.
21. Sankari A, Anand M, Arulmozhiyan R, Kayalvizhi K. Evaluation of *Heliconia* Cultivars for yield under Yercaud. Advances: 3528.
22. Sankari A, Anand M, Arulmozhiyan R, Kayalvizhi K. Per se performance of *heliconia* cultivars for yield and quality under Eastern ghats. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2016;7(4):1079-1083.
23. Berry F, Kress WJ. *Heliconia*: An identification guide; 1991.
24. Krause S, Krause W, Santos EA, Rossi AA, Cordeiro MHM, Silva CA. Morphological and molecular characterization of native *Heliconia* sp. accessions of the Amazon region. Ornamental Horticulture. 2023;29:323-332.
25. Linares-Gabriel A, López-Collado CJ, Hernández-Chontal MA, Velasco-Velasco J, López-Romero G. Application of soil amendments and their effect in the growth of *heliconia*. Ornamental Horticulture. 2018;24:248-254.
26. Malakar M, Biswas PAS. Rejoinder of diverse organic growing media on morphological attributes of nine *Heliconia* species and varieties under West Bengal condition. J. Crop Weed. 2019;15:35-44.
27. Raizer MDM, Quisen RC, Valente MSF, Lopes R, Lopes MTG. Morphological and stomatal characterization of *Heliconia chartacea* var. Sexy Pink induced polyploidy. Bioscience Journal. 2019;35(1): 222-235.

© 2023 Krishna et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105539>