



Screening of Fungi Isolated from the Brazilian Restinga for Insecticidal Activity

**Vicente Mussi-Dias^{1,2*}, Maria das Graças Machado Freire¹,
Adão Valmir dos Santos³, Denise Dolores Oliveira Moreira²,
Aline Teixeira Carolino² and Richard Ian Samuels²**

¹Laboratory of Chemistry and Biomolecules (LAQUIBIO), CENSA - ISECENSA Superior Education Institutes, Rua Salvador Correa, 139, Centro, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, CEP: 28035-310, Brazil.

²Laboratory of Entomology and Plant Pathology LEF/CCTA, Northern Rio de Janeiro State University Darcy Ribeiro – UENF, Av. Alberto Lamego, 2000, Parque Califórnia, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, CEP: 28013-602, Brazil.

³Laboratory of Biotechnology - LBT/CBB, Northern Rio de Janeiro State University Darcy Ribeiro – UENF, Av. Alberto Lamego, 2000, Parque Califórnia, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, CEP: 28013-602, Brazil.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2020/v30i330204

Editor(s):

(1) Laleh Naraghi, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Iran.

Reviewers:

(1) Jing Yuan, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, China.

(2) Pinakin Dhandhukia, Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/56190>

Original Research Article

Received 16 February 2020

Accepted 22 April 2020

Published 01 May 2020

ABSTRACT

Research on microorganisms for the control of pests and pathogens is increasing. Such organisms display antagonistic effects on pests and pathogens, at the same time, they do not interfere with the sustainable development processes and are environmentally safe for human populations. Thus, bioprospection of fungi from restinga ecosystems is of interest as a novel source of microorganism and a yet unexplored source of chemical structures. This study selected endophytic fungi and fungi from the restinga soil samples to investigate their biological activity against insects. Fifty-three fungal isolates were used in screening bioassays against *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* leaf-cutting ant workers via direct contact of the insects with sporulating fungi cultures. This assay indicated that *Trichoderma* caused the highest mortality. Extracts from *Trichoderma* were then assessed for

*Corresponding author: E-mail: vimdias@yahoo.com.br;

biological activity via ingestion, contact or exposure to fungal volatiles. Results showed that one *Trichoderma* sp. isolate (TR1) caused 50% mortality in 2, 1.5 and 4 days when ingested, sprayed onto the ants or by exposing ants to volatiles, respectively. Although this fungus is not known to be entomopathogenic, it could have potential use as an additional tool for pest control as it produces metabolites with antagonistic effects.

Keywords: Biodiversity; toxicity; biological control; bioactive compounds.

1. INTRODUCTION

In general, insect pests pose constant threats due to their seasonality and outbreaks causing major losses and damage to agriculture and forestry. The use of "synthetic" insecticides, which are extremely toxic, has caused environmental pollution and indiscriminately affected human health. Economically important insect pests, both rural and urban, rapidly become resistant to such products. Therefore, interest in biological control methods has increased, as they represent a promising option in the search for molecules that are less aggressive to humans. From this perspective, our studies have focused on the search for fungi from the Brazilian restinga, a yet poorly studied ecosystem that is a possible source of novel biological control agents and molecules [1,2].

Several studies have demonstrated the diversity of flora and fauna in restinga areas [3,4,5]. However, little is known about the presence of fungi associated to plant species from such ecosystems and much less about the potential use of such organisms for biological control [6].

Restingas exhibit a diverse group of biological communities, reflecting the influence of soil conditions and the degree of exposure to ocean breezes, radiation and salinity, among other factors [7]. In recent years, the LAQUIBIO team has explored this ecosystem's fungal diversity particularly in northern Rio de Janeiro state [8,9]. Discovering new fungal species or isolates is important in the tropics as they occupy differentiated ecological niches. Microorganism are important components of the local biodiversity, and they may also contribute to the production of drugs and other natural chemical substances or compounds that are less environmentally toxic, with potential as control agents for urban and agricultural pests, such as leaf-cutting ants.

Leaf-cutting ants are important pests of agroforestry systems because they occur

throughout the year and can cause major losses if not combated. The worker ants carry plant fragments into their underground colonies where they cultivate a mutualistic fungus *Leucoagaricus gongylophorus* (Möller) Singer from which they obtain nutrients [10].

The ants' habits make them, especially *Atta sexdens rubropilosa*, one of the most frequent pests attacking areas dedicated to agricultural, pastur and forestry activities in South, Central and North America [11]. Besides, leaf-cutting ants are considered the dominant herbivores in neotropics: they consume more vegetation than any other animal group such as mammals, Homoptera and Lepidoptera [12]. Plant damage due to leaf-cutting ant activity is caused by cutting of leaves, shoots, thin branches and flowers, and this leads to further plant damage, making the control of these ants such an important matter [13].

One way to control these ants is by intoxicating the workers using applications of insecticides mainly in the form of baits [14], because they are cheap, practical and easy to disperse. The most common active ingredient in baits is sulfluramid, a compound originally stated to offer technological and environmental advances, although it is highly toxic. According to the Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (ANVISA), in compliance to the Stockholm Convention dealing with Persistent Organic pollutants, sulfluramid producers should have observed the date 09/09/2015 as a deadline for disposing of their previous stocks and for adapting their production lines to the new standards removing the use of this active ingredient thereafter [15]. However, sulfluramid is still being commercialized in Brazil.

Consequently, it is very important to develop alternatives for the control of leaf-cutting ants. Biological control has considered the use of entomopathogenic fungi, which are capable of infecting and killing the ants [16], or acting via antagonistic action on the symbiotic fungus (the ant's food source) [17]. However, ants have their own mechanical and chemical sanitation

strategies, which are able to protect them and their symbiont against parasites and competing microorganisms [18].

Although the potential of synthetic and plant-derived products for ant control have been well explored, studies on fungal-produced compounds on leaf-cutting ants are scarce in the literature [19]. This is also true for *Trichoderma* spp., a fungal species that is widely known to produce bioactive secondary metabolites [20], and is frequently found in soils from temperate and tropical regions, and that can inclusively be found in the microbiota of ant colonies [21].

Some fungal isolates, including *Trichoderma*, were obtained from the restinga ecosystem leaf litter and soil samples in the region of northern Rio de Janeiro state, Brazil. The isolates are maintained in LAQUIBIO's (ISECENSA's Chemical and Biomolecules Laboratory) biological collection [9]. The availability of high species diversity enables one to improve knowledge regarding insect-microorganism interactions, what includes knowledge on insect pest microbial control [22].

The objective of this study was to select and cultivate, in solid and liquid media, endophytic and soil-borne fungi from the restinga and screen these to select the most active isolates and test the extracts they produced against *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* worker ants as a model pest species.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Tested Fungi, Cultivation and Inocula Preparation

All fungi tested in this research were obtained from the LAQUIBIO/ISECENSA's Chemistry and Biomolecules Laboratory fungal collection (Table 1). Isolates derived from endophytic colonization and soil samples were collected in restinga ecosystems from Rio de Janeiro state's northern region, and identification was confirmed by morphological and/or molecular analyses.

Each fungus was cultivated on potato-dextrose-agar (PDA) and maintained via periodic transfer to fresh media throughout the whole test period.

Initial screening was performed on 53 fungi isolates aiming to observe any possible direct contact effects between fungal cultures and the ants, enabling selection of the most promising isolates regarding their effect in reducing ant survival rates.

2.2 Ant Collection and Laboratory Maintenance

The worker ants, displaying an average cephalic capsule width of 2.9 mm, were obtained from active foraging trails of *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* nests in the municipality of Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ. The ants were then kept in 250 mL plastic containers whose edges were impregnated with inert talc to avoid escape. After collection, they were taken to the laboratory (average temperature, 25°C ± 1°C; relative humidity, 60%). For experiments, ten workers were placed in each dish (cohort), and the ants were fed on 10% sucrose solution soaked in cotton wools. This diet was changed every two days and the effects of exposure to fungi on ant survival was observed through the bioassays.

2.3 Bioassays

2.3.1 Screening for lethal effects by contact with fungal colonies

Each of the 53 fungal colonies cultivated on PDA provided one test disc (3 cm in diameter). In order to promote direct contact between workers and fungi, those discs were placed at the bottom of each 250 mL container and ants were allowed to move freely within the container. In the negative control treatment, a PDA disc without fungus was placed at the bottom of the container. A positive control, was used which had discs of the entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals-Criv.) Vuill. (isolate LPP2) or *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschn.) Sorokin., (isolate LEF 2000) These isolates were obtained from the Insect Pathology Sector fungal collection at UENF.

2.3.2 Bioassays for ant infection via contact with fungal spores

For this bioassay, a sterilized filter paper disc (7 cm in diameter) soaked in 2 mL of a spore suspension (10^7 conidia/mL) with each of the isolates shown in Table 1 was used.

Suspensions were prepared from sporulating cultures in Petri dishes by washing with 10 mL sterile distilled water; spores were then brushed from the surface. After agitating in a vortex mixer for 30 s, the concentration of the suspensions was estimated using a Neubauer chamber under a magnification of 200 times. For the control treatment, only sterile distilled water was added to the filter paper.

Dead ants were removed daily during the bioassays (A and B) and then superficially disinfected in alcohol 70% (1 min.), sodium hypochlorite (1000 ppm for 1 min.), rinsed again in alcohol 70% (30 s), washed in sterile distilled water (1 min.) and individualized in ELISA plate wells (96 well plates). A sheet of filter paper soaked in sterile distilled water was placed between the wells and the lids in order to increase the humidity and induce conidiogenesis.

As conidia appeared on the cadavers, fungi were identified and compared to the inocula used in each treatment to indicate isolate recovery or pathogenicity.

2.3.3 Toxicity via ingestion of fungal extracts by worker ants

In order to assess the effects of fungal extracts on ants, a cotton pad was immersed in the extracts, diluted in a 10% sucrose solution, and then placed in each dish. Extracts were prepared with 50 mL of potato-dextrose (PD) medium to which three discs from BDA cultivated fungal colonies had been added. Flasks were kept at 40 rpm agitation for 15 days at room temperature. After this time, each extract was filtered using nitrocellulose membrane (0.22 μm) and the filtrate was used in the tests.

For the *Trichoderma* toxicity assays, worker ants were divided in groups of 10 individuals each and exposed to different *Trichoderma* undiluted extracts; mortality percentages were determined every 24 hours for 7 days. Each assay was performed in triplicate. For control tests, ants fed on 10% sucrose solution soaked in a cotton pad. This diet was replaced every two days. The assessment methodology was repeated in the following assays.

2.3.4 Contact toxicity of fungal extracts

For each replicate, ten ants were sprayed with 3 mL of extract using a manual hand sprayer. Control treatment insects were sprayed with sterile distilled water. Mortality was evaluated every 24 hours for 7 days. Experimental treatments and controls were performed three times each.

2.3.5 Toxicity via exposure to volatile compounds from fungal extracts

Five mL of each fungal extract were applied to a cotton pad placed inside a small container with a perforated lid. Next, the container was placed inside a larger container so that the worker ants

did not have direct contact with the liquid extract, but only with the volatile compounds produced by the extract therein. In the control treatment, sterile distilled water was used. For 10 days, at 24-hour intervals, the number of dead ants was verified, and the diet was replaced every two days.

2.4 Experimental Design and Statistical Analyses

We employed the total randomized experimental design for all assays. Treatments were fungi isolates for assays using culture dishes, spore suspensions, liquid extracts or volatile compound extracts; three repetitions with 10 ants each with a total of 30 individuals per treatment.

Evaluations were performed every 24 hours and dead ants were removed every day up to 10 days. Survival data was collected and survival curves were generated by the Kaplan-Meier method [23]. Curves were compared by the Log-Rank test [24,25], with $P=0.05$ used to verify significant differences between treatments. Analysis of variance and the Tukey multiple comparison test were also performed. We also assessed the LT_{50} (Lethal Time for 50% of tested individuals) estimate and made trend adjustments using the polynomial model. All analyses were performed with the aid of GraphPad Prism 5.0 and Excel software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Endophytic fungi are microorganisms that live within plants without harming their hosts and may constitute an alternative source of secondary metabolites. Similarly, soil-borne fungi, particularly from uninhabited areas such as restingas in northern Rio de Janeiro state, are also capable of producing structurally heterogeneous molecules that exhibit low molecular mass, with potential as bioactive compounds [26] and biological control agents [27]. Table 1 shows the host plants, soils and substrates of the fungi which could produce secondary metabolites that were tested against *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* leaf-cutting ants.

3.1 Screening for Contact Effects When Exposing Ants to Fungal Colonies

Ants were directly exposed to 53 fungal isolates (screening) either by walking on the colony surfaces or, in some cases, by cutting and ingesting disc fragments, both of which caused mortality. This fact can be attributed to metabolites produced by the fungi in culture

Table 1. Endophytic and soil-borne fungi from the restinga used for *in vitro* assessments against *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* leaf-cutting worker ants

Fungus	Isolate codes	Origin: host plant/soil/substrate		
		Common name	Scientific name	
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.	ACR1	Abaneiro	<i>Clusia hilariana</i>	
	ACR2	Trapoeiraba	<i>Commelina erecta</i>	
	ACR3	Pinheirinho-da-praia	<i>Remirea maritima</i>	
	ACR5	Cambucazinho	<i>Eugenia puniceifolia</i>	
	ACR6	Massaranduba	<i>Manilkara subsericea</i>	
	ACR8	Bolo	<i>Coccoloba alnifolia</i>	
	ACR9	Pêro	<i>Psidium cattleianum</i>	
	ACR10	Malva branca	<i>Sida cordifolia</i>	
	ACR11	Rabo-de-macaco	<i>Machaerium lanceolatum</i>	
	ACR12	Vassoura	-	
	<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp.	PES1	Gurirí	<i>Allagoptera arenaria</i>
		PES2	Fungo liquenizado	-
PES3		Feijão-de-porco	<i>Canavalia rosea</i>	
PES4		Arco-de-pipa	<i>Erythroxylum ovalifolium</i>	
PES5		Capororoca-de-folha-larga	<i>Myrsine umbellata</i>	
PES6		Bolo	<i>Coccoloba alnifolia</i>	
PES7		Almescla	<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i>	
PES8		Bolo	<i>Coccoloba alnifolia</i>	
PES9		Pitanga	<i>Eugenia uniflora</i>	
PES10		Cipó-sangue	<i>Paullinia weinmanniifolia</i>	
PES11		Salsa-da-praia	<i>Ipomoea imperati</i>	
PES12		Cambucazinho	<i>Eugenia puniceifolia</i>	
PES13		Fruto-de-guaxo	<i>Cupania emarginata</i>	
PES14		Papagaio	<i>Maytenus obtusifolia</i>	
PES15		Jenipabinho	<i>Tocoyena bullata</i>	
PES16		Massaranduba	<i>Manilkara subsericea</i>	
PES17		Murici	<i>Byrsonima sericea</i>	
PES18		Cipó-sangue	<i>Paullinia weinmanniifolia</i>	
PES19	Capororoca-do-brejo	<i>Myrsine rubra</i>		
PES20	Juramento	<i>Cynophalla flexuosa</i>		

Fungus	Isolate codes	Origin: host plant/soil/substrate	
		Common name	Scientific name
<i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	TR1	Soil	
	TR2	Soil	
	TR3	Soil	
	TR4	Soil	
	TR5	Soil	
	TR6	Soil	
	TR7	Basidimycota (Mushroom)	
	TR8	Basidimycota (Mushroom)	
	TR10	Decomposing leaves on soil surface	
	TR11	Soil	
	<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	5B1.1	Juramento
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	61 A	Bateira-da-praia	<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>
<i>Cladosporium perangustum</i>	38C2	Cipo de são joão	<i>Pyrostegia venusta</i>
<i>Colletotrichum brevisporum</i>	24B2	-	<i>Passiflora</i> sp.
<i>Diaporthe perseae</i>	35C1	Rabo-de-macaco	<i>Machaerium lanceolatum</i>
<i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i>	5 A2	Juramento	<i>Capparis flexuosa</i>
<i>Pestalotiopsis protearum</i>	17B3	Aroeira	<i>Schinus terebinthifolius</i>
<i>Phomopsis phyllanthicola</i>	34C1	Calombo	<i>Pera glabrata</i>
<i>Rhinocladiella similis</i>	8B2	Aroeira	<i>Schinus terebinthifolius</i>
<i>Setosphaeria rostrata</i>	10B1	Calombo	<i>Pera glabrata</i>
<i>Stenella musae</i>	20B	Mololô	<i>Annona glabra</i>
<i>Talaromyces verruculosus</i>	15 A2	Dormideira	<i>Mimosa pellita</i>
<i>Trichoderma atroviridae</i>	7 A2 (TR9)	Cipó-sangue	<i>Paullinia weinmanniifolia</i>

media [20]. Ants that fed on *Trichoderma* fungal colonies displayed the highest levels of mortality. From this result we decided to use this fungus for the production of the extracts used in the subsequent assays. Recently *Trichoderma* has been tested as an antagonist of the leaf-cutting ant's symbiotic fungus. This fungus also produces substances that display potential inhibitory activity of the ant's normal immune responses [28].

The so called positive control treatments, using the entomopathogenic fungi *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*, caused mortality rates of 97% to 100%, respectively on the fifth day of evaluation. This time range was inferior to the one reported by Buseti et al. [29], who have estimated average lethal time of *B. bassiana* against *Atta* between four and five days, but *M. anisopliae* when tested against *Acromyrmex* killed 100% in around six days when inoculated with spore suspensions.

3.2 Effects of Contact between Ants and Fungal Spores

When ants were inoculated with fungi spores, no direct effect on their mortality was observed until 10 days after inoculation. Mortality occurred, but it was probably due to normal causes under the current experimental conditions, and not by infection [30].

Only nine of the 53 inoculated fungi were recovered from superficially disinfected corpses placed in humidity chambers (Table 2). Amongst the 10 *Acremonium* isolates inoculated, none were re-isolated and just one *Pestalotiopsis* was re-isolated, amongst the 20 tested.

Despite fungal proliferation on the ant corpses, death could not be exclusively attributed to the re-isolated fungi because we must consider the fact that the ants might have died from other reasons, such as stress or as a consequence of their manipulation in experimental conditions [31]; therefore, caution is needed when attributing pathogenicity.

Trichoderma exhibited the highest correlation between fungal inoculation and re-isolation: among the eleven isolates tested, seven were recovered from dead ants. As endophytic, saprophytic or soil-borne fungi, *Trichoderma* might have developed within the ant body before or after their deaths, without provoking an immune response [32]. Such internal growth can be seen in plants when fungi are termed

endophytes to indicate that they can grow within the plants apparently without harming them. This association seems to be intimate enough not to activate the plants' enzymatic defense arsenal: becoming an ecological niche for the fungi, which is beneficial for the host plant and the fungus.

Ghosh and Pal [33] used *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* spore suspensions to control *Leucinodes orbonalis*, one of the major pests of aubergine. This treatment showed similar efficacy to that of the pesticide malathion in field trials. Palma [10] showed that *Trichoderma harzianum* was a pathogenic agent of *Atta laevigata* workers, based on detection of mycelial growth and conidiogenesis of this fungus on inoculated ant corpses. *Trichoderma* has also been described in natural environments associated with ants as endophytes of plants which the ants cut and carry into their colonies [34,35].

Even if *Trichoderma* is not directly pathogenic to the ants, it could be used as an additional tool for ant control as it can act as an antagonist of the symbiotic fungus which the ants cultivate and feed on [36]. Notwithstanding the production of metabolites that adversely act on ant colonies.

In a general, when we consider all isolates from the different species we assessed (Table 2), the low fungal recovery rate might indicate that:

- a) Most of them did not behave as entomopathogenic agents in the infection process and were eliminated during superficial disinfection of cadavers.
- b) Cadaver disinfection was efficient in eliminating epicuticular fungi, meaning that only the fungi present within the ants were detected.
- c) Inoculation without addition of surfactants may not have been efficient in breaking the superficial tension to ensure spores adhesion, germination and posterior ant infection.

Beauveria bassiana occurred spontaneously in three ants inoculated with *Phomopsis phyllanthicola* and *Alternaria* sp. It is possible that these ants were carrying this fungi infection since they had been collected in the field, indicating the natural occurrence of this entomopathogen. Cadavers also exhibited other fungal species that had not been inoculated, such as *Aspergillus* sp., *Penicillium* sp. e *Paecilomyces* sp., which have previously been reported as entomopathogenic agents

[10,16,37,38]. It is likely that the ants had already been contaminated with these fungi before being collected in the field and used in bioassays.

It is important to point out that more than 30 *Fusarium* sp. isolates (Table 2) occurred in ants treated with different inoculated fungal spore suspensions. Canali [30] worked on fungal prospection for leaf-cutting ant biological control and analyzed 88 fungi isolated from *A. sexdens rubropilosa* queens. Among those, 43 isolates were identified as *Fusarium*, making this genus the most represented within these samples.

It is likely that *Fusarium* infections occur in natural conditions in the field, indicating this fungus has a high affinity for leaf-cutting ants. Several *Fusarium* species have exhibited entomopathogenic activities and also been considered as promising organisms for the biological control of insects [39] or the ants symbiotic fungus, since some *Fusarium* species have already been described as potential antagonistic agents of fungi [40].

Thirty ants were inoculated separately with either *B. bassiana* or *M. anisopliae* spores. Only one

Table 2. Endophytic and soil-borne fungi from the restinga tested against leaf-cutting ants *Atta sexdens rubropilosa*. Incidence of re-isolation of these fungi from ant cadavers after inoculation

Inoculated fungus	Isolate codes	Number of fungi recovered per ant cadaver*	
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.	ACR1	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
	ACR2	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
	ACR3	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp1; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp2	
	ACR5	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
	ACR6	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp1; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp2	
	ACR8	1 <i>Fusarium</i> ; 1 <i>Penicillium</i> sp.; 1 non sporulated	
	ACR9	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp1; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp2	
	ACR10	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
	ACR11	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
	ACR12	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
	<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp.	117D3	1 <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp.
		109D4	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.
116D1		1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
<i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	TR1	1 <i>Fusarium</i> ; 1 <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	
	TR2	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp1; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp2	
	TR3	1 <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp1; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp2	
	TR4	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp1; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp2; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp3	
	TR5	1 <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	
	TR7	1 <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	
	TR8	1 <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	
	TR9	1 <i>Paecilomyces</i> sp.	
	TR10	1 <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	
	TR11	1 <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
	<i>Diaporthe perseae</i>	35C1	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.
<i>Phomopsis phyllanthicola</i>	34C1	1 <i>Beauveria</i> sp1; 1 <i>Beauveria</i> sp2	
<i>Pestalotiopsis protearum</i>	17B3	1 <i>Penicillium</i> sp.	
<i>Cladosporium perangustum</i>	38C2	1 non sporulated	
<i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i>	5 A2	1 <i>Aspergillus</i> sp; 1 non sporulated	
<i>Trichoderma atroviridae</i>	7 A2	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
<i>Rhinochadiella similis</i>	8B2	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	5B1.1	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.; 1 <i>Beauveria</i> sp.	
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	61 A	1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp.	
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	Positive control	1 <i>M. anisopliae</i> ; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp1; 1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp2	
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	Positive control	1 <i>B. bassiana</i>	

* From approximately 30 cadavers of ants/inoculated isolate

treated ant for each of the two fungi died and exhibited posterior external colonization. This could be explained by the fact that individuals from different casts are more susceptible than others [41,42]. Besides, there is high genetic variability between entomopathogenic fungal isolates, resulting in differences in pathogenicity and levels of virulence [43]. Pathogenicity tests of entomopathogenic fungi against insects can vary greatly even in relation to the two most studied species [44,45,46]. Moino Junior [47] studied 72 *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* isolates and reported that the mortality they caused varied greatly in stored grains pests, some were completely inefficient and others caused 100% mortality, hence the importance of studies to select new isolates or promising species for the control of serious pests such as leaf-cutting ants.

3.3 Effect of Ingestion or Contact with *Trichoderma* Extracts and Effects of Volatile Compounds Produced by this Fungus

In the previous bioassay involving direct contact between ants and fungal cultures, worker survival was only affected by *Trichoderma* after the ants had fed on fungal cultures. Thus, as a consequence, only isolates from this genus were considered for the production of extracts to be used in subsequent assays.

Eleven *Trichoderma* isolates were assessed for their toxic effects on ant survival via ingestion. All of them exhibited deleterious effects when compared to the controls (Fig. 1), whose equations are shown in Table 3.

Only four of the isolates were selected due to their high levels of toxicity, causing 93 to 100% mortality of workers during the first four days of the assays.

Based on these results, extracts of isolates TR1, TR4, TR7 and TR10 were used in new tests to assess toxicity via ingestion, contact and exposure to volatile compounds.

Ingestion of extracts accelerated ant death in comparison to controls (Fig. 2A). The estimated 100% lethal time was 2 days for isolates TR1, TR2 and TR10; 4 days for isolate TR7; and 10 days for the control treatment (Table 4). Variation in extract toxicity could be related to their chemical composition, since *Trichoderma* is capable of producing several compounds such as: dehydroacetic acid, anhydromevalonolactone, 2-phenylethane, polyketides, peptaibols, terpenoids/steroids, harzianic acid, alamethicins, anthraquinones, azafilones, daucanes, harzialactones, bisorbicillinoides, butenolides, tricholine, glisoprenins, heptelidic acid, gliovirin, pyrons, trichothecenes, isocyanates, trichosetin, viridine among other compounds [48,49,50,51].




Fig. 1. Survival curves of *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* ants fed with fungal extracts from 11 *Trichoderma* (TR) isolates. Control: sucrose solution




Fig. 2. *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* workers survival curves following ingestion (A), contact (B) and exposure to volatile compounds (C) effects of extracts of four *Trichoderma* (TR) fungal isolates. P-value for the Log-rank test was <0.001

Table 3. Regression analysis for mortality following ingestion of *Trichoderma* extracts by *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* showing equations and determination coefficients

Treatments	Polynomial equation	Determination coefficient (R ²)
Control	y = - 0.754x ² - 0.9127x + 99.722	0.9712
TR1	y = 1.25x ² - 28.44x + 107.98	0.9436
TR2	y = 2.4405x ² - 31.25x + 97.976	0.9935
TR3	y = 1.25x ² - 20.536x + 102.92	0.9578
TR4	y = 8.5714x ² - 56.286x + 93.81	0.9418
TR5	y = 1.6667x ² - 23.492x + 93.056	0.9416
TR6	y = 0.0595x ² - 7.7579x + 97.361	0.9439
TR7	y = 0.119x ² - 24.31x + 110.24	0.9257
TR8	y = - 2.5x ² - 26.167x + 100.5	0.9991
TR9	y = 1.0913x ² - 17.202x + 97.361	0.9165
TR10	y = 4.6429x ² - 41.548x + 90.476	0.9358
TR11	y = 2.123x ² - 29.187x + 102.92	0.9814

Table 4. Average survival of *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* after ingestion, direct contact and exposure to volatile compounds of extracts of four *Trichoderma* (TR) fungal isolates

Treatments	<i>Trichoderma</i> isolates (average survival - days)				
	TR1	TR4	TR7	TR10	Control
Ingestion	2 ^a	2 ^a	4 ^a	2 ^a	10 ^b
Contact	1.5 ^a	5 ^a	2 ^a	2 ^a	7 ^b
Volatiles	4 ^a	7 ^{bcd}	4 ^{ab}	5 ^{ac}	6.5 ^d

* Averages followed by the same letter on the same line do not differ statistically from each other according to Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test (P <0.05)

Several reports describe the use of *Trichoderma* bioactive compounds for control of phytopathogenic fungi such as *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Verticillium dahliae*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Cylindrocladium* sp. [52]; against the *Toxoplasma gondii* parasite [53]; allelopathic effects on cultivated plants and weeds [50]; and antagonistic effects on bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Rhodotorula* sp. and *Candida* spp. [54]. However, despite the importance and capacity of *Trichoderma* as a bioactive compound producer, literature is scarce when it comes to use of these compounds for insect pest control.

Shakeri and Foster [55] studied two strains of *Trichoderma harzianum* that produced a chitinase and basic proteinase which may play a key role in entomopathogenicity against *Tenebrio molitor* larvae or when applied to the cuticle together with a serine protease. These results suggested that the virulence factors involved in *T. molitor* biocontrol are the same as those for insect pathogenicity. This may affect the use of *Trichoderma* spp. for biocontrol as there may be effects on non-target insect species.

Podder and Ghosh [56] showed that *Trichoderma asperellum* could be used against *Anopheles mosquitoes*, vectors of malaria. They investigated the efficacy of crude methanolic extracts and different methanolic fractions of the fungal extracts against anopheline larvae and concluded that the crude methanolic extract has new applications for the control of *Anopheles* spp.

When extracts were pulverized onto the ants (1 mL applied with a hand sprayer on the parcel containing 10 ants), the survival rate was reduced in comparison to controls (Fig. 2B). Average survival was 1.5 days for ants treated with extracts from isolate TR1; 2 days for extracts from isolates TR7 and TR10; 5 days for TR4. Control mean survival rates were 7 days (Table 4). These lethal time results can be compared to those reported by Oliveira [57] pointed to a lethal time (LT₅₀) of 2 days for topical application of crude cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) nut oil against leaf-cutting ants. Thus, suggesting that the results for *Trichoderma* extracts are promising for the prospection of natural compounds for biological control.

When using *Trichoderma* metabolites as baits, the ideal procedure would be firstly to test a

fungal extract displaying slower mortality effects [58], as it is desirable that the active ingredient can be picked up and spread to other ants in the colony, including the queen. Consequently, individual assessment of the extract effects is essential for determining their best application.

When assessing the volatile compound effects on ants, extracts TR1, TR7 and TR10 displayed statistically different results from control treatments. However, isolate TR4 was similar to controls (Fig. 2C). Average survival was 4 days for ants exposed to volatile compounds from TR1 and TR7 extracts; 5 days for TR10; and 6.5 and 7 days, respectively, for control treatment and extract TR4.

Polezel [59] considered some *Trichoderma* isolates as possible candidates for leaf-cutting ant control when he detected the production of volatile organic compounds and their negative effects on ants when interacting with the mutualistic fungus.

According to Vey et al. [60], *Trichoderma* produces a wide variety of volatile compounds such as ethylene, ketones and aldehydes. Studies of these compounds, besides exhibiting antifungal characteristics, may also display insecticide action, yet this is an area which has been little explored. One of these studies pointed out that *Trichoderma* produced a wide range of volatile compounds [59] and the effects of such compounds could be variable when confronting *Trichoderma* and the ants mutualistic fungus, without considering the direct action of the volatiles on the insects which has not been verified.

Where leaf-cutting ants are concerned, most studies that describe *Trichoderma* as being active against these insects are based either on spores suspension inoculation or action against the fungal symbiont. Even if no direct effect of the fungus on ants can be determined, indirect effects may occur, such as from chitin-degrading enzymes production [61] or the production of compounds that would negatively interfere with ants or the normal development of the colony.

4. CONCLUSION

Extracts from *Trichoderma* fungal isolates (TR1, TR4, TR7 and TR10) caused high levels of leaf-cutting worker ant mortality and could be used in further research aiming to gain a

greater understanding of promising bioactive compounds. Despite the fact that this fungus does not share any co-evolutionary scenario with leaf-cutting ants, it did exert deleterious action against workers.

Further research will be conducted on these *Trichoderma* isolates to compare their antagonism by means of direct action and to verify whether the metabolites affect *L. gongylophorus* as well as *Atta* mini-colonies, as these environments allow workers to interact more naturally with their colony nest-mates and with the symbiotic fungus, enabling the observation of natural responses of the ant-fungus interactions. *Trichoderma* is likely to be useful in the future as a new tool for leaf-cutting ant control, maybe through synergism with entomopathogenic fungi, or through its potential action on the symbiotic fungus. Such interaction might become an advantage to help us overcome the complex defense system of leaf-cutting ants: a system for which a single biological control agent alone, with a narrow action range, would not be successful.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Batista JR, Coutinho HS, Imbeloni TGP, Freire MGM, Mussi-Dias V. Toxicity analysis of extracts of endophytic fungi. *Perspectivas Online: Biological & Health*. 2015;18 (5):15-16. Portuguese.
2. Freire MGM, Carvalho SS, Coutinho HG, Imbeloni TGP, Silva VM, Mussi-Dias V. Bioprospecting of restinga endophytic fungal flora for use in biological pest control. *Perspectivas Online: Biological & Health*. 2015a;18(5):34-35. Portuguese.
3. Araujo DSD, Scarano FR, Sá CFC, Kurtz BC, Zaluar HLT, Montezuma RCM et al. Plant communities in the Jurubatiba Restinga National Park. In: *Ecology of the coastal lagoons of the Jurubatiba Restinga National Park and the Municipality of Macaé (RJ)* (FA Esteves, ed.). Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro; 1998. Portuguese.
4. Reis RCC. Palmeiras (Arecaceae) of the Restingas of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, *Acta Bot. Bras*. 2006;20(3):501-512. Portuguese.

5. Freire MGM, Mussi-Dias V, Siqueira GMB, Nascimento DF. 1st Ed. Time and Restinga; 2015b.
[Accessed 10 February 2019]
Available: <https://issuu.com/otempoearestinga>. Portuguese
6. Freire MGM, Mussi-Dias V, Mattoso TC, Henk DA, Mendes A, Macedo MLR et al. Survey of endophytic *Alternaria* species isolated from plants in the Brazilian restinga biome. *Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences*. 2017;12(2):84-94.
7. Sampaio D. Restinga. In: Restinga trees: Identification guide. Sampaio D, Souza VC, Oliveira AA, Paula-Souza J, Rodrigues RR. Neotrópica Publishing House; 2005. Portuguese.
8. Freire MGM, Mussi-Dias V, Coutinho HG, Hernández GAF, Tavares LPS. Bioprospecting of the endophytic fungal flora of the Jurubatiba Reserve. *Perspectivas Online: Biological & Health*. 2016;21(6):6-13. Portuguese.
9. Mussi-Dias V, Fernandes DC, Freire MGM. Creation of the database of the micotec of the Chemistry and Biomolecules Laboratory (LAQUIBIO) of ISECENSA. *Perspectivas Online: Biological & Health*. 2018;8(28):92-102. Portuguese.
10. Palma AMC. Biological baits to control Leaf-cutting ants (HYMENOPTERA: FORMICIDAE). Conclusion of the Forest Engineering Course - Federal University of Recôncavo da Bahia - UFRB, Cruz das Almas, Ba; 2016. Portuguese.
11. Della Lucia TMC, Fowler HG. Leaf-cutting ants. *Folha de Viçosa*. Portuguese. 1993;1-3.
12. Hölldobler B, Wilson EO. The ants. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press. 1990;732.
13. Della Lucia TMC, Gandra LC, Guedes RNC. Managing leaf-cutting ants: Peculiarities, trends and challenges. *Pest Management Science*. 2014;70:14-23. DOI: 10.1002 / ps.3660
14. Jaccoud DB. Leaf-cutting ants: Principles of integrated management of infested areas. Brasília, IBAMA, Portuguese. 2000; 60.
15. Anvisa-National Health Surveillance Agency. Resolution No. 41 of 1/8/15 (DOU of 1/9/15). Corrected in Section 1 of DOU nº 52, of 03/18/15. 2015.
[Accessed 15 October 2019]
Available: <http://portal.anvisa.gov.br>. Portuguese
16. Ribeiro MMR, Amaral KD, Seide VE, Souza BMR, Della Lucia TMC, Kasuya MCM et al. Diversity of fungi associated with *Atta bisphaerica* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): the activity of *Aspergillus ochraceus* and *Beauveria bassiana*. *Psyche*. 2012;1-6.
DOI: 10.1155 / 2012/389806
17. Dornelas ASP, Sarmiento RA, Santos GR, Nascimento MO, Souza DJ. Filamentous fungi associated with the species *Atta sexdens* (Linnaeus) and *Atta laevigata* (F. Smith) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Entomo Brasiliis*. Portuguese. 2016;9(1): 26-30.
18. Zamunér CFC. Biocontrol of leaf-cutting ants using the fungus *Escovopsis*. São Paulo State University “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”. (Course conclusion work – Bachelor in Biological Sciences) Instituto de Biociências - Rio Claro; 2015. Portuguese.
19. Tavares LPS, Hernández GAF, Mussi-Dias, V, Santos AV, Moreira DDO, Freire MGM. Mortality assessment of leaf-cutting ants by extracts produced by fungi. *Perspectivas Online Journal: Biological & Health, Anais of the IV PROVIC / PIBIC P&D Seminar and I CNPq Scientific Initiation Meeting*. 2019;9(30): Supplement, 21. Portuguese.
20. Isaias CO, Martins I, Silva JBT, Silva JP, Mello SCM. Antagonistic action and bioactive metabolites of *Trichoderma* spp. against the pathogens *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Verticillium dahliae*. *Summa Phytopathologica*. Portuguese. 2014;40: 34-41.
21. Freitas ADG, Souza AQL, Maki CS, Pereira JO, Silva NM. Antagonistic activity of endophytic bacteria from plants in the Amazon against the symbiotic fungus *L. gongylophorus*, and associated fungi present in *Atta sexdens* nests. *Scientia Amazonia*. 2016;5(1):1-14. Portuguese.
22. Alves SB. 2nd ed. Microbial control of insects; 1998. Portuguese.
23. Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 1958;53:457-481.
24. Mantel N. Evaluation of survival data and two new rank order statistics arising in its

- consideration. *Cancer Chemotherapy Reports*. 1966;50:163-170.
25. Peto R, Peto J. Asymptotically efficient rank in variant test procedures. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, (Series A)*. 1972;135:185-207.
DOI: 10.2307/2344317
 26. Schulz B, Boyle C, Draeger S, Römmert A, Krohn K. Endophytic fungi: A source of novel biologically active secondary metabolites. *Mycological Research*. 2002; 106(9):996-1004.
DOI:10.1017/S0953756202006342
 27. Sudha V, Govindaraj R, Baskar K, Abdullah Al-Dhabi N, Duraipandiyan V. Biological properties of endophytic fungi. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 2016;59: e16150436.
 28. Silva DG. Effect of the fungus *Trichoderma harzianum* and zinc on colonies of *Atta sexdens*. Msc Thesis (Master in Forestry and Environmental Sciences). Federal University of Tocantins, Gurupi; 2016. Portuguese.
 29. Busetti A, Cavazzola JK, Fronza E, Barros NM, Menegotto MLA. Pathogenicity of *Beauveria* sp. and *Metarhizium anisopliae* on leaf-cutting ants. I International Symposium on Innovation in Agribusiness Productive Chains; 2015. Portuguese.
 30. Canali MC. Prospecting for entomopathogenic fungi for the biological control of leaf-cutting ants. Msc Thesis (Master in Biological Sciences - Applied Microbiology). São Paulo State University, Rio Claro Biosciences Institute. Rio Claro; 2017. Portuguese.
 31. Rodrigues A, Silva A, Forti LC, Pagnocca FC. Filamentous fungi found on foundress queens of leaf-cutting ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*. 2010;134(4):342-345.
 32. Barros NM, Vargas LRB, Schrank A, Boldo JT, Specht A. Fungi as pest control agents. In: Esposito EE, Azevedo JL. 2nd Ed. *Fungi: An introduction to biology, Biochemistry and Biotechnology*; 2010. Portuguese.
 33. Ghosh SK, Pal S. Entomopathogenic potential of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* and its comparative evaluation with malathion against the insect pest *Leucinodes orbonalis*. *Environ Monit Assess*. 2016;188(1):3.
 34. Montoya QV, Meirelles LA, Chaverri P, Rodrigues A. Unraveling *Trichoderma* species in the attine ant environment: Description of three new taxa. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*; 2016.
DOI: 10.1007/s10482-016-0666-9
 35. Rocha SL, Evans HC, Jorge VL, Cardoso LAO, Pereira FST, Rocha FB et al. Recognition of endophytic *Trichoderma* species by leaf-cutting ants and their potential in a Trojan-horse management strategy. *Royal Society Open Science*. 2017;4:160628.
 36. Nascimento MO, Almeida SR, Santos GR, Oliveira CA, Souza DJ. Antagonism of *Trichoderma* isolates against *Leucoagaricus gongylophorus* (Singer) Möller. *J Basic Microbiol*. 2017;57(8):699-704.
DOI:10.1002/jobm.201600755
 37. Hu Q, Liu S, Yin F, Cai S, Zhong G, Ren S. Diversity and virulence of soil-dwelling fungi *Isaria* spp. and *Paecilomyces* spp. against *Solenopsis invicta* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*. 2011;21(2):225-234.
 38. Mukherjee A, Debnath P, Ghosh SK, Medda PK. Biological control of papaya aphid (*Aphis gossypii* Glover) using entomopathogenic fungi. *Vegetos*; 2019.
DOI: 10.1007/s42535-019-00072-x
 39. Taieb KH, Gharsallah H, Ksentini I, Leclerque A, Schuster C, Cheffi M et al. Screening of biological activities of fungi associated with pistachio bark beetle, *Chaetoptelius vestitus* (Coleoptera, Curculionidae), infesting pistachio cultivations in Tunisia. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*; 2019.
DOI: 10.1111/jam.14572
 40. Soares RM, Maringoni AC. *Fusarium* spp. in the control of common bean wilt. *Summa Phytopathologica*. 2018;44(1):79-82.
 41. Alves SB, Sosa Gomez DR. Virulence of *Metharhizium anisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorok. and *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. for *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* varieties (Forel, 1908). *Poliagro*. 1983;5(1):1-9. Portuguese.
 42. Diehl-Fleig E, Silva ME, Pacheco MRM. Pathogenicity tests of entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* in *Atta sexdens piriventris* (Santschi, 1919) at different temperatures.

- Science and Culture. 1988;40(11):1103-1105. Portuguese.
43. Castilho AMC, Fraga ME, Aguiar-Menezes EL, Rosa CAR. Selection of pathogenic *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* isolates to soldiers of *Atta bisphaerica* and *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* under laboratory conditions. Rural Science. 2010;40(6):1243-1249. Portuguese.
 44. Vieira SA, Alves SB, Stimac JL, were RC. Selection of isolates of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* for the control of *Periplaneta americana* (L.). Brazilian Congress of Entomology, 14. National Meeting of Fitosanitarians 5., Piracicaba. Annals. FEALQ. Portuguese; 1993.
 45. Almeida JEM, Alves SB, Pereira RM. Selection of *Beauveria* spp. isolates for control of the termite *Heterotermes tenuis* (Hagen, 1858). J. Appl. Entomol. 1997; 121: 539-543.
 46. Lecuona RE, Milani MS, Dias BM. Characterization and pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* against *Diatraea saccharalis* (F.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in Argentina. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Brazil. 1996; 25(2):299-307.
 47. Moino Júnior, A. Use of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorok. and *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. for the control of stored grain pests. 1993. 100p. Msc Thesis - School of Agriculture "Luiz de Queiroz", University of São Paulo, Piracicaba; 1993. Portuguese.
 48. Reino JL, Guerrero RF, Hernández-Galán R, Collado IG. Secondary metabolites from species of the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma*. Phytochemistry Reviews. 2008;7:89-123.
 49. Mohiddin FA, Khan MR, Khan SM, Bhat BH. Why *Trichoderma* is considered super hero (super fungus) against the evil parasites?. Plant Pathology Journal. 2010; 9:92-102.
 50. Acosta JAM. Analysis of substances of *Trichoderma* spp. with allelopathic activity. Msc Thesis (Master in Agrochemicals). Federal University of Viçosa - UFV: Viçosa. Portuguese; 2014.
 51. Zeilinger SZ, Gruber S, Bansal R, Mukherjee P. Secondary metabolism in *Trichoderma* - Chemistry meets genomics. Fungal Biol. Rev. 2016;30(2):74-90.
 52. Marques E, Martins I, Mello SCM. Antifungal potential of crude extracts of *Trichoderma* spp. Neotropic biota. 2018; 1:1-5.
DOI: 10.1590 / 1676-0611-BN-2017-0418
 53. Nascimento LAC. Evaluation of the effects of treatment with extracts of the fungus *Trichoderma stromaticum* on *Toxoplasma gondii* tachyzoites in vitro and in vivo. MSc Thesis (Master in Applied Immunology and Parasitology). Federal University of Uberlândia. Portuguese; 2014.
 54. Gellen LFA, Silva DP, Souza SR, Freitas AC, Scheidt GN, Nascimento IR. Pharmacoinustrial potential of *Trichoderma harzianum* for pharmacotherapeutic purposes. Amazon biota. 2014;4(4):91-96.
DOI: 10.18561 / 2179-5746 / biotaamazonia.v4n4p91-96. Portuguese
 55. Shakeri J, Foster HA. Proteolytic activit and antibiotic production by *Trichoderma harzianum* in relation to pathogenicity to insects. Enzyme and Microbial Technology. 2007;40(4):961-968.
 56. Podder D, Ghosh SK. A new application of *Trichoderma asperellum* as an anopheline larvicide for ecofriendly management in medical Science. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 1108.
 57. Oliveira MFSS. Control of leaf-cutting ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) with natural products. Thesis (PhD in Biological Sciences) São Paulo State University "Júlio de Mesquita Filho", Rio Claro, SP; 2006. Portuguese.
 58. Gouveia SM, Carvalho GA, Picanço MC, Morais EGF, Benevenute JS, Moreira MD. Lethal and behavioral effects of Amazonian plant extracts on leaf-cutting ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) workers. Sociobiology. 2010;56:1-10.
 59. Polezel DR. Fungi isolated from initial nests of the ant *Atta sexdens rubropilosa*: analysis of the potential for biocontrol of leaf-cutting ants. Msc Thesis (Master in Biological Sciences - Applied Microbiology). São Paulo State University "Júlio de Mesquita Filho UNESP - Rio Claro; 2017. Portuguese.
 60. Vey A, Hoagland RE, Butt TM. Toxic metabolites of fungal biocontrol agents. In: BUTT M. et al. (eds.), Fungi as biocontrol

- agents progress, problems and potential, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK; 2001; 311-346.
61. Sirpa JGV, Churata CE, Mollinedo P, Siles ET. Evaluación de actividad insecticida y quitinolítica de *Trichoderma inhamatum* y *Beauveria bassiana* em la mosca de la fruta *Drosophila melanogaster*. Bolivian Journal of Chemistry. 2014;31(1):5-9. Spanish.

© 2020 Mussi-Dias et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/56190>