

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 12(5): 1-13, 2016; Article no.IJPSS.27966 ISSN: 2320-7035



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Remediation of a Highly Calcareous Saline Sodic Soil Using Some Soil Amendments

O. Negim^{1*} and A. Mustafa¹

¹Department of Soil and Water, Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Egypt.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Authors ON and AM designed the research work and performed the experiment, analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2016/27966 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dionisios Gasparatos, Soil Science Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Ibrahim, Yusuf El-Ladan, Umaru Musa 'Yar-adua University, Nigeria. (2) Philip Hegarty James, Federal University Gashua, Yobe State, Nigeria. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/16109</u>

Original Research Article

Received 28th June 2016 Accepted 30th August 2016 Published 8th September 2016

ABSTRACT

Amelioration of saline and sodic soil by organic and inorganic amendments can be improved the physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. A laboratory experiment using soil columns was conducted to evaluate the effect of different amendments on some physical and chemical properties of a highly calcareous saline sodic soil (pH= 8.3, ECe= 55 dS/m, CaCO₃= 26.12% and ESP=27.5%). The studied soil was treated with three amendments, viz pressmud, gypsum byproduct and phosphoric acid. Pressmud (PM) was applied at rates of 0, 7.5 and 15% per kg soil whereas, gypsum by- product (G) was mixed with the upper 5 cm of the soil surface and applied to the soils at rates of 0, 2.5 and 5 g/kg. Phosphoric acid 50% (PA) was mixed with irrigation water at 0, 125 and 250 kg/fed application rates. Untreated soil was used as a control (CTRL). All treatments were replicated three times. After incubation period, leaching process was used for six months. At the ending of the experiment, the soil samples were taken from all soil columns and analyzed for some physical and chemical properties. The obtained results showed that, soil pH, ECe and ESP were decreased in all amendments either, single or in combination compared with CTRL. Soluble Na concentration was reduced, while soluble Ca and Mg were increased when addition of all amendments compared with CTRL. Moreover, data revealed that the bulk density and wilting point were decreased, while field capacity and available water were increased in all amendments compared to CTRL. Our study suggests that the combination of PM1+G1+PA1 effectively reclaimed a highly calcareous saline-sodic soil than all other treatments.

Keywords: Remediation; amendments; saline; sodic; soil properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Salt-affected soils are commonly occurring in arid and semi-arid regions under poorly drained conditions. It is covering an area of 62% in more than 100 countries around the world [1,2]. It is characterized by the occurrence and accumulation of salts especially sodium ions (Na⁺) at a level which may caused the destabilization of soil structure, reduction in soil infiltration rate and hydraulic conductivity, increasing fertility problems and consequently decreasing yield of crops [3,4]. Reclamation of these soils needs a good quality water flow through soil profile incorporated with soil amendments such as calcium ions (Ca^{2+}) to replace and remove harmful excess Na⁺ ions from cation exchange sites which subsequently leached down from the root zone as drainage water [5,6,7,8,9]. There are many procedures that can be used to improve salt affected soils, such as, leaching, chemical remediation gypsum (CaSO₄.2 H_2O), including calcite (CaCO₃), calcium chloride (CaCl₂), sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) , phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) [10,11, 12,13,14].

Gypsum is the most common chemical amendments which is applied for removal the harmful of salinity and sodicity from soils. It is cheap, high solubility, availability and easily handling [15,16]. Several studies suggested that the application of gypsum in saline sodic and sodic soil can ameliorate the physical and chemical soil properties such as bulk density, hydraulic conductivity, water infiltration, soil pH, electrical conductivity, exchangeable sodium percent and sodium adsorption ratio [6,9,17,18].

Recently, various organic amendments such as mulch, farmyard manures and composts, have been effectively used to improve salt affected soils [13]. Pressmud (also called filter cake or filter mud) is a solid waste and produced by the sugar mills. It is an enrichment source of organic matter and other nutrients such as N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn and can be applied to soils for improvement of physical, chemical and biological properties [9,19,20,21,22]. It also contains sulfur, which helps to acidify the soil. This acidification makes soluble calcium available and thus improves soil structure and increases the leaching of salts. In addition, pressmud is capable of improvement soil texture, structure, organic matter contents, the water holding capacity and aeration of soil [23,24].

Phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) used as a reclaimed for saline-sodic soil. Phosphoric acid was more efficient than other chemicals amendments such as phosphogypsum in improving soil hydraulic conductivity, reducing the exchangeable sodium percentage, pH and EC [12]. Moreover, sulphuric and phosphoric acid increase the dissolution of calcite in calcareous saline sodic soils [10,12]. Hence this study was carried out to evaluate the effect of the applications of pressmud (PM), gypsum (G) and phosphoric acid (PA) (either applied alone or in different combination) on some physical and chemical properties of highly calcareous saline-sodic soils.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sampling and Analysis of Soil

The study was carried out during the season 2015-2016 on the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Egypt. The Farm is pounded between latitude 26 ° 35' and 26 ° 45' N and longitude 31° 35' and 31° 45' E. Soil samples were collected from 0-30 cm depth then the collected samples were air dried, crushed to pass through a 2-mm sieve and prepared for analysis. Particle size distribution was determined by the pipette method following the method elaborated by [25]. Bulk density was determined by the core method according to the methodology described by [26]. The moisture retention capacity of the soil at 1/3rd and 15 bars were determined as per procedure described by [27] using pressure plate apparatus. Organic matter (OM) content was estimated by a modified Walkley-Black method given by [28]. Calcium carbonate content was determined volumetrically using the calibrated collin's Calcimeter method [29]. Soil pH was measured in 1:1 soil: water suspension by pH meter (Orion model 410A) according to [29]. Electrical conductivity was determined in soil past extract (ECe) using electrical conductivity meter (Orion model 150) [29]. Soluble cations and anions were analyzed in the saturated soil paste extraction according to [29]. Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) was estimated using the equation given by [28]. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by sodium acetate solution and ammonium acetate as a displacing solution [30]. The main physical and chemical properties of the studied soil are presented in Table 1.

| Table 1. | Physico-chemical | properties | of |
|----------|------------------|------------|----|
| | studied soil | | |

| Soil parameters | Unit | Value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Sand | % | 73 |
| Silt | % | 16 |
| Clay | % | 11 |
| Bulk density (BD) | g/cm ³ | 1.66 |
| Field capacity (FC) | % | 9.42 |
| Wilting point (WP) | % | 5.26 |
| Available water (AW) | % | 4.22 |
| OM | % | 1.08 |
| CaCO ₃ | % | 26.12 |
| рН | - | 8.3 |
| ECe | dS /m | 55 |
| Ca ²⁺ | meq/l | 38.34 |
| Mg ²⁺ | meq/l | 13.02 |
| Na ⁺ | meq/l | 309.33 |
| K⁺ | meq/l | 3.42 |
| HCO ₃ ⁻ | meq/l | 60 |
| Cl | meq/l | 285 |
| SO ₄ ²⁻ | meq/l | 40 |
| ESP | % | 27.5 |
| CEC | cmol⁺/ kg | 7.62 |

2.2 Experimental Design and Treatments Amendments

The experimental test was carried out under laboratory conditions using polyvinyl chloride (PVC) with 30 cm height and 10 cm inner diameter. In each soil column, 5 cm layer of sand was placed on the bottom as a filter to facilitate leaching. The soil treatments consisted of the control (CTRL); pressmud (PM) which applied at application rates of 0, 7.5 and 15% per kg soil ; gypsum by product (G) $CaSO_4-2H_2O$ that mixed with the upper 2 cm of soil column and applied at 0, 2.5 and 5 g/kg and phosphoric acid 50% (PA) which dissolved in irrigation water at application rates of 0, 125 and 250 kg/fed. Also, the different combinations of these treatments were applied. All treatments were replicated three times and were incubated at field capacity under laboratory conditions for one month before leaching experiments. The chemical properties of the (PM) are shown in Table 2. The details of experimental design are presented in Table 3.

Table 2. Chemical properties of pressmud (PM)

| Analysis | Unit | PM |
|----------|------|------|
| pН | - | 7.3 |
| EC | dS/m | 4.14 |
| Total N | % | 1.1 |
| Total P | % | 0.9 |
| Total K | % | 0.5 |
| Ca | % | 1.7 |
| Mg | % | 0.6 |
| OM | % | 6.54 |
| Fe | ppm | 5368 |
| Zn | ppm | 107 |
| Cu | ppm | 55 |
| Mn | ppm | 236 |

2.3 Leaching Process

Leaching process was applied every 30 days by adding one pore volume of water (over saturation) to the soil columns. The leachate was collected from each soil column and analyzed according to the methodology described by [31]. After the end of the leaching experiment, the soil

 Table 3. Design of the treatments

| Treatments | Pressmud (PM) % | Gypsum (G) g/kg | Phosphoric acid (PA) kg/fed | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| CTRL | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| PM1 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | | |
| PM2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | | |
| G1 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | | |
| G2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | | |
| PA1 | 0 | 0 | 125 | | |
| PA2 | 0 | 0 | 250 | | |
| PM1+G1 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0 | | |
| PM1+PA1 | 7.5 | 0 | 125 | | |
| G1+PA1 | 0 | 2.5 | 125 | | |
| PM1+G1+PA1 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 125 | | |

samples were taken from all soil columns and analyzed for their physical and chemical properties following the above mentioned standard methods.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The data were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) following the method given by [32]. The differences between treatments were determined using Duncan's Multiple Range Test. The least significant range at 5% level of probability was computed to detect the difference among the treatments means and their interactions.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil Chemical Properties

<u>3.1.1 Soil pH</u>

The results of analysis of variance indicated that the application of all soil amendments alone or the combinations significantly reduced soil pH as compared with CTRL (Table 4). The effect of treatments on soil pH reduction can be arranged as: PM1+G1+PA1 > PM1+PA1 > PA2 > PA1 > G1+PA1 > PM1+G1 > PM2 > PM1 > G2 > G1 > CTRL (Fig. 1). The pH soil decreased from 8.24 to 7.31. The decreased in pH soil is due to the effect of calcium ions that produced from the addition different amendments. The calcium ions replaced Na⁺ ions from exchangeable sites and consequently can be leached from the soil. These results are sympathy with the results of works done by [12,17,18,33]. Also, the application of organic matter regardless its is helpful in decreasing soil pH specially in salinesodic soils due to the effect of organic acids formed during the decomposition process [4,16, 21,34]. The results given by [35] indicated that the application of gypsum improved the soil physico-chemical properties of the root zone and lowering the pH soil. Moreover, the combination of pressmud and gypsum decreased pH soil in saline- sodic soil [9].

3.1.2 Soil ECe

The obtained results indicated that all soil treatments significantly reduced the ECe of the soil as compared with initial ECe value (55 dS/m) (Table 4). The impact of applying different treatments on decreasing ECe values can be layout as: PM1+G1+PA1 > PM1+G1 > PM1+PA1 > G1+PA1 > PM2 > PM1 > G2 > G1 > PA1 > PA2 > CTRL (Fig. 2). The same results were given by [17] who concluded that the addition of gypsum; sulfuric acid and organic manure in soidic soil decreased the ECe. Also, [12] applied phosphoric acid as amendments in calcareous saline sodic soil and found the similar results. Moreover, [6] reported that ECe decreased from 54.4 dS/m to 2.35 dS/m by applying gypsum in saline sodic soil. The organic matter and/or gypsum work as salt-ion chelating agents especially Na⁺ which replaced by Ca²⁺ ions in saline sodic soil and hence improved soil properties [8,,9,16,33,36,37]. In addition, organic amendments produce organic acid during decomposition which facilitate the leaching process and consequently decrease ECe [4,38].



Fig. 1. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on pH soil

| Treatments / | PM | G | PA | pH | ECe | Са | Mq | Na | К | ESP |
|--------------|-----|------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| parameters | % | g/kg | Kg/fed | • | dS/m | meq/l | meq/l | meq/l | meq/l | % |
| CTRL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.24 a ±0.006 | 15.23 a ±0.036 | 30.17 k ±0.028 | 15.34 k ±0.054 | 85.53 a ±0.064 | 3.37 c ±0.008 | 18.65 a ±0.018 |
| PM1 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 8.11c ±0.003 | 9.92 f ±0.017 | 34.73 j ±0.092 | 16.45 j ±0.077 | 54.39 e ±0.24 | 3.41 b ±0.003 | 11.23 d ±0.014 |
| PM2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8.01 d ±0.006 | 9.22 g ±0.014 | 38.51i ±0.108 | 17.37 h ±0.074 | 47.38 g ±0.241 | 3.47 a ±0.003 | 10.13 f ±0.008 |
| G1 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 8.18 b ±0.005 | 10.94 d ±0.017 | 39.28 h ±0.017 | 17.08 l ±0.014 | 56.47 d ±0.183 | 3.22 f ±0.005 | 11.06 e ±0.030 |
| G2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8.12 c ±0.003 | 10.1 e ±0.020 | 42.08 g ±0.017 | 18.1 g ±0.015 | 48.67 f ±0.017 | 3.18 g ±0.003 | 9.82 g ±0.075 |
| PA1 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 7.63 g ±0.013 | 11.35 c ±0.023 | 44.03 f ±0.013 | 18.89 f ±0.020 | 60.67 b ±0.017 | 3.12 l ±0.005 | 11.47 b ±0.061 |
| PA2 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 7. 58 h ±0.005 | 11.89 b ±0.020 | 46.8 e ±0.040 | 20.6 e ±0.057 | 58.42 c ±0.006 | 3.05 j ±0.003 | 11.33 c ±0.006 |
| PM1+G1 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 7.82 e ±0.003 | 8.51 j ±0.027 | 47.04 d ±0.018 | 21.43 d ±0.026 | 32.41 h ±0.018 | 3.14 h ±0.003 | 7.23 h ±0.012 |
| PM1+PA2 | 7.5 | 0 | 125 | 7.41 l ±0.012 | 8.82 l ±0.020 | 48.58 b ±0.050 | 22.69 b ±0.037 | 28.41 j ±0.092 | 3.25 e ±0.003 | 6.14 j ±0.013 |
| G1+PA1 | 0 | 2.5 | 125 | 7.75 f ±0.017 | 8.97 h ±0.003 | 48.06 c ±0.013 | 21.93 c ±0.068 | 30.6 l ±0.032 | 3.23 f ±0.003 | 7.11 l ±0.014 |
| PM1+G1+PA1 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 125 | 7.31 j ±0.008 | 5.71 k ±0.028 | 50.87 a ±0.034 | 23.14 a ±0.011 | 19.17 k ±0.074 | 3.32 d ±0.003 | 5.15 k ±0.017 |

Table 4. Mean and standard error values of the pH, ECe, soluble cations and ESP

In a column mean values \pm standard errors (n=3). The same letter indicates insignificant differences at P= 0.05.

3.1.3 Soluble cations

Data in Table 4 revealed that the concentrations of Ca and Mg significantly increased with addition of amendments which can be grouped according the following order: PM1+G1+PA1 > PM1+PA1 > G1+PA1 > PM1+G1 > PA2 > PA1 > G2 > G1 > PM2 > PM1 > CTRL (Figs. 3 and 4). This is due to the dissolution of calcite and dolomite as a result of the formation of organic acids that produced during organic matter decomposition [15,38,39]. The same results given by [9,12,40,41]. On the contrary, soluble Na significantly decreased in all treatments compared to CTRL. The treatments can be arranged as: PM1+G1+PA1 > PM1+PA1 > G1+PA1 > PM1+G1 > PM2 > G2 > PM1 > G1 > PA2 > PA1 > CTRL (Fig. 5). The lowest value of soluble Na ions was obtained when the combination of amendments was applied. The reduction of soluble Na due to the effect of leaching process and also the effect of organic acids which produced during the process of decomposition of the amendments which replacement Na by Ca [6,9,16,31]. Soluble K significantly increased content with the application of PM compared to other treatments (Fig. 6). This finding is in consistence with the work conducted by [9] who concluded that the addition of pressmud in saline sodic soil increased the soluble K. In this concern, the application of organic amendments could be raise the amount of soluble K and this may be due to the chemical composition of organic amendments [4,15,40,41]. On the other hand, the reduction in soluble K in other treatments when applied gypsum or phosphoric acid in saline sodic soil may be due to the leaching and replacement of Na and K by Ca on the site exchangeable [7,9,12].





Fig. 2. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on ECe soil

Fig. 3. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on soluble Ca

Negim and Mustafa; IJPSS, 12(5): 1-13, 2016; Article no.IJPSS.27966



Fig. 4. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on soluble Mg



Fig. 5. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on soluble Na

3.1.4 Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP)

revealed The presented data that the exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) significantly decreased in the all treatments after leaching compared with the initial ESP value (Table 4). The greatest decrease was found in the PM1+G1+PA1 treatment followed by PM1+PA1 > G1+PA1 > PM1+G1 > G2 > PM2 > G1 > PM1 > PA2 > PA1> CTRL (Fig. 7). The application of organic amendments either singly or in combination in saline sodic soils increased the leaching of Na⁺, water infiltration, water holding capacity and the stability of aggregates and thus causing a decreased of ESP [42,43,44,45]. In addition, the decrease in ESP with increasing the application rates of amendments may be attributed to the increase in Ca on soil solution as a result of addition gypsum and organic amendments which promoted Na displacement and removed by leaching process [37,46]. Moreover, the addition of organic matter with gypsum decreased the ESP in saline sodic soil [9,16]. In their study [6] reported that ESP decreased from 33.4% to 6% by the addition of gypsum followed by leaching in saline sodic soil. The application of sulphur or sulfuric acid decreased the ESP especially in calcareous soils which resulted from dissolving CaCO₃ [42,47]. The results presented by [12] suggested that the

application of phosphoric acid efficiently reduced the ESP as compared to the addition of gypsum in saline sodic soil.

3.2 Soil Physical Properties

3.2.1 Bulk density

The tabulated Data clearly showed that all soil amendments significantly decreased the values of the soil bulk density, relative to the initial bulk density value (Table 5). The highest observed value was recorded in the treatment combination PM1+G1+PA1 followed by > PM2 > PM1 > PM1+G1 > PM1+PA1 > G1+PA1 > G2 > G1 >PA1 > PA2 > CTRL (Fig. 8). This was in agreement with results found by [17] who investigated that there was a highest decreased in the bulk density with the combination of gypsum, sulfuric acid and farmyard manure compared to other combinations. Also, [48] reported that the application of gypsum and organic wastes in saline sodic soil decreased the value bulk density. In addition, organic amendments were more effective to decreased bulk density compared to inorganic amendments [49,50]. The decreased in bulk density is due to the produced organic acids that speed the dissolving of CaCO₃ and consequently increase the total pore space of the saline sodic calcareous soil [42].



Fig. 6. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on soluble K



Fig. 7. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on ESP

3.2.2 Soil moisture retention

Data in Table 5 revealed that the application of different soil amendments either alone or combined with each other increased the soil moisture retention. The available water ranged between 4.23% and 9.63%. The maximum available water was recorded in the treatment combination PM1+G1+PA1 followed by > PM2 > PM1 > PM1+G1 > PM1+PA1 > G1+PA1 > G2 > G1 > PA1 > PA2 > CTRL (Fig. 9). The improved in soil moisture content is due to the increase in the exchangeable Ca ions and decreases the exchangeable Na ions. Also, Phosphoric acid dissolved the CaCO₃ and releases Ca ions, and

improves soil structure [12]. The effect of organic acids, which formed either during decomposition of organic amendments, may be helpful development of soil physical properties [4,16]. The application of organic and/or inorganic amendments can be increased available water content and improved physical properties of saline-sodic soils [50]. [35] reported that the application of gypsum in soil may be improved soil physico- chemical environment in the root zone. In addition, the application of organic and inorganic amendments in saline sodic soil were improve water holding capacity, field capacity and soil water content at wilting point as well as improving soil physical properties [48,51,52,53].



Fig. 8. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on BD



Fig. 9. The effect of the addition of soil amendments on AW

| Treatments / | РМ | G | PA | BD | % FC | WP | AW |
|--------------|-----|------|--------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| parameters | % | kg/g | fed/kg | g/cm ³ | | % | % |
| CTRL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.65 a ±0.003 | 9.44 k ±0.021 | 5.21 j ±0.020 | 4.23 j ±0.024 |
| PM1 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.33 l ±0.008 | 17.41 c ±0.011 | 10.12 c ±0.006 | 7.28 c ±0.006 |
| PM2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1.26 j ±0.003 | 18.11 b ±0.041 | 10.33 b ±0.006 | 7.77 b ±0.040 |
| G1 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 1.53 d ±0.003 | 13.14 h ±0.017 | 6.87 g ±0.010 | 6.27 g ±0.013 |
| G2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1.50 e ±0.006 | 13.45 f ±0.015 | 7.12 f ±0.026 | 6.32 f ±0.013 |
| PA1 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 1.61 c ±0.003 | 11.54 l ±0.012 | 6.54 h ±0.012 | 5.00 h ±0.012 |
| PA2 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 1.62 b ±0.003 | 10.06 j ±0.008 | 5.74 l ±0.008 | 4.31 j ±0.008 |
| PM1+G1 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 1.43 h ±0.003 | 15.73 d ±0.036 | 8.54 d ±0.005 | 7.19 d ±0.030 |
| PM1+PA1 | 7.5 | 0 | 250 | 1.46 j ±0.003 | 15.06 e ±0.011 | 8.35 e ±0.014 | 6.70 e ±0.021 |
| G1+PA1 | 0 | 2.5 | 125 | 1.48 f ±0.003 | 13.21 g ±0.003 | 6.85 g ±0.006 | 6.35 f ±0.003 |
| PM1+G1+PA1 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 125 | 1.21 k ±0.006 | 20.17 a ±0.020 | 10.54 a ±0.006 | 9.63 a ±0.014 |

Table 5. Mean and standard error values of the bulk density (BD), Field capacity (FC), permanent wilting point (PWP) and available water (AW)

In a column mean values \pm standard errors (n=3). The same letter indicates insignificant differences at P= 0.05.

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that, soil pH. ECe, and ESP decreased with addition of were soil amendments compared with CTRL. The soil pH value reduced from 8.24 to 7.31. Soil salinity was reduced from 55 to 5.71 dS/m. The ESP ranged from 5.15 to 18.65% compared with the initial value of 27.5%. Soluble cations like Ca and Ma concentrations have significant increase in all soil amendments compared with CTRL, while Na reduced in all treatments compared with the initial soil. Soluble K concentration had not significantly increased with the application of amendments, while the effect of addition PM was only significant increased K concentration compared to CTRL. Regarding to physical soil effects of properties, the different soil amendments alone or in combination were positive affected in the bulk density and soil moisture content (field capacity, FC, wilting point, WP, and available water, AW). It could be recommended from the research that the PM1+G1+PA1 in combinations are the best influence treatments than the others to remediate saline sodic soil.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ayars JE, Tanji KK. Effects of drainage on water quality in arid and semi-arid irrigated lands. In R.W. Skaggs & J. van Schilfgaarde, eds. Agricultural drainage. Madinson, Wisconsin, American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America. 1999;25:831-867.
- Martinez-Beltran J, Manzur C. Overview of salinity problems in the world and FAO strategies to address the problem. In Proc. Int. Salinity Forum, Riverside, CA, USA. 2005;311-313.
- Qadir M, Noble A, Oster J, Schubert S, Ghafoor A. Driving forces for sodium removal during phytoremediation of calcareous sodic and saline-sodic soils: A review. Soil Use Manage. 2005;(21):173-180.
- 4. Prapagar K, Indraratne S, Premanandharajah P. Effect of soil amendments on reclamation of saline-

sodic soil. Tropical Agric. Res. 2012; 23:168-176.

- Ahmed S, Ghafoor A, Qadirand M, Aziz M. Amelioration of a calcareous salinesodic soil by gypsum application and different crop rotations. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2006; 8:142-146.
- Gharaibeh M, Eltaif N, Shunnar O. Leaching and reclamation of calcareous saline-sodic soil by moderately saline and moderate-SAR water using gypsum and calcium chloride. Plant J. Nutr. Soil Sci. 2009;175:713-719.
- Mahmoodabadi M, Yazdanpanah N, Sinobas L, Pazira E, Neshat A. Reclamation of calcareous saline sodic soil with different amendments (1): Redistribuation of soluble cations within the soil profile. Agri. Water Manag. 2012; 120:30-38.
- Gharaibeh, M, Rusan M, Eltaif N, Shunnar O. Reclamation of highly calcareous saline-sodic soil using low quality water and phosphogypsum. Applied Water Sci. 2014;4(3):223-230.
- Negim O. Effect of addition pressmud and gypsum by product to reclamation of highly calcareous saline sodic soil. AASCIT. J. of Environ. 2016;1(4):76-84.
- Mace JE, Amrhein C, Oster JD. Comparison of gypsum and sulfuric acid for sodic soil reclamation. Arid Soil Res. Rehab. 1999;13:171-188.
- 11. Sharma BR, Minhas PS. Strategies for managing saline/alkali waters for sustainable agricultural production in South Asia. Agric Water Manage. 2005;78:136-151.
- Gharaibeh M, Eltaif N, Shra'ah S. Reclamation of a calcareous saline-sodic soil using phosphoric acid and by-product gypsum. Soil Use Manage. 2010;26(2): 141-148.
- Feizi M, Hajabbasi M, Mostafazadeh-Fard B. Saline irrigation water management strategies for better yield of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) in an arid region. Aust. J. Crop Sci. 2010;4:408-414.
- 14. Diacono M, Montemurro F. Effectiveness of organic wastes as fertilizers and amendments in salt-affected soils. Agriculture. 2015;5:221-230.
- 15. Wong VN, Dalal RC, Greene RS. Carbon dynamics of sodic and saline soils following gypsum and organic material additions: A laboratory incubation. Appl. Soil Ecol. 2009;41:29-40.

- Abdel-Fattah MK. Role of gypsum and compost in reclaiming saline-sodic soils. J. Agric. Vet. Sci. 2012;1:30-38.
- Hussain N, Hassan G, Arshadullah M, Mujeeb F. Evaluation of amendments for the improvement of physical properties of sodic soil. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2001;3:319-322.
- Khan MJ, Jan MT, Khan AU, Arif M, Shafi M. Management of saline sodic soils through cultural practices and gypsum. Pak. J. Bot. 2010;42:4143-4155.
- 19. Nehra AS, Hooda IS. Influence of integrated use of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on lentil and mung bean yields and soil properties. Res. Crops. 2002;3(1):11- 16.
- 20. Jamil M, Qasim M, Sharif Zia M. Utilization of pressmud as organic amendment to improve physico- chemical charcteristics of calcareous soil under two legume crops. Chem. J. Soc. Pak. 2008;30:577-583.
- 21. Muhammad D, Khattak R. Growth and nutrients concentrations of maize in pressmud treated saline sodic soil. Soil and environ. 2009;28:145-155.
- 22. Muhammad D, Khattak R. Wheat yield and chemical composition as influenced by integrated use of gypsum, pressmud and FYM in saline-sodic soil. Chem. J. Soc. Pak. 2011;33(1):82-89.
- Haq I, Khattak S, Rahman H, Ali A, Salim M. Effect of various amendments on the yield of rice crop under saline-sodic conditions in Mardan/Swabi districts. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2001;3: 289-291.
- 24. Ghulam S, Khan M, Usman K, Rehman H. Impact of press mud as organic amendment of physico-chemical characteristics of calcareous soil. Sarhad J. Agri. 2010;26(4):565-570.
- 25. Richards LA. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soil. United States Department of Agriculture. 1954;(60):160.
- Blake GR, Hartge KH. Bulk density. In A. Klute (ed.) Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. 2nd ed. Agron. Monogr. 9. ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI. 1986;363-375.
- 27. Richards LA. Physical condition of water in soil, in method of soil analysis part 1; agronomy, vol. 9, edited by C. A. Black et al. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wis. 1965;128-151.
- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA. 1967;225.

- 29. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc., USA; 1973.
- Rhoades JD. Cation exchange capacity. In: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller, and D.R. Keeney (eds.) Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Agron. Monogr. 9, Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI.1982;149-157.
- US Salinity Laboratory Staff. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. USDA Handbook 60, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.; 1954.
- 32. SAS Institute SAS. User's guide: Statistics. SAS Institute Cary, NC, USA; 2002.
- Zahra N, Ghulam S, Muhammad S. Comparison of gypsum and potassium silicate for reclamation of saline sodic soil Pakistan. J. Agric. Res. 2015;28(1):11-18.
- Antil R Mandeep S. Effect of organic manures and fertilizers on organic matter and nutrients status of the soil. Archi. Argon. Soil Sci. 2007;53(5):519-528.
- Dhanushkodi V, Subrahmaniyan K. Soil Management to increase rice yield in salt affected coastal soil - A review. Int. J. Res. Chem. Environ. 2012;2:1-5.
- Eletr WT, Ali LK, EL-Khatib EL. Comparative effects of bio-compost and compost on growth, yield and nutrients content of pea and wheat plants grown on sandy soils. Egyptian J. Agri. Res. 2004;82(2):73-94.
- Gharaibeh M, Eltaif N, Shra'a S. Desalination and desodification curves of highly saline-sodic soil amended with phosphoric acid and by-product gypsum. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Develop. 2012; 3(1):39-42.
- Udayasoorian C, Sebastian SP, Jayabalakrishnan RM. Effect of amendments on problem soils with poor quality irrigation water under sugarcane crop. American Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci. 2009;5:618-626.
- Wang JM, Yang PL. The effect on physical and chemical properties of saline and sodic soils reclaimed with byproduct from flue gas desulphurization, In Land and Water Management Decision Tools and Practices Vol. II, Huang GH, S. Pereria L, (eds). China Agriculture Press, Beijing. 2004;1015-1021.
- Ahmed M, Niazi BH, Sandhu GR. Effectiveness of gypsum, HCl and organic matter for the improvement of saline sodic soils. Pak. J. Agric. Res. 1988;(9):373– 378.

- 41. Yazdanpanah N, Mahmoodabadi M. Reclamation of calcareous saline-sodic soil using different amendments: Time changes of soluble cations in leachate. Arab J. Geosci. 2013;6(7):2519-2528.
- 42. Mohamed AI, Ali OM, Matloub MA. Effect of soil amendments on some physical and chemical properties of some soils of Egypt under saline irrigation water. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings. 2008; 8:1571-1578.
- 43. Qadir M, Steffens D, Yan F, Schubert S. Sodium removal from a calcareous salinesodic soil through leaching and plant uptake during phytoremediation. Land Degrad. Devel. 2003;14:301-307.
- 44. Yaduvanshi NP, Sharma DR. Tillage and residual organic manures/chemical amendment effects on soil organic matter and yield of wheat under sodic water irrigation. Soil & Tillage Research. 2008; 98:11-16.
- 45. Jalali M, Ranjbar F. Effects of sodic water on soil sodicity and nutrient leaching in poultry and sheep manure amended soils. Geoderma. 2009;153:194-204.
- Gharaibeh M, Eltaif N, Shra'a S. Leaching curves of highly saline-sodic soil amended with phosphoric acid and phosphogypsum. 2nd International Conference on Agricultural & Animal Sciences. Maldives; 2011.

- 47. Wassif MM, El-Maghraby SE, Frah AH. Application of soil amendments as management practices for sustainable productivity under irrigation with saline water. International Symposium of Salt Affected Soils. 1997;328-333.
- Emamia H, Astaraei AR. Effect of organic and inorganic amendments on parameters of water retention curve, bulk density and aggregate diameter of a saline-sodic soil. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 2012;14:1625-1636.
- Khandan A, Astaraei AR. Effects of organic (municipal waste compost, manure) and fertilizers on some physical properties of soil. Desert. 2005;10(2):361-368.
- Khotabaeia M, Emamia H, Astaraeia AR. Fotovata A. Improving soil physical indicators by soil amendment to a salinesodic soil. Desert. 2013;18:73-78.
- Rawls WJ, Pachepsky YA, Ritchie JC, Sobecki TM, Bloodworth H. Effect of soil organic carbon on soil water retention. Geoderma. 2003;116:61-76.
- 52. Ouattara K, Ouattara B, Assa A, Sédogo PM. Long-term effect of ploughing and organic matter input on soil moisture characteristics of a ferric lixisol in burkina faso. Soil Till. Res. 2006;88:217-224.
- 53. Hammer EC, Nasr H, Wallander H. Effects of different organic materials and mineral nutrients on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal growth in a Mediterranean saline dryland. Soil Biol. Bioch. 2011;43:2332-2337.

© 2016 Negim and Mustafa; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/16109