

British Journal of Applied Science & Technology 10(1): 1-13, 2015, Article no.BJAST.17613 ISSN: 2231-0843



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Ensemble Classifier System for Automatic Diagnosis of Thyroid Disease

A. H. El-Baz^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta, Egypt.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJAST/2015/17613 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Qing-Wen Wang, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, P.R. China. (2) A. A. Hanafi-Bojd, Department of Medical Entomology & Vector Control, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Anonymous, University of São Paulo, Brazil. (2) Anonymous, Internal Medicine, Medical University, Zaporozhye, Ukraine. (3) Anonymous, Giresun University, Turkey. (4) Rajendra Nath, Pharmacology & Therapeutics, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, India. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/9750</u>

Original Research Article

Received 20th March 2015 Accepted 13th May 2015 Published 13th June 2015

ABSTRACT

Thyroid gland secretes hormones that govern many of the functions in our body, such as the way the body uses energy, consumes oxygen and produces heat. Thyroid disorders typically occur when this gland releases too many or too few hormones. An overactive or underactive thyroid can lead to a wide range of health problems. Automatic diagnosis of Thyroid disease via proper interpretation of the thyroid data set is an important classification problem. Thyroid disease dataset which is taken from UCI machine learning database was used. The proposed method uses both Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) and Cascade-Forward Back Propagation Network (CFBN) as base classifiers for the proposed combined classifier systems. The combined classifier is based on varying the parameters related to both the design and training of neural network classifiers. The proposed method achieved accuracy value 96.23% for both combined MLP and combined CFBN classifiers. It has been observed that these results are one of the best results compared with results obtained from related previous studies and reported in the UCI web sites. The experimental results obtained show that the proposed combined classifier can be successfully used for diagnosing thyroid disease.

Keywords: Thyroid disease diagnosis; MLP; CFBN; combined classifier; majority vote.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ali_elbaz@yahoo.com, elbaz@du.edu.eg;

The thyroid gland is defined as a butterflyshaped gland in the front of the neck. The thyroid gland lies below the Adam's apple. The function of the thyroid is to regulate numerous metabolic processes throughout the body. When the thyroid is its normal size, one can't feel it [1].

The thyroid secretes several hormones which are called thyroid hormones. The most important hormone is thyroxine which is known as T4. Thyroid hormones act in the human body and they have great influencing in metabolism, growth and development, and body temperature. During infancy and childhood, adequate thyroid hormone is crucial for brain development. Thyroid problems may be caused due to disorders of the pituitary gland and hypothalamus tissues which controlled the thyroid gland [1].

The diagnosis of thyroid disease requires clinical examination, suitable interpretation, and complementary investigation of the thyroid data set. Pattern recognition techniques are used as automatic diagnoses of this type of disease.

Given a pattern recognition problem, the traditional approach is to evaluate a set of different algorithms against a representative validation set and select the best one. However, theoretical and empirical evidence suggests that no single "best" method exists for all classification problems. Also, it is always possible to find the "best" method for a given data set and identify the "best" characteristics of a data set for a given method. This suggests that combining the results of classification.

Ensembles of neural classifiers have been introduced in [2–4]. The base classifiers are different from each other in one or more aspect such as the training parameters, initial weights, network architecture or training algorithm.

In this paper, we enforce diversity in the ensemble by varying the neural network architectures. These parameters are chosen adaptively to generate a pool of classifiers. The final decisions are combined by using the majority voting rule.

The organization of the rest of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, literature review on thyroid database is given. In Section 3, description of the thyroid dataset is given. The proposed combined classifier is given in Section 4. In Section 5, the experimental evaluations of the proposed ensemble technique are given. Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

There are many diagnosis studies of thyroid disease in literature. So far, in these studies. many techniques, which are Multi-Layer Perceptron with Back-Propagation (MLP with BP), Radial Basis Function (RBF), adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN) [5], Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP), Learning Vector Quantization (LVQ), Radial Basis Function (RBF), (Probabilistic Potential Function Neural Network (PPFNN) [6], Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1), C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2), C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3), MLP, DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP) [7], expert system for thyroid disease diagnosis with neuro-fuzzy classification (ESTDD with NEFCLASS-J) [8], have been used. Table 3 summarizes and compares the proposed technique with other popular techniques on thyroid dataset.

3. THE THYROID DATASET USED IN THIS STUDY

The thyroid gland (see Fig. 1) is the biggest gland in the neck. Production of too little thyroid hormone causes hypothyroidism or production of too much thyroid hormone causes hyperthyroidism. Hypothyroidism, or an under active thyroid, has many causes. Some of the causes are prior thyroid surgery, exposure to ionizing radiation, chronic inflammation of the thyroid (autoimmune thyroiditis), iodine deficiency, lack of enzymes to make thyroid hormone, and various kinds of medication. Hyperthyroidism, or an overactive thyroid, may also be caused by inflammation of the thyroid, various kinds of medications, and lack of control of thyroid hormone production. One of the most common causes is Graves' disease. Graves' disease happens when the body makes proteins that constantly tell the thyroid to make more thyroid hormone [5]. The seriousness of thyroid disorders should not be underestimated as storm (an episode of severe thvroid hyperthyroidism) and myxedema coma (the end stage of untreated hypothyroidism) may lead to death in a significant number of cases [9].



Fig. 1. The Thyroid gland [11]

The thyroid data employed in this study is due to Coomans [10] and is available from UCI Repository site: UCI Machine Learning Repository (http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/), which comprises 215 patients from the same hospital. These individuals were divided into three groups of known classification, based on diagnosis results, healthy individual who we call as "normal" in the following for which there were 150 cases. patients suffering from hyperthyroidism (hyper) for which there were 35 cases, from hypothyroidism (hypo) for which there were 30 cases. Table 1 describes the class distribution. The thyroid data are measurement of the thyroid gland. Each individual was characterized by the result of five laboratory tests. Table 1 describes Class distribution of the thyroid dataset.

These are as follows:

- T3-resin uptake test (A percentage).
- Total Serum thyroxin as measured by the isotopic displacement method.
- Total serum tri-iodothyronine as measured by radioimmunoassay.
- Basal thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) as measured by radioimmunoassay.
- Maximal absolute difference of TSH value after injection of 200 lg of thyrotropinreleasing hormone as compared to the basal value.

4. METHOD

4.1 Multilayer Perceptron Neural Network

The multilayer neural networks (MLNNs) have been successfully used in replacing conventional

El-Baz; BJAST, 10(1): 1-13, 2015; Article no.BJAST.17613

pattern recognition methods for the thyroid diagnosis systems [6,7].

In the first stage of this study, a multilayer neural network structure, see Fig. 2, was used for the thyroid disease diagnosis. The network structure consists of (input layer, hidden layers, and output layer). The hidden layer neurons and the output layer neurons use nonlinear sigmoid activation functions. In this system, five inputs were features, and three outputs are index of three classes.



Fig. 2. Feed forward back propagation network

Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm [12] was used in this study for the training of the multilayer neural network structure.

4.2 Cascade-forward Back Propagation Network

A cascade type of feed-forward ANNs, see Fig. 3, consists of three layers as follow: input layer, output layer, and one or more hidden layers to enable it to solve nonlinear problems. The first layer has weights receiving from the input. But each subsequent layer has weights coming from the input and all previous layers. All the network layers have biases. The last layer is for the output of the network. All weights and biases must be initialized.

Generally, the trainable cascade-forward backpropagation network models are similar to feedforward networks, but include a weight connection from the input to each layer and from each layer to the successive layers. Filik and Kurban [13] were found that cascade-forward back-propagation method can be more efficient than feed-forward back-propagation method in the some cases.

| Index | Class name | Class size | Class distribution (%) |
|-------|------------|------------|------------------------|
| C1 | Normal | 150 | 69.77 |
| C2 | Hyper | 35 | 16.28 |
| C3 | Нуро | 30 | 13.95 |

 Table 1. Classes distribution of the thyroid dataset



Fig. 3. Cascade forward back propagation network

4.3 Proposed Combined Classifier System

Combining multiple classifiers has been intensively studied and widely regarded as an effective technique for overcoming the limitations of individual classifiers [14,15]. Importantly, these classifier ensemble methods, e.g., neural network ensembles, have been applied in many real-world applications and achieved great success [16,17].

Generally speaking, there are two main categories in the classifier ensemble. The first one aims at learning multiple classifiers at the feature level. Namely, they usually train and combine multiple classifiers in the learning process, e.g., Boosting [18] and Bagging [19]. On the other hand, the second school of methods tries to combine classifiers at the output level. where the results of multiple available classifiers are combined to solve the targeted problem, e.g., multiple classifier systems, or mixture of experts [20]. In this paper, we focus on the second one. Namely, given multiple classifiers, the classifier ensemble is learned by combining these component classifiers intelligently. Furthermore, classifiers differing in feature representation, architecture, learning algorithm, or training data exhibit complementary classification behavior and the fusion of their decisions can yield higher performance than the best individual classifier.

Also, it had been observed in design studies that although one of the designs would yield the best performance, the sets of patterns misclassified by different classifiers would not necessarily overlap. This suggested that different classifier designs potentially offered complementary information about the patterns to be classified, which could be harnessed to improve the performance of the selected classifier.

On the other hand, to build a multiple classifier system, there are many approaches. In [21], three of these approaches have been presented: divide and conquer approach, sequential approach and parallel approach. According to [21], in the divide and conquer approach the types of input are isolated on which each specific classifier performs well, and new input is directed accordingly. While in the sequential approach, one classifier is used at the beginning and other classifiers are invoked only if the first classifier is failed to satisfy the proposed result. In the parallel approach, which is applicable in many studies and experiments, all individual classifiers are applied in parallel to the same input data. and a combination method is applied to combine their decisions. This technique has been used in this paper.

Mainly, we train randomly many individual classifiers. Each classifier is different than the others in the number of epochs, the learning rate, and/or the number of hidden neurons. Then we get the hypotheses of these classifiers on the dataset. At the end, we combine the classifiers results by using the majority voting technique (also known as plurality voting technique). Both multilayer neural network and cascade-forward back propagation network are used as base classifier in the proposed ensemble systems. The topology of the parallel combination method and the flowchart given in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively show briefly the proposed ensemble method of the individual classifiers.

4.3.1 Majority vote combiner

In this study, a majority voting, which is a popular way of combining classifiers, is used. Majority counts the votes for each class over the input classifiers and select the majority class [22,23]. It is operation algorithm is as follow [24]:



Fig. 4. The topology of the parallel combination of the proposed method



Fig. 5. Flowchart for the proposed ensemble method

For each new pattern, find the class labels $y_1, ..., y_n$, assigned to this pattern by the *n* base classifiers. Next, calculate the number of votes for each class d_k , k = 1, ..., c.

$$N\left(k\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(y_{i}, d_{k}\right)$$
, where $I\left(\alpha, \beta\right) = 1$ if $a = b$

and 0 otherwise. After that, assign label k^* to the object, where $k^* = \arg \max_{k=1}^{c} N(K)$. Finally, return the ensemble label of the new object.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Table 2 summarizes the number of training and testing data for each classes of the thyroid dataset used in our experiment.

5.1 Results of Applied Ensemble of Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) on Thyroid Database

In the first experiment, MLP is used as base classifier for the proposed ensemble. For the sake of generate diversity in classifier ensemble, a pool of 16 different classifiers is generated and the final hypothesis is combined using majority voting technique. The percentage of correct classification (PCC) of the best individual classifier on the test data is 94.3396% and the PCC of the proposed combined classifier on the test data is 96.2264%. We note that the combined classifier proposed compared favorably with the results stated in literatures, see Table 3 and Figs. 14-16. Fig. 6 shows the accuracy of base MLP versus the number of individual classifiers. Also, Figs. 7,8 and 9 show the Performance of the MLP neural network, Training state of MLP and Regression of MLP, respectively.

Table 2. The number of training and test data for each class

| | The number of training data (75%) | The number of test data (25%) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| The hyper-function class (class-1) | 113 | 37 |
| The hypo-function class (class-2) | 26 | 9 |
| The normal-function class (class-3) | 23 | 7 |
| Total | 162 | 53 |



Fig. 6. Accuracy of base MLP versus the number of individual classifier



Fig. 7. Performance of the MLP neural network



Fig. 8. Training state of MLP neural network

5.2 Results of Applied Ensemble of a Cascade-forward Back Propagation Network on Thyroid Database

In this experiment a cascade-forward back propagation network (CFBN) is used as the base classifier for the combined classifier. Percentage of correct classification (PCC) of best individual classifier, in this case, on the test data is 90.5660% and the PCC of the proposed combined classifier on the test data is 96.2264%. Also, We note that the proposed combined classifier compared favorably with the results stated in literatures see Table 3 and Figs. 14-16. Fig. 10 shows the accuracy of base CFBN versus the number of individual classifier. Also, Figs. 11,12 and 13 show the Performance of the CFBN neural network, Training state of CFBN and Regression of CFBN, respectively.



Fig. 9. Regression of MLP neural network



Fig. 10. Accuracy of base CFBN versus the number of individual classifier











Fig. 13. Regression of CFBN neural network



Fig. 14. Comparing the proposed approaches (CMLP and CCFBN) with other approaches



Fig. 15. Comparing the proposed approaches (CMLP and CCFBN) with other approaches



Fig. 16. Comparing the proposed approaches (CMLP and CCFBN) with other approaches

| FAFA+C4.5 (Pruned) Yip and Webb 94.38 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 FAFA+C4.5 (Rules) Yip and Webb 94.38 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 Einstein Yip and Webb 91.91 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 FAFA+Einstein Yip and Webb 93.34 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Serpen et al. 30.74 (lest data) [6] 1997 Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ) Serpen et al. 30.74 (lest data) [6] 1997 Network (PFNN) Multi-Layer Perceptron with Back- Özyilmaz and Yildirim 70.8 (socarge 3- validation) [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN) Yildirim 70.8 (socarge 3- validation) [5] 2002 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 93.26 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 | Method | Author | Accuracy (%) | References | Year |
|--|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------|------|
| FAFA+C4.5 (Rules) Yip and Webb cross validation) (25) 1994 Einstein Yip and Webb 91.91 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 FAFA+Einstein Yip and Webb 93.34 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Serpen et al. 36.74 (test data) [6] 1997 Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Radia Basis Function (REF) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Nulti-Layer Perceptron with Back- Özyulmaz and Yuldrum 70.08 [5] 2002 Propagation (MLP with BP) Özyulmaz and Yuldrum 91.138 [5] 2002 Nulti-Layer Perceptron with Back- Özyulmaz and Yuldrum 91.138 [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 20 | FAFA+C4.5 (Pruned) | Yip and Webb | 94.38 (10-fold | [25] | 1994 |
| FAFA+C4.5 (Rules) Yip and Webb 94.38 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 Einstein Yip and Webb 91.91 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 FAFA+Einstein Yip and Webb 93.34 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Serpen et al. 30.74 (lest data) [6] 1997 Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ) Serpen et al. 81.86 (test data) [6] 1997 Network (PFNN) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (PFNN) 86.33 (average 3- validation) [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Ozyulmaz and Yidirim 91.138 [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Pasi 92.261 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with detault learning parameters Qualito S (C4.5-3) Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with detault learning parameters 91.91 92.94 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with detault learning parameters Qualito S (C4.5-3) Pasi 92.84 (test data) [7] <t< td=""><td>(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</td><td>•</td><td>cross validation)</td><td></td><td></td></t<> | (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | • | cross validation) | | |
| Einstein Yip and Webb cross validation) [25] 1994 FAFA+Einstein Yip and Webb 93.34 (10-fold [25] 1994 Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Serpen et al. 36.74 (test data) [6] 1997 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Nulti-Layer Perceptron with Back- Özylimaz and 74.14 (test data) [6] 1997 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Özylimaz and 79.08 [5] 2002 Vildrim Vildrim Vildrim 79.08 [5] 2002 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Özylimaz and 79.08 [5] 2002 Vildrim Vildrim Yildrim Yildrim 204 [7] 2044 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.84 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 | FAFA+C4.5 (Rules) | Yip and Webb | 94.38 (10-fold | [25] | 1994 |
| Einstein Yip and Webb 91.91 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 FAFA+Einstein Yip and Webb 93.34 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Serpen et al. 81.86 (test data) [6] 1997 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Serpen et al. 78.14 (test data) [6] 1997 Probabilistic Potential Function Neural Network (PFNN) Özylimaz and 86.33 (average 3- validation) [6] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN) Özylimaz and Yildrim 91.138 [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN) Pasi 93.26 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Learning parameters (DIMLP) Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Learning parameters (DIMLP) Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with work hidden layers and befault earning parameters (DIMLP) Pasi | | • | cross validation) | | |
| FAFA+EinsteinYip and Webbcross validation)[25]1994Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)Serpen et al.36.74 (test data)[6]1997Learning Vector Quantzer (LVQ)Serpen et al.18.66 (test data)[6]1997Radial Basis Function (RBF)Serpen et al.72.09 (test data)[6]1997Nulti-Layer Perceptron with Back-Ozylimaz and86.33 (average 3-[6]2002Propagiation (MLP with BP)Özylimaz and86.33 (average 3-[6]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function NeuralÖzylimaz and79.08[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function NeuralÖzylimaz and91.138[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function NeuralÖzylimaz and91.138[7]2004C4.5 with harameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-3)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004UMLP, with two hidden layers and defaultPasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and93.44 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and97.23 (10-fold[28]2006GDA-WSVM expert systemPolat et al.81.00 (10-fold[27]2007CAS with parameter cognition systemPolat et al.93.77 (10-fold[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert systemDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert systemDogantekin et al.93.78 (10-fold <td< td=""><td>Einstein</td><td>Yip and Webb</td><td>91.91 (10-fold</td><td>[25]</td><td>1994</td></td<> | Einstein | Yip and Webb | 91.91 (10-fold | [25] | 1994 |
| FAFA+Einstein Yip and Webb cross validation) 93.34 (10-fold cross validation) [25] 1994 Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ) Serpen et al. 81.86 (test data) [6] 1997 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Network (PFFNN) Ozyumaz and Vildrim 79.08 [5] 2002 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Özyumaz and Vildrim 79.08 [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CFSNN) Pasi 81.34 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.84 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.84 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.84 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-3) Pasi | | P | cross validation) | L - J | |
| Interface cross validation) Corss validation) Corss validation) Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Serpen et al. 36.74 (test data) [6] 1997 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Network (PPFNN) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Network (PPFNN) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Özyulmaz and 79.08 [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Özyulmaz and 91.138 [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Özyulmaz and 91.348 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 IDMLP with two hidden layers and default Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 GPC-EP (s.soft) Kim and 93.44 (10-fold [26] 2006 | FAFA+Einstein | Yip and Webb | 93.34 (10-fold | [25] | 1994 |
| Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Serpen et al. 36 74 (test data) [6] 1997 Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ) Serpen et al. 81.86 (test data) [6] 1997 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Serpen et al. 72.09 (test data) [6] 1997 Probabilistic Potential Function Neural Serpen et al. 78.14 (test data) [6] 1997 Multi-Layer Perceptron with Back- Özyılmaz and 86.33 (average 3- validation) [5] 2002 Radial Basis Function (RBF) Özyılmaz and 91.138 [5] 2002 Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Özyılmaz and 91.138 [5] 2002 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 93.26 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.81 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 C4.5 with default learning parameters Pasi 92.44 (test data) [7] 2004 DiMLP with two hidden layers and default Pasi 93.44 (10-fold< | | P | cross validation) | L - J | |
| Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ) Radial Basis Function (RBF) Probabilistic Potential Function Neural Network (PPFNN) Multi-Layer Perceptron with Back- Propagation (MLP with BP)Serpen et al. Serpen et al.81 86 (test data) 72.09 (test data)[6] 1997Radial Basis Function (RBF) Propagation (MLP with BP)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım Vıldırım86.33 (average 3- fold cross validation)[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım79.08[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım91.138[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1)Pasi92.84 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004CBC-EP (s.soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-foid (Z6][26]2006GPC-EP (m.soft)Kim and Stoft mathic surger Ghahramani97.23 (10-foid (Z6][27]2007CARS with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodDogantekin et al. Stoft93.27 (10-foid (Z6][27]2007GPC-EP (m.soft)Kim and Galar et al. Stoft93.27 (10-foid (Z6][27]2007GAS-With was base classifier without OVO strategy SCCGalar et al. Stoft95.81 (31]2014Gal | Multi-Laver Perceptron (MLP) | Serpen et al. | 36.74 (test data) | [6] | 1997 |
| Radial basis Function (RBF)Serpen et al.72.09 (test data)[6]1997Probabilistic Potential Function NeuralSerpen et al.78.14 (test data)[6]1997Network (PPFNN)Multi-Layer Perceptron with Back- Propagation (MLP with BP)Özylimaz and Yildrim86.33 (average 3- validation)[5]2002Radial Basis Function (RBF)Özylimaz and Yildrim79.08[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) (C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) PasiPasi93.26 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) PasiPasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) PasiPasi92.44 (to-fold Cross-validation)[26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani GPC-EP (s.soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold Cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (n.soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold Cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. Galar et al.93.27 (10-fold Galar et al.[28]2011GDA-WSVM expert system SOSLCC1Dogantekin et al. Galar et al.95.81[31]2014Mith OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.49[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.49[31]2014 <td>Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ)</td> <td>Serpen et al.</td> <td>81.86 (test data)</td> <td>[6]</td> <td>1997</td> | Learning Vector Quantizer (LVQ) | Serpen et al. | 81.86 (test data) | [6] | 1997 |
| Probabilistic Potential Function Neural Network (PPFNN)Serpen et al.78.14 (test data)[6]1997Network (PPFNN)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım86.33 (average 3- fold cross vaildation)[5]2002Radial Basis Function (RBF)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım79.08[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1)Pasi92.84 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) (C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-3)Pasi92.84 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameters (DIMLP) Learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi92.84 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameters (DIMLP) LDAPasi93.44 (10-fold[26]2006Gharamani Gross-validation)Cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (s.soft)Kim and Manamani Gross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (m.soft)Kim and Manamani Gross-validation)[27]2007CARSSC10-fold (LRS)[28]2010CDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. B1.07 (10-fold Galar et al.93.27 (10-fold 93.27 (10-fold Galar et al. 93.27 (10-fold Galar et al. 93.27 (10-fold Galar et al. 93.27 (10-fold Galar et al. 93.27 (10-fold Galar et al. Galar et al. 93.27 (10-fold Galar et al. Galar et al. 95.58 [31] Galar et al. Galar et al. Galar et al. Galar et al. Galar et al. Galar et al. Galar et a | Radial Basis Function (RBF) | Serpen et al. | 72.09 (test data) | [6] | 1997 |
| Network (PPFNN)CircleMulti-Layer Perceptron with Back- Propagation (MLP with BP)Özyılmaz and Yildırım86.33 (average 3- fold cross validation)[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Özyılmaz and Yildırım91.138[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Özyılmaz and Yildırım91.138[5]2002C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5 vith default learning parameters (C4.5 vith parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) PAsiPasi92.24 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with off anameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) PAsiPasi92.24 (test data)[7]2004DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani93.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (s.oft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (s.soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. s3.77 (10-fold Galar et al.93.27 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. s3.55 (31]20142014SCC CLu et al.95.70 (30)20142014SCC CLU et al.95.70 (30)2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND cafar et al.6ala | Probabilistic Potential Function Neural | Serpen et al. | 78.14 (test data) | i6i | 1997 |
| Multi-Layer Perceptron with Back- Propagation (MLP with BP)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım86.33 (average 3- fold cross validation)[5]2002Radial Basis Function (RBF)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım70.8[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım91.138[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) PasiPasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) Hulti-Layer Perceptron (MLP)Pasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameters (DIMLP) LDAPasi94.84 (10-fold[26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (n, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold[26]2006GDA-WSVM expert system ADSTG methodDogantekin et al. Dogantekin et al.93.02 (10-fold[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCSLC Dogantekin et al. B3.2793.26 (10-fold[28]2010GCA-TS as bas classifier stift without OVO strategy SIN with DVO strategy using SM Galar et al. SIN with DVO strategy using SM Galar et al.Galar et al. SISS93.112014GDA-WSVM expert | Network (PPFNN) | • | · · · · · | | |
| Propagation (MLP with BP)Yıldırımfold cross validation)Radial Basis Function (RBF)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım79.08[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım91.138[5]2002Network (CSFNN)Yuldırım81.34 (test data)[7]2004Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi93.26 (test data)[7]2004(C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004(C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)Pasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani93.44 (10-fold[26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani96.75 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (s, soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramani Cross-validation)200720072007The artificial immune recognition system ALS with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.81.00 (10-fold 93.77 (10-fold)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCC CLiu et al.Dogantekin et al.93.27[30]2014SOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 swith oVO strategy using SM Galar et al.Galar et al.93.95[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 swith OVO strategy using SM< | Multi-Laver Perceptron with Back- | Özyılmaz and | 86.33 (average 3- | [5] | 2002 |
| Radial Basis Function (RBF)Validationvalidation)Radial Basis Function (RBF)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım79.08[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CGSFNN)Özyılmaz and Yıldırım91.138[5]2002Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi92.44 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold[26]2006GDA-WSVM expert system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al. 93.27 (10-fold20112014GDA-WSVM expert system soccDogantekin et al. garegations93.86[29]2011SOLCC1 aggregationsCalar et al. Galar et al. garegations93.49[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al. garegations93.49[31]2014Without OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al. garegations93.49[31] | Propagation (MLP with BP) | Yıldırım | fold cross | | |
| Radial Basis Function (RBF)Özyilmaz and Yildirim79.08[5]2002Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN)Vildirim91.138[5]2002Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) PasiPasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) PasiPasi92.84 (test data)[7]2004DIMLP with wob hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP) LDAPasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004GPC-EP (s, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold Ghahramani cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2007GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Haramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SNN with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodDogantekin et al. 93.2793.27[30]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al. 95.35[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al. 95.26 (test data)[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND Galar et al. Galar et al | | | validation) | | |
| Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN)Yildirum Ozylimaz and Ozylimaz and Pasi91.138[5]2002Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5.1)Pasi93.26 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-3)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) SN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsPolat et al. Salar et al. Sala | Radial Basis Function (RBF) | Özyılmaz and | 79.08 [′] | [5] | 2002 |
| Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural Network (CSFNN)Özylmaz and Yildirim91.138[5]2002Network (CSFNN)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1)Pasi93.26 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) PasiPasi92.84 (test data)[7]2004DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani93.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani93.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (n, soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007(AIRS)Polat et al. cross-validation)85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007Martificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al. cross-validation)93.27[30]2014SCCLiu et al. gagregations93.27[30]2014SNN as base classifier without OVO strategy CAS with OVO strategy using ND calar et al. gagregationsGalar et al. g3.95[31]2014With OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM< | ζ, γ | Yıldırım | | | |
| Network (CSFNN)YildrimYildrimLinear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi81.34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parametersPasi93.26 (test data)[7]2004(C4.5-1)C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3)Pasi92.94 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with wo hidden layers and defaultPasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and93.44 (10-fold[26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and94.44 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Kim and96.75 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Kim and96.75 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Kim and97.23 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Kim and97.23 (10-fold[26]2007Ghahramanicross-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and97.23 (10-fold[27]2007Ghahramanicross-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and96.77 (10-fold[27]2007Ghahramanicross-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and96.77 (30]20142014GBC </td <td>Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural</td> <td>Özyılmaz and</td> <td>91.138</td> <td>[5]</td> <td>2002</td> | Adaptive Conic Section Function Neural | Özyılmaz and | 91.138 | [5] | 2002 |
| Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)Pasi81:34 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with default learning parametersPasi93.26 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-2)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)Pasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004DIMLP with two hidden layers and defaultPasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004learning parameters (DIMLP)Kim and93.44 (10-fold[26]2006CM (soft)Kim and94.44 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Kim and96.75 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Kim and97.23 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)27]2007(AIRS)Kim and97.23 (10-fold[27]20072007(AIRS)Dogantekin et al.81.00 (10-fold[27]2007CASS with fuzzy weighted pre-processingASO (10-fold[29]2011ADSTG methodDogantekin et al.91.86[29]2011SCCLiu et al.95.70[30]2014SNN as base classifier without OVO strategyGalar et al.95.81[31]2014ANN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.95[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al. | Network (CSFNN) | Yıldırım | | | |
| C4.5 with default learning parameters (C4.5-1)Pasi93.26 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) PasiPasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) LDAPasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold (16-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (s, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26]2006GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26]2006GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold (26][26]2006GDA-WSVM expert system (AIRS) CC1Dogantekin et al. 93.27 (10-fold cross-validation)20142010GDA-WSVM expert system SOSLCC1Dogantekin et al. Liu et al.93.27 (30]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. 93.29 (31]96.28 (131]2014Algregations C4.5 with OVO strategyGalar et al. 93.4993.49 (31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4993.49 (131]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4993.49 (131]2014Aggr | Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) | Pasi | 81.34 (test data) | [7] | 2004 |
| (C4.5-1)C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3)Pasi92.81 (test data)[7]2004Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)Pasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004DIMLP with two hidden layers and defaultPasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and93.44 (10-fold[26]2006Ghahramanicross-validation)Cross-validation)26.75 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and96.75 (10-fold[26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and97.23 (10-fold[26]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold[27]2007CMSS-validation)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)27.23 (10-fold[28]2010CDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCC C1Dogantekin et al.93.27[30]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014AggregationsGalar e | C4.5 with default learning parameters | Pasi | 93.26 (test data) | [7] | 2004 |
| C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) PasiPasi 92.94 (test data)[7] 2004C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP) LDAPasi 94.86 (test data)[7] 2004DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP) LDAKim and Ghahramani93.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26] 2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)[26] (26]2006 (26]GPC-EP (n,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26] 2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26] 2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al. 90 ant et al.85.00 (10-fold (27] 2007 cross-validation)[29] 2011GDA-WSVM expert system SOSLCC1Dogantekin et al. (21) and box strategy using ND aggregations96.31 (21) (21) 2014 (21) 2014 (22) 2014 (23) 2014 (24) 2014 (23) 2014 (24) 2014 (24) 2014 (24) 2014 (21) 2014 (22) 2014 (23) 2014 (24) 2014 (23) 2014 (24) | (C4.5-1) | | | | |
| C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi Pasi92.94 (test data)[7] 2004DMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi94.86 (test data)[7] 2004LDAKim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold (26][26] 2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26] 2006GPC-EP (s, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26] 2006GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold (26][26] 2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al. Dogantekin et al.81.00 (10-fold (27] 2007[27] 2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. Liu et al.93.77 (10-fold (28] 2011[28] 20142014SCCLiu et al. Galar et al.95.35[31] 20142014 2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.95.81 93.95[31] 2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.49 93.95[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4993.49 93.49[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4993.49 93.49[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND C4.5 with OVO strategy | C4.5 with parameter c equal to 5 (C4.5-2) | Pasi | 92.81 (test data) | [7] | 2004 |
| Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi96.24 (test data)[7]2004DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani93.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani94.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (s, soft)Kim and Ghahramani96.75 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (m, soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al. Dogantekin et al.81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007Coss-validation)Polat et al. cross-validation)85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. (alres)93.27 (10-fold (28][28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SSLCC1Dogantekin et al. (alar et al. galar et al. g5.35[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. (alar et al. g5.35[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. (alar et al. g5.35[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. (alar et al. g5.35[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using ND | C4.5 with parameter c equal to 95 (C4.5-3) | Pasi | 92.94 (test data) | 171 | 2004 |
| DIMLP with two hidden layers and default learning parameters (DIMLP)Pasi94.86 (test data)[7]2004LDAKim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold (26][26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26]2006GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26][26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.73 (10-fold (27][26]2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27] coross-validation)The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28] coross-validation)GDA-WSVM expert system SOSLCC1Dogantekin et al. Liu et al.93.27 (30] coross-validation)[29] cord2011 cross-validation)GDA-WSVM expert system SOSLCC1Dogantekin et al. Galar et al.95.35 (31] cord[31] cordSNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.95.81 (31] cord[31] cordGAL et al. aggregationsGalar et al. (31]93.49 (31]2014 cordAuggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM | Multi-Laver Perceptron (MLP) | Pasi | 96.24 (test data) | [7] | 2004 |
| learning parameters (DIMLP)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)93.44 (10-fold (26][26] 2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)94.44 (10-fold (26][26] 20062006GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold (26][26] 20062006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold (26][26] 20062006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Nim and Polat et al.97.23 (10-fold (27][27] 20072007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al. Dogantekin et al.85.00 (10-fold (27] 2007[27] 20072007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. Liu et al.93.77 (10-fold (28] 2011[28] 20112014SCC SCCLiu et al. Galar et al.95.35[31] 201420143NN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.95.81[31] 20143NN with OVO strategy C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM | DIMLP with two hidden lavers and default | Pasi | 94.86 (test data) | [7] | 2004 |
| LDAKim and Ghahramani93.44 (10-fold (26][26]2006SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani94.44 (10-fold (26][26]2006GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani96.75 (10-fold (26][26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani96.75 (10-fold (26][26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold (27][26]2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold (27][27]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.85.00 (10-fold (28][27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold (28][28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.86[29]2011SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014SCCLiu et al.95.70[30]2014SQSLCC1Liu et al.95.35[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014AggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations </td <td>learning parameters (DIMLP)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>L. J</td> <td></td> | learning parameters (DIMLP) | | | L. J | |
| SVM (soft)Ghahramani Kim and GPC-EP (s,soft)cross-validation) (A44 (10-fold Ghahramani cross-validation)2006 (26)GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)96.75 (10-fold (26)[26] 2006 (2006 (27]2006 (2006 (26]GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold (27] cross-validation)[26] 2007 (27]2007 (2007 (AIRS)The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al. Dogantekin et al.81.00 (10-fold (27] cross-validation)[27] 2007 (2007 cross-validation)GDA-WSVM expert system SCC Liu et al.Dogantekin et al. 93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[29] 20112014 (28] 2014SCC Liu et al.93.27 (30] (30][30] 20142014 (30] 2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregations SNN with OVO strategy using SM (4.5 sub ace classifier (A4.5 as base classifierGalar et al. (31]95.81 (31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM c4.5 with | LDA | Kim and | 93.44 (10-fold | [26] | 2006 |
| SVM (soft)Kim and Ghahramani94.44 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani96.75 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al. Dogantekin et al.81.00 (10-fold (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007CAIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al. Dogantekin et al.85.00 (10-fold (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al. Liu et al.93.77 (10-fold (28][29]2011SCC SCCLiu et al. Liu et al.93.27[30]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.95.35[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.91.16[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et | | Ghahramani | cross-validation) | L · J | |
| GPC-EP (s,soft)Ghahramani Kim and GPC-EP (m,soft)Cross-validation) (Ghahramani cross-validation)Cross-validation)GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani cross-validation)97.23 (10-fold (26][26] 2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold (27][27] 2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold (27][27] 2007Dogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold (28][28] 20102011 cross-validation)SCC SCCDogantekin et al.93.27 (30][30] 2014SCC SOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70 (30] 2014[31] 2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.81 (31] 2014[31] 2014SNN with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.95 (31] 2014[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.93.49 (31] 2014[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49 (31] 2014[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGala | SVM (soft) | Kim and | 94.44 (10-fold | [26] | 2006 |
| GPC-EP (s,soft)Kim and Ghahramani96.75 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SSLCC1Dogantekin et al.91.86 Glar et al.[29]2011SCC SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.35 Glar et al.[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.81 (31][31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.93.95 (31][31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49 (31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49 (31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49 (31]2014Aggregations Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)Participation C4.5 with OVD strategy using SM aggregationsCalar et al.93.49 (31]2014 | | Ghahramani | cross-validation) | [] | |
| GPC-EP (m,soft)Ghahramani Kim and Ghahramanicross-validation)200The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.91.86 Glar et al.[29]2011Liu et al.95.70[30]2014SCCLiu et al.95.70[30]2014PSOSLCC1 SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014Without OVO strategy C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using S | GPC-EP (s.soft) | Kim and | 96.75 (10-fold | [26] | 2006 |
| GPC-EP (m,soft)Kim and Ghahramani97.23 (10-fold cross-validation)[26]2006The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.277[30]2014SCCLiu et al.93.277[30]2014PSOSLCC1Liu et al.93.275[31]20143NN with OVO strategy using ND agregationsGalar et al.95.35[31]20143NN with OVO strategy using SM c4.5 with OVO strategy using ND agregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014Without OVO strategy c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014Without OVO strategy c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014 | | Ghahramani | cross-validation) | | |
| CharterGhahramani Polat et al.cross-validation) 81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007MarcelWith fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.91.86[29]2011SCC SCCLiu et al.93.277[30]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.35[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using ND c4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 | GPC-EP (m.soft) | Kim and | 97.23 (10-fold | [26] | 2006 |
| The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS)Polat et al.81.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.27[30]2014SCC PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]2014JNN as base classifier without OVO strategy agregationsGalar et al.96.28[31]20143NN with OVO strategy using ND agregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014Quergeations C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND agregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND agregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Quergeations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM agregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM agregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)20.20 (test data)20.20 (test data) | | Ghahramani | cross-validation) | | |
| (AIRS)Cross-validation)Cross-validation)The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007ADSTG methodDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.91.86[29]2011SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014SCCLiu et al.95.70[30]2014SNN as base classifier without OVO strategy SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.35[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using SM equationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with | The artificial immune recognition system | Polat et al. | 81.00 (10-fold | [27] | 2007 |
| The artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodPolat et al.85.00 (10-fold cross-validation)[27]2007GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert system SCCDogantekin et al.91.86[29]2011SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]2014SNN as base classifier without OVO strategy aggregationsGalar et al.95.35[31]2014SNN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014C4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategy C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014Without OVO strategy C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Aggregations Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)202 (test data)2014Aggregations202 (test data)202 (test data)202 (test data)Proposed method (CMLP)202 (test data)202 (test dat | (AIRS) | | cross-validation) | | |
| (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing ADSTG methodDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert systemDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2011SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]2014SNN as base classifier without OVO strategy SNN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.96.28[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014without OVO strategy C4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.91.16[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014c4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.25[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.29[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.29[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.29[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.29[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.29[31]2014C4.5 with OV | The artificial immune recognition system | Polat et al. | 85.00 (10-fold | [27] | 2007 |
| ADSTG methodDogantekin et al.93.77 (10-fold cross-validation)[28]2010GDA-WSVM expert systemDogantekin et al.91.86[29]2011SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]20143NN as base classifier without OVO strategyGalar et al.96.28[31]20143NN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.95.35[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.91.16[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014c4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.93.95[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Galar et al. | (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted pre-processing | | cross-validation) | | |
| GDA-WSVM expert systemDogantekin et al.91.86[29]2011SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]20143NN as base classifier without OVO strategyGalar et al.96.28[31]20143NN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.95.35[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.91.16[31]2014c4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.93.95[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO s | ADSTG method | Dogantekin et al. | 93.77 (10-fold | [28] | 2010 |
| GDA-WSVM expert systemDogantekin et al.91.86[29]2011SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]20143NN as base classifier without OVO strategyGalar et al.96.28[31]20143NN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.95.35[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.91.16[31]2014c4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.93.95[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.92.20 (test data)2014Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)20142014C4.5 with OVO s | | | cross-validation) | [] | |
| SCCLiu et al.93.27[30]2014PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]20143NN as base classifier without OVO strategy 3NN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.96.28[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.35[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014c4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.9 | GDA–WSVM expert system | Dogantekin et al. | 91.86 | [29] | 2011 |
| PSOSLCC1Liu et al.95.70[30]20143NN as base classifier without OVO strategy 3NN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.96.28[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.35[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregations96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data) | SCC | Liu et al. | 93.27 | [30] | 2014 |
| 3NN as base classifier without OVO strategy aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.96.28 (31][31] 20143NN with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.95.35[31] 20143NN with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.95.81[31] 2014C4.5 as base classifier without OVO strategyGalar et al. Galar et al.91.16[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy c4.5 with OVO strategy using ND aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.95[31] 2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. Galar et al.93.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4993.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4993.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4993.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4994.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4994.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4994.49[31] 20142014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM aggregationsGalar et al. 93.4994.49 | PSOSI CC1 | Liu et al. | 95.70 | [30] | 2014 |
| 3NN with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.95.35[31]2014aggregations3NN with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014c4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014c4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsProposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data) | 3NN as base classifier without OVO strategy | Galar et al. | 96.28 | [31] | 2014 |
| aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014c4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014c4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregations96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data) | 3NN with OVO strategy using ND | Galar et al. | 95.35 | [31] | 2014 |
| aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.95.81[31]2014C4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014c4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014c4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregations96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data) | aggregations | Guidi Ot di. | 00.00 | [01] | 2011 |
| aggregationsGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data) | 3NN with OVO strategy using SM | Galar et al | 95 81 | [31] | 2014 |
| C4.5 as base classifierGalar et al.91.16[31]2014without OVO strategyC4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsC4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsProposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)2014 | aggregations | | 00.01 | [01] | 2011 |
| Without OVO strategyGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsGalar et al.93.49[31]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregations93.49[31]2014Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)92.20 (test data) | C4 5 as base classifier | Galar et al | 91 16 | [31] | 2014 |
| C4.5 with OVO strategy using NDGalar et al.93.95[31]2014aggregationsC4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregationsProposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data) | without OVO strategy | | 01.10 | [01] | 2014 |
| aggregationsconstructionboots[ori]2014C4.5 with OVO strategy using SMGalar et al.93.49[31]2014aggregations96.23 (test data)96.23 (test data)Proposed method (CMLP)96.23 (test data) | C4.5 with OVO strategy using ND | Galar et al | 93 95 | [31] | 2014 |
| C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM Galar et al. 93.49 [31] 2014 aggregations Proposed method (CMLP) 96.23 (test data) | aggregations | | | [• •] | 2017 |
| aggregations Proposed method (CMLP) 96.23 (test data) 96.23 (test data) | C4.5 with OVO strategy using SM | Galar et al | 93 49 | [31] | 2014 |
| Proposed method (CMLP) 96.23 (test data) | aggregations | | 00.70 | [0] | 2017 |
| | Proposed method (CMLP) | | 96 23 (test data) | | |
| Proposed mernod (CCEBIN) 96.23 (test data) | Proposed method (CCFBN) | | 96.23 (test data) | | |

Table 3. Comparison of the obtained results with other results stated in literature for the
diagnosis of thyroid disease

6. CONCLUSION

In this study, combined classifier method (CCM) was presented for diagnosis of thyroid disease. Then, the performance evaluation of CCM for diagnosis of thyroid disease was estimated by using classification accuracy. It is concluded that the CCM for diagnosis of thyroid diseases obtains very promising results in classifying the possible thyroid patients. This status of CCM for diagnosis of thyroid diseases is clearly seen from the obtained results. It is believed that the proposed CCM diagnosis method used in this study can be very helpful to the physicians for their final decision on their patients. The physicians can make very accurate decisions by using such an efficient tool. In future studies of thyroid diagnostic, different feature selection and ensemble classifier methods will be used for the possibility of increasing the accuracy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks anonymous reviewers for providing insightful comments which improve the quality of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Available:<u>http://www.medicinenet.com/thyr</u> oid disorders/article.htm
- Giacinto G, Roli F. Design of effective neural network ensembles for image classification. J Image Vis Comp. 2001; 19(9–10):697–705.
- Sharkey AJC. On combining artificial neural nets. Connection Sci. 1996;8:299– 314.
- Sharkey AJC, Sharkey NE, Gerecke U, Chandroth GO. The test and select approach to ensemble combination. In: Kittler J, Roli F (eds) Proceedings of the first international workshop on multiple classifier systems (MCS2000) LNCS 1857. Springer. 2000;30–44.
- Özyılmaz L, Yıldırım T. Diagnosis of thyroid disease using artificial neural network methods. In Proceedings of ICONIP'02 ninth international conference on neural information processing, Orchid

Country Club, Singapore. 2002;2033–2036.

- Serpen G, Jiang H, Allred L. Performance analysis of probabilistic potential function neural network classifier. In Proceedings of artificial neural networks in engineering conference, St. Louis MO. 1997;7:471– 476.
- Pasi L. Similarity classifier applied to medical data sets, 10 sivua, Fuzziness in Finland'04. In International conference on soft computing, Helsinki, Finland & Gulf of Finland & Tallinn, Estonia; 2004.
- Keles A, Keles A. ESTDD: Expert system for thyroid diseases diagnosis. Expert Systems with Applications. 2008;34(1): 242–246.
- Zhang G, Berardi LV. An investigation of neural networks in thyroid function diagnosis. Health Care Management Science. 1998;29–37.
- Coomans D, Broeckaert I, Jonckheer M, Massart DL. Comparison of multivariate discrimination techniques for clinical data—Application to the thyroid functional state. Methods Information in Medicine. 1983;22:93-101.
- 11. Available:<u>http://www.webmd.com/women/g</u> uide/understanding-thyroid-problemsbasics
- 12. Hagan MT, Menhaj M. Training feed forward networks with the Marquardt algorithm. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks. 1994;5:989–993.
- Filik UB, Kurban M. A new approach for the short-term load forecasting with autoregressive and Artificial Neural Network Models. International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research. 2007;3(1):66–71.
- Ho T, Hull J, Srihari S. Decision combination in multiple classifier systems. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 1994;16(1):66–75.
- Dietterich T. Ensemble method in machine learning, in: Proceedings of International Workshop on Multiple Classifier Systems. 2000;1–15.
- 16. Dasarathy BV. A special issue on applications of ensemble methods. Inf. Fusion. 2008;9(1):1-140.
- 17. Oza C, Tumer K. Applications of ensemble methods. Inf. Fusion. 2008;9(1):2–3.
- Schapire R. The strength of weak learnability. Machine Learn. 1990;5(2): 197–227.

- 19. Breiman L. Bagging predictors. Machine Lear. 1997;24(2):123-140.
- 20. Yuksel SE, Wilson JN, Gader PD. Twenty years of mixture of experts. IEEE Trans. Neural Network Learn. Syst. 2012;23(8): 1177-1193.
- Ho TK. Data complexity analysis for classifier combination, in: Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop of Multiple Classifier System, Cambridge, UK, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 2096. 2001;53-67.
- 22. Kittler J, Hatef M, Duin RP, Matas J. On combining classifiers. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence. 1998;20(3):226-239.
- Xu L, Krzyzak A, Suen CY. Methods of combining multiple classifiers and their application to handwriting recognition. IEEE Trans. SMC. 1992;22:418-435.
- Kuncheva L. Combining pattern classifiers: Methods and algorithms. 2nd ed., John Wiley; 2014.
- 25. Yip SP, Webb GI. Empirical function attribute construction in classification learning. Joint conference on artificial intelligence (AI.'94). 1994;29-36.
- 26. Kim HC, Ghahramani Z. Bayesian Gaussian process classification with the

EM-EP algorithm. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence. 2006;28(12):1948–1959.

- 27. Polat K, Sahan S, Gunes S. A novel hybrid method based on artificial immune recognition system (AIRS) with fuzzy weighted preprocessing for thyroid disease diagnosis. Expert Systems with Applications. 2007;32:1141–1147.
- 28. Dogantekin E, Dogantekin A, Avci D. An automatic diagnosis system based on thyroid gland: ADSTG. Expert Systems with Applications. 2010;37:6368-6372.
- 29. Dogantekin E, Dogantekin A, Avci D. An expert system based on generalized discriminant analysis and wavelet support vector machine for diagnosis of thyroid diseases. Expert Systems with Applications. 2011;38:146-150.
- Liu R, Chen Y, Jiao L, Li Y. A particles warm optimization based simultaneous learning framework for clustering and classification. Pattern Recognition. 2014; 47:2143-2152.
- *31.* Galar M, Fernandez A, Barrenechea E, Herrera F. Empowering difficult classes with a similarity-based aggregation in multi-class classification problems. Information Sciences. 2014;264:135-157.

© 2015 EI-Baz; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/9750