



Effect of Feed Type on Performance of Nera Black Hens in the Humid Tropical Environment

A. O. Ani^{1*} and C. E. Oyeagu¹

¹Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author AOA designed the study, wrote the protocol in collaboration with author CEO, who wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed literature searches. Author CEO helped in data collection and in performing the statistical analysis in collaboration with author AOA. Authors AOA and CEO managed the analyses of the study and literature searches. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJAST/2015/6051

Editor(s):

- (1) Ahmed Mohamed El-Waziry, King Saud University, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
(2) Katselis George, Department. Aquaculture & Fishery Management, Technological Educational Institution of Messolonghi, Greece.

Reviewers:

- (1) Anonymous, Ethiopia.
(2) Anonymous, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.
(3) Anonymous, México.
(4) Anonymous, University of ALneelain, Sudan.

Complete Peer review History: <http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/9753>

Original Research Article

Received 20th July 2013
Accepted 10th October 2013
Published 13th June 2015

ABSTRACT

A twelve-week study was conducted to determine the effect of feed type on performance of Nera black hens in the humid tropical environment. One hundred and twenty (120) Nera Black hens of 28 weeks of age were used for the study at the Poultry Unit of the Department of Animal Science Teaching and Research Farm, University of Nigeria, Nsukka between March and July, 2012. The hens were divided into five groups of 24 hens each and each group was randomly assigned to one of five experimental diets (self-compounded layers' diet 'B' and four commercial layers' diet A 'reference diet', C, D, and E, respectively). Each diet constituted a treatment and each treatment was replicated thrice with 8 birds per replicate. Each hen in a replicate received about 130g of layers' mash daily and ad libitum supply of water for the twelve weeks. Results showed that the final body weight, hen day production, average daily feed intake and feed conversion ratio, average egg weight, egg shell thickness and egg shell weight were significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by treatments, while treatments had no significant ($P > 0.05$) effect on average body weight gain, egg diameter, egg length and egg shape index. Birds that consumed homemade diet (B) had

*Corresponding author: E-mail: austinani2011@yahoo.com;

significantly ($P<0.05$) higher average egg weight than those fed the commercial diets which had similar mean egg weights. While some internal egg parameters were significantly ($P<0.05$) affected by treatments, others were not affected. Although dozens of eggs produced per bird and revenue from dozens of eggs produced were not significantly ($P>0.05$) influenced by treatments, total feed consumed, cost of feed consumed and gross profit were significantly ($P<0.05$) influenced by treatments. It was concluded that the use of homemade diet resulted in better performance than the use of commercial diets in the present study.

Keywords: Egg production; egg quality; feed type; nera black hens; tropical environment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Developing countries like Nigeria is facing a big problem of protein malnutrition. Animal proteins are essential in human nutrition in order to solve the problem of kwashiorkor which is a resultant effect of malnutrition [1]. Food and Agricultural Organization has recommended about 36 g daily animal protein intake for an adult of 60 kg of the populace [2]. Poultry products especially eggs and table birds are major sources of animal protein [3]. However, egg production in Nigeria is grossly inadequate because of the wide gap between demand and supply of the product. The situation whereby egg buyers had to make orders several days before such orders could be met is evidence to less egg production [4]. The increase in egg production is a sure way of achieving the target of providing quality animal protein at a minimum cost to the consumers [5]. Advances in genetics selection make today's commercial layers quite different from those of years ago. Body weight is less, total number of egg has increased, egg mass is greater, and feed conversion has improved considerably [6,7]. Successful advances in genetic selection for increased production depend on the availability of feed. Therefore, the types of feed used in feeding the birds also play a significant role in the performance of laying hen. The effect of feed on the performance of the birds stands out as the most reliable method of assessing the quality of a feed [8]. Availability of balanced poultry feed is very important in poultry production. The birds can only perform economically well if it consumes on daily basis the appropriate amount of energy, protein, vitamins and minerals [5]. Thus all the essential elements (nutrients) must be present in their ration for proper growth, production and maintenance of the body. Different studies have shown that layers fed with high quality rations perform better than their counterparts reared on low quality or badly produced feed [5,9]. According to [10], the proliferation of small feed mills, run sometimes by illiterates and semi-literate individuals has

compounded the problem of poor feed quality in the market. Egg and broiler meat production are still at subsistence, small or medium scale level in Nigeria, in spite of its roles in income, food and gross domestic products. This shortfall could be attributed to inadequate and high cost of feed which is not even readily available [11]. These lapses paved way for commercial poultry feed manufacturers to source for unconventional, unwholesome and stale feed ingredients in order to maximize profit, undermining the fact that qualitative feed enhances good performances of egg and broiler meat production [12]. This situation is thriving in many major cities across Nigeria because of lack of government control on feed quality standards. Farmers are therefore left at the mercy of these quacks for supply of feeds and in many cases these feeds are very poor in quality [13]. The present study was therefore conducted to investigate the effect of feed type on performance of Nera black hens in the humid tropical environment.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Poultry Unit of the Department of Animal Science Teaching and Research Farm, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Nsukka lies within longitude $6^{\circ} 45' E$ and $7^{\circ} E$ and latitude $7^{\circ} 12.51' N$ [14] and on the altitude 447 m above sea level. The climate of the study area is typically tropical, with relative humidity ranging from 65 – 80% and mean daily temperature of $26.8^{\circ}C$ [15]. The rainy season is between April – October and dry season between November – March with annual rainfall range of 1680 – 1700 mm [16]. The entire study lasted for 12 weeks.

2.1 Experimental Diets

Five experimental diets (A, B, C, D and E) were used as follows: Diets A, C, D and E comprised Top®, Gold medal®, Chidera® and Vital® commercial layers feeds, respectively while diet B was self-compounded (homemade) layers'

mash. The percentage composition of the self-compounded diet is presented in Table 1.

2.2 Management of Animals and Experimental Design

The experiment was carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Ethical Committee on the use of animals and humans for biomedical research of the University of Nigeria, Nsukka (2006). A total of one hundred and twenty (120) 28 weeks old Nera black hens were used for the study. The hens were housed in the laying house situated at the Poultry Unit of the Department of Animal Science Teaching and Research Farm, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. The house is an open – sided tropical type, fitted with two-tier battery cages with feeders and drinkers. Flat aluminum metal plates were constructed and used to partition the feeding troughs at intervals of four (4) cages.

The idea was to prevent spillover of feeds from the feeding trough or to neighboring treatments. The birds were randomly divided into five groups of 24 hens each. Each group was randomly assigned to one of five experimental diets (self-compounded layers' diet 'B' and four commercial layers' diet A 'reference diet', C, D, and E, respectively), using a completely randomized design (CRD). Diet A which has been successfully established in the feed market for a long time served as the control diet. Each diet constituted a treatment. Each treatment was replicated three (3) times with eight (8) birds per replicate. Two hens were housed in a cage measuring 49 x 35 x 42 cm (length x width x height, respectively), while the floor space of each cage was 0.17 m². Four (4) of such cages constituted a replicate. Each hen in a replicate received about 130 g of layers' mash daily and *ad libitum* supply of water for the twelve weeks experimental period. The droppings were cleared promptly to prevent disease buildup. Foot dip (containing disinfectant) was made available at the entrance of the poultry house, as a general flock prophylactic management strategy. Routine vaccinations were administered as and when due.

2.3 Performance Parameters Measured

The parameters measured include the following:

Initial and final body weights: These were measured at the beginning and at the end of the experiment, respectively.

Average Body Weight (kg) =

Final body weight – initial body weight.

Average Daily Feed Intake (g):

$$\frac{\text{Feed Offered (g)} - \text{Feed Refusals (g)}}{\text{Number of Hens}}$$

Feed Conversion Ratio =

$$\frac{\text{Quantity of feed consumed}}{\text{Doz. of eggs produced}} [17].$$

Average Egg Weight (g) =

$$\frac{\text{Total weight of eggs (g) per treatment}}{\text{Total number of birds in that treatment}}$$

Hen day Production (%) =

$$\frac{\text{Average No of eggs per day}}{\text{No of birds alive}} \times 100\%$$

Egg Weight (g): Egg weight was taken for every egg collected for the hens and the weighing was done for all the collected eggs within one hour of collection. Electronic balance (D & G sensitive scale) was used and the measurement expressed in grammes.

Egg Quality: Sixteen (16) eggs were randomly selected weekly for egg quality analysis. The indices determined were as follows:

Egg Shell Weight (g): Each egg was carefully broken and the shell was dried, after which the dried egg shell was weighed using a weighing balance.

Egg Shell Thickness (mm): This was determined by pulling off the shell immediately the egg was broken and the shell was air-dried for a day (24 hours) after which the egg shell thickness was determined with the help of a micrometer screw gauge.

Egg Shape Index: The egg shape index was calculated as the proportion of egg length to diameter.

Albumin Height and Diameter (mm/cm): The eggs after weighing were broken into a flat bottom glass (beaker) positioned on a flat surface. The albumin height was measured using a tripod micrometer. Albumin diameter was taken as the maximum cross sectional diameter of the albumin using a pair of calipers and read on a ruler calibrated in millimeter.

Table 1. Percentage (%) and calculated compositions of experimental diets

Ingredients	Diets				
	A	B	C	D	E
Maize	-	48	-	-	-
Wheat offal	-	10	-	-	-
Palm kernel cake	-	14	-	-	-
Groundnut cake	-	10	-	-	-
Fish meal	-	2	-	-	-
Soy bean meal	-	6	-	-	-
Bone meal	-	3	-	-	-
Lime stone	-	6	-	-	-
Salt	-	0.25	-	-	-
Lysine	-	0.25	-	-	-
Methionine	-	0.25	-	-	-
Layers' premix*	-	0.25	-	-	-
Total		100			
Calculated composition:					
Crude protein (%)	16.50	17.00	16.50	16.50	16.50
Crude fibre (%)	6.00	5.49	6.50	6.00	6.50
Ether extract (%)	5.00	4.97	4.50	4.56	4.00
Lysine (%)	0.80	1.24	1.00	0.90	1.00
Methionine (%)	0.34	0.92	0.50	0.45	0.55
Calcium (%)	3.80	3.73	3.50	3.55	3.60
Energy (Mcal/kg ME)	2500	2700	2550	2600	2650

Yolk Height and Diameter (mm/cm): The eggs after weighing were broken into a flat bottom glass (beaker) positioned on a flat surface. The Yolk height was measured using a tripod micrometer. Yolk diameter was taken as the maximum cross sectional diameter of the yolk using a pair of calipers and read on a ruler calibrated in millimeter.

Albumin Index: The albumin index was calculated as the proportion of yolk height to diameter.

Yolk Index: The yolk index was calculated as the proportion of yolk height to diameter.

Haugh Unit: This was calculated from the values obtained from the albumin height and egg weight by using the formula: Haugh's unit = $100\log(H+7.57-1.7W^{0.37})$ as described by [18].

2.4 Determination of Cost Implication Indices

Data generated were used to determine the cost implication of feeding self-compounded layers' diet and some commercial layers' diets to the experimental hens. The economic parameters determined included the following:

Dozens of Egg Produced per bird (dozen) =

$$\frac{\text{Total egg number per bird}}{12}$$

Price per Crate of Egg (₦): A crate of egg was sold at ₦650 as at the time of the research work.

Cost of 1 kg of Feed (₦) =

$$\frac{\text{Amount per bag of feed (₦)}}{25\text{kg feed (1 bag of feed)}}$$

Total Feed Consumed (kg) =

$$\frac{\text{Total feed consumed (g)}}{1000}$$

Cost of Feed Consumed (₦) = Total feed Consumed (kg) × Cost of kg of feed (₦)

Price of a Dozen of Eggs (₦) = 1 dozen of eggs was sold at ₦260.40 as at the time of the research work.

Revenue from Dozens of Eggs Produced (₦) = Total dozens of eggs produced × Price of one dozen of eggs.

Gross Profit (₦) = Revenue from dozens of eggs produced (₦) - Cost of feed Consumed (₦) (all other things been equal).

2.5 Proximate and Statistical Analyses

Samples of the five experimental diets were analyzed for their proximate compositions according to [19] methods. Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) in a completely randomized design as outlined by [20] using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences [21], windows version 8.0. Significantly different means were separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test [22] option in [21].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Feed Type on Laying Performance of Nera Black (NB) Hens

The proximate composition of the experimental diets is presented in Table 2 while Table 3 shows the performance of Nera Black hens fed self-compounded layers' diet (B) and four different commercial layers' diets.

Final body weight (FBW), hen day production (HDP), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by treatments. Treatments had no significant ($P > 0.05$) effect on average body weight gain. Birds fed self-compounded diet (B) and commercial (control) diet (A) had significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher hen day production (87.67% and 86.00% for diets B and A, respectively) than birds that were fed other commercial feed types (C, D and E) which had similar HDP values (79.33 ± 6.77 , 80.67 ± 1.76 and 77.33 ± 2.40 , respectively). The final body weight of birds fed self-compounded feed was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than the FBW of birds fed commercial diet E. Birds fed commercial diets A, C and D and those fed homemade diet had similar FBW values while those fed all the commercial diets also had

similar FBW values. The average daily feed intakes values of birds fed self-compounded diet (B) and those fed commercial diet E were similar and lower ($P < 0.05$) than the ADFI value of birds fed commercial diet C. Birds fed commercial diets C, A and D had similar ADFI values, while those fed homemade diet and those fed commercial diets A, D and E also had similar ADFI values. The FCR value of hens fed diet B (self-compounded) was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower than the FCR value of hens fed commercial diet C. Birds fed commercial diets A, D and E and those fed self-compounded diet had similar FCR values while those fed all the commercial diets also had similar FCR values. Hens fed self-compounded diet 'B' had higher performance than those fed commercial diets C, D and E in terms of hen day production percentage. This could be due to differences in ingredient combinations which are major determinants of feed quality. Perhaps diet B (self-compounded) had better quality as regards ingredient combination than diets C, D and E. [23] reported that feeds with better ingredient combinations were utilized more efficiently when fed to birds. According to [24-28], the most important factor influencing the performance of poultry birds, all other factors being constant is the quality of feed offered to the birds. It was observed that birds which consumed the self-compounded diet and commercial diet E had lower feed intake than those fed commercial diet C (Table 3). The variation in feed intake values could be related to the energy content of the diets as birds are known to eat to satisfy their energy requirements [29]. Feed intake of birds reduced as the energy increased. Interestingly, the feed intake values obtained in this study were not similar to the values (121.07 g/day and 122.62 g/day- 122.14 g/day) for self-compounded diet and commercial diets, respectively reported by [13]. The disparity could be attributed to differences in feed quality and strains of egg-type chickens used.

Table 2. Proximate compositions of the experimental diets

Determined compositions	Diets				
	A	B	C	D	E
Dry matter (%)	88.55	88.65	88.70	89.09	88.70
Crude protein (%)	17.30	17.80	17.00	17.20	16.96
Crude fibre (%)	4.60	3.00	4.45	4.15	5.00
Ether extract (%)	5.33	5.77	5.17	5.56	5.64
Ash (%)	10.70	11.40	10.40	13.30	13.10
Nitrogen-free extract (%)	49.38	50.68	51.68	51.12	48.00

Table 3. Effect of diet type on laying performance of Nera Black (NB) hens

Parameters	Commercial diet A (control)	Self-compounded diet B	Commercial diet C	Commercial diet D	Commercial diet E	Sig.
Initial weight (kg)	1.33±0.05	1.39±0.02	1.32±0.05	1.43±0.03	1.28±0.05	NS
Final weight (kg)	1.40±0.05 ^{ab}	1.52±0.03 ^a	1.37±0.02 ^{ab}	1.48±0.04 ^{ab}	1.34±0.07 ^b	*
Av body wt gain (kg)	0.07±0.03	0.13±0.01	0.05±0.03	0.05±0.01	0.06±0.04	NS
Hen day production (%)	86.00±2.52 ^a	87.67±5.21 ^a	79.33±6.77 ^b	80.67±1.76 ^b	77.33±2.40 ^b	*
Av daily feed intake (g)	93.10±4.91 ^{ab}	79.17±2.32 ^b	99.25±5.26 ^a	89.58±1.67 ^{ab}	79.17±6.31 ^b	*
Feed conversion ratio	1.37±0.03 ^{ab}	1.09±0.07 ^b	1.52±0.06 ^a	1.35±0.04 ^{ab}	1.25±0.06 ^{ab}	*

^{a,b,c} Mean values in a row with different superscripts are significantly ($P<0.05$) different. * = ($P<0.05$); NS = Not Significant

3.2 Effect of Feed Type on External Egg Parameters of Nera Black Hens

Table 4 shows the external egg characteristics of Nera Black hens fed self-compounded layers' diet B and four different commercial diets A, C, D and E. Average egg weight, egg shell thickness and egg shell weight were significantly ($P<0.05$) affected by treatments while egg diameter, egg length and egg shape index were not significantly ($P>0.05$) influenced by treatments. Birds that consumed self-compounded diet (B) had significantly ($P<0.05$) higher average egg weight than those fed the commercial diets which had similar mean egg weight. Hens fed self-compounded diet and those fed commercial diets C and D had similar egg shell thickness values and these were significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than the egg shell thickness values of hens that consumed commercial diets A and E which had similar egg shell thickness values. The egg shell weight value of hens that consumed self-compounded diet was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher than the shell weight value recorded for hens that were fed commercial diets A, C and E. Hens that were fed commercial diet D had significantly ($P<0.05$) higher egg shell weight value than those that were fed commercial diet C. Hens that were fed diets A, C and E had similar egg shell weight values, while those that were fed commercial diet D had similar egg shell weight value with those that were fed self-compounded diet (B).

As revealed in the present study, birds fed self-compounded diet (B) had the highest egg weight.

It was also observed that hens fed the self-compounded diet had higher hen day production than those fed commercial diets C, D and E (Table 3). However, the present observation contradicts earlier report by [13] who observed that birds which produced fewer eggs tended to have bigger eggs than birds that produced many eggs. Earlier report by [30] had also shown that egg number is negatively correlated with egg size. Differences were found to exist among treatments in egg shell thickness (Table 4). The variations in egg shell thickness may be attributed to difference in the amount (quantity) of minerals in the experimental diets since all the experimental diets might not have contained the same amount of sources of mineral such as bone meal, lime stone and/or oyster shell [27,28].

As shown in Table 4, birds fed self-compounded diets (B) had the highest egg shell weight of 8.62g followed by D (8.35 g). Diets A, E and C had egg shell weights of 7.97 g, 7.95 g and 7.88 g, respectively. It tends to suggest that the quality (external) of eggs produced by hens that consumed the self-compounded diet was superior to quality (external) of eggs produced by hens that consumed most of the commercial diets. The egg weight values (63.11 g – 69.55 g) and egg shell weight values (7.88 g-8.62 g) recorded in this study were higher than the egg weight values (58.2 g – 56.17 g) and the egg shell weight values (5.28 g – 5.33 g) reported by [13] who studied the effect of self-compounded diets and two commercial diets on performance of laying hens.

3.3 Effect of Feed Type on Internal Egg Parameters of Nera Black Hens

Table 5 shows the internal egg characteristics of Nera Black Hens fed self-compounded diet B and four different commercial diets A, C, D and E. Haugh unit score, yolk height, yolk diameter, yolk index, albumin height and albumin diameter were significantly ($P<0.05$) affected by treatments, while yolk weight, albumin weight, albumin length and albumin index were not significantly ($P>0.05$) influenced by treatments. Hens fed self-compounded diet(B) had the highest Haugh unit score of 92.33%, while birds fed all the commercial diets had similar Haugh unit score values of 83.33%, 86.33%, 86.00% and 82.67% for A, C, D and E, respectively. According to the United States of America, Department of Agriculture (USDA), a haugh unit score of 72 and above (score AA) is an acceptable indication of freshness in egg [31] as cited by [32]. The haugh unit values obtained from all the groups in the present study tends to indicate that eggs produced by hens fed both self-compounded and commercial diets were fresh and of standard quality. As shown by [33], cited by [34] Haugh units of inferior quality have values less than 40%. However, the significant effect of dietary treatments on Haugh unit scores is not in line with earlier reports [35,13] which showed that feed type had no significant ($P>0.05$) on Haugh unit score.

Table 5 also shows that the effect of treatments on yolk weight was not significant ($P>0.05$). This is in line with the report of [36] as cited by [37] which showed that yolk weight appeared to be very constant for any given hen. Hens fed commercial diet E had significantly ($P<0.05$) higher yolk height and yolk diameter values than hens fed self-compounded diet and commercial diets A, C and D. Hens fed self-compounded diet B had similar ($P<0.05$) yolk index value with those fed commercial diets C and D and higher ($P<0.05$) yolk index value than those fed commercial diets A and E. Hens fed self-compounded diet (B) had higher ($P<0.05$) albumin height value than those fed the commercial diets. Hens fed commercial diet A (reference diet) had significantly ($P<0.05$) higher albumin diameter than those fed self-compounded diet B. Hens fed commercial diets C, D and E had similar albumin diameter values with those fed commercial diet A and the self-compounded diet. It does appear from the result obtained that yolk height and yolk diameter had direct relationship. Thus birds that had high yolk

height had less yolk diameter. The yolk height and albumin height values (17.52 mm – 18.32 mm and 6.77 mm and 8.73 mm, respectively) obtained in this study are higher than the values (14.6 mm – 15.6 mm for yolk height and 5.9 mm – 6.67 mm for albumin height) reported by [38], and higher than 16.4 mm – 17.6 mm for yolk height and 6.21 mm – 6.68 mm for albumin height reported by [34]. Albumin and yolk heights are all indications of the freshness and quality of an egg [38]. Considering the values obtained in this study for these parameters, the use of both the self-compounded and commercial diets did not have any negative effect on the quality of the eggs produced by the treated hens. The values of yolk index obtained in this study were higher than the values reported by [39] and [34]. As shown in Table 5, the yolk index and Haugh unit score of hens fed self-compounded diet B were significantly higher than the values recorded for the commercial diets. The higher haugh unit score and yolk index recorded for the self-compounded diet is a good indication that birds which consumed the diet produced eggs that had superior and more desirable internal quality as corroborated by earlier reports [40-44].

3.4 Cost Implication of Feeding Self-compounded and Commercial Layers' Diets to Nera Black Hens

Table 6 shows the economic implication of feeding self-compounded layers' diet and four commercial diets to Nera Black hens. Although dozens of eggs produced per bird and revenue from dozens of eggs produced were not significantly ($p>0.05$) influenced by feed type, total feed consumed, cost of feed consumed and gross profit were significantly ($p<0.05$) influenced by treatments. The total feed intake values of birds fed self-compounded diet B and those fed commercial diet E were similar and lower ($p<0.05$) than the total feed intake values of birds fed commercial diet C. Birds fed commercial diets C, A and D had similar total feed intake values, while those fed self-compounded diet and those fed commercial diets A, D and E also had similar total feed intake values. Birds fed commercial diet C had the highest record of total feed consumed while hens on self-compounded diet B and commercial diet E consumed the least amount of feed. The costs of feeds consumed by hens fed control (commercial) diet A and commercial diet C were observed to be the highest, while hens fed self-compounded diet b had the least cost of feed consumed. The gross profit from self-compounded diet B was

significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than those from all the commercial diets which were similar. The least cost of feed consumed recorded for self-compounded diet B may be attributed to the least cost of kg of feed recorded for this diet.

According to [8] the high cost of poultry feed results in general increase in the cost of production. Interestingly, the self-compounded diet produced the highest gross profit as compared to all the commercial diets used in this study. The present observation is in line with the findings of [13] who reported that the income from birds fed self-compounded diet was higher than the incomes from birds fed commercial diets that were used in their study. The increase in the cost of feed and low gross profit recorded for

commercial diets a, c, d and e used in this study might not be unconnected with the high cost involved in milling commercial feeds which are passed on to the end users [13]. The high profit margin obtained from the use of self-compounded diet b in the present study indicates that formulating and mixing feed at the farm level can lower the cost of production. According to [45-47], the need to lower feed cost in order to produce affordable poultry meat and egg for the populace cannot be over emphasized in the face of dwindling standard of living. Earlier reports [45,48,49] had pointed out that the solution to inadequate protein intake of the populace can easily be achieved if the cost of producing poultry meat and egg (especially feed cost) can be drastically reduced.

Table 4. Effect of feed type on external egg parameters of Nera Black hens

Parameters	Commercial diet A (control)	Homemade diet B	Commercial diet C	Commercial diet D	Commercial diet E	Sig.
Av egg wt (g)	64.50±0.28 ^b	69.55±1.00 ^a	63.11±0.52 ^b	64.50±1.00 ^b	64.84±1.37 ^b	*
Egg shell thickness (mm)	0.20±0.01 ^b	0.23±0.02 ^a	0.23±0.02 ^a	0.23±0.01 ^a	0.20±0.01 ^b	*
Egg shell weight (g)	7.97±0.10 ^{bc}	8.62±0.21 ^a	7.88±0.19 ^c	8.35±0.15 ^{ab}	7.95±0.07 ^{bc}	*
Egg diameter (cm)	3.29±0.02	3.31±0.09	3.25±0.01	3.28±0.02	3.29±0.04	NS
Egg length (cm)	4.74±0.03	4.74±0.01	4.71±0.01	4.79±0.02	4.72±0.05	NS
Egg shape index	1.44±0.02	1.43±0.02	1.45±0.01	1.46±0.01	1.44±0.01	NS

a,b,c; Mean values in a row with different letter superscripts are significantly ($p < 0.05$) different. *=($P < 0.05$); NS= Not Significant

Table 5. Effect of feed type on internal egg parameters of Nera Black hens

Parameters	Commercial diet A (control)	Self-compounded diet B	Commercial diet C	Commercial diet D	Commercial diet E	Sig.
Haugh unit score (%)	83.33±1.86 ^b	92.33±2.33 ^a	86.33±0.33 ^b	86.00±1.15 ^b	82.67±2.33 ^b	*
Yolk wt (g)	16.44±0.14	16.01±0.24	15.72±0.12	16.25±0.13	16.02±0.79	NS
Yolk height(mm)	18.32±0.05 ^a	18.96±0.22 ^a	18.73±0.20 ^a	18.40±0.16 ^a	17.52±0.39 ^b	*
Yolk diameter(cm)	3.05±0.01 ^b	2.99±0.04 ^b	2.99±0.06 ^b	3.03±0.05 ^b	3.21±0.05 ^a	*
Yolk index	0.60±0.01 ^b	0.64±0.01 ^a	0.63±0.01 ^{ab}	0.61±0.01 ^{ab}	0.56±0.01 ^c	*
Albumin wt (g)	38.23±0.74	39.18±0.93	36.88±1.02	38.98±0.67	38.10±1.03	NS
Albumin height (mm)	7.16±0.30 ^{bc}	8.73±0.38 ^a	7.61±0.02 ^b	7.63±0.21 ^b	6.77±0.19 ^c	*
Albumin diameter(cm)	6.31±0.14 ^a	5.74±0.20 ^b	5.96±0.17 ^{ab}	6.00±0.06 ^{ab}	6.21±0.09 ^{ab}	*
Albumin length (cm)	7.93±0.35	7.54±0.35	7.87±0.45	7.96±0.17	8.14±0.12	NS
Albumin index	1.26±0.05	1.31±0.03	1.32±0.04	1.32±0.01	1.31±0.02	NS

a,b,c; Mean values in a row with different letter superscripts are significantly ($p < 0.05$) different. *=($P < 0.05$); NS= Not Significant

Table 6. Cost implication of feeding homemade and commercial layers' diets to Nera Black (NB) hens

Parameters	Commercial diet A (control)	Self-compounded diet B	Commercial diet C	Commercial diet D	Commercial diet E	Sig.
Dozens of eggs produced per bird (dozen)	6.32±0.46	6.73±0.40	6.08±0.52	6.18±0.13	5.91±0.17	NS
Price per crate of egg (₦)	650.00	650.00	650.00	650.00	650.00	NS
Cost of kg of feed (₦)	74.00	60.00	72.00	72.00	70.00	NS
Total feed consumed (kg)	8.66±0.78 ^{ab}	7.36±0.24 ^b	9.23±0.51 ^a	8.33±0.16 ^{ab}	7.36±0.58 ^b	*
Cost of feed consumed(₦)	640.84±2.46 ^a	441.60±5.95 ^c	664.56±4.66 ^a	599.76±3.05 ^{ab}	515.20±3.28 ^{bc}	*
Price of a dozen of egg (₦)	260.40	260.40	260.40	260.40	260.40	NS
Revenue from dozens of egg produced(₦)	1650.94±1.21	1752.49±1.02	1583.23±1.34	1609.27±3.46	1538.96±4.52	NS
Gross profit (₦)	1010.10±6.75 ^b	1310.89±1.15 ^a	918.67±2.99 ^b	1009.51±3.49 ^b	1023.76±5.62 ^b	*

*a,b,c; Mean values in a row with different letter superscripts are significantly (p<0.05) different. *(P<0.05); NS= Not Significant*

4. CONCLUSION

Results obtained in the present study show that the use of self-compounded diet resulted in better performance and reduced feed cost than the use of commercial diets, considering the

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka for the kind permission to use the facilities at the department.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors hereby declare that there are no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Oladeebo JO, Ambe-Lamidi AI, Jabaru MO. Resource productivity and returns to scale in poultry egg production in Afijio Local Government Area, Oyo State. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production, NSAP, University of Calabar, Nigeria. 2007;32:399-401.

2. FAO. Fisheries statistics. Aquaculture production. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy. 2006;88(2):12.
3. Alabi RA, Samuel KD. An economic analysis of poultry production system in Ondo State. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN), Abeokuta. 2002;7:316-318.
4. Ajibefun IA, Dara.mola AG. Measurement and sources of technical inefficiency in poultry egg production in Ondo State, Nigeria. Journal of Rural Economics and Development. 1999;13(2):85-94.
5. Oluyemi JA, Roberts PA. Poultry production in warm wet climates. 2nd Edition. Specrum Books Ltd., Ibadan, Nigeria. 2000;244.
6. Miles RD, Jacob JP. Feeding the commercial egg type laying hen. Department of Dairy and Poultry Sciences, University of Florida Cooperative Extension Services, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences; 2000. Available: <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>
7. Minvielle FB, Kayang O, Murayama M, Miwa M, Kigkai A, Ray SVR. Layer

- breeding concepts and principles. Pouvlet. Comm; 2004.
8. Minvielle F, Kayang BB, Inoue-Murayama M, Miwa M, Vignal A, Gourichon D, Neau A, Monvoisin J, Ito S. Search for QTL affecting the shape of the egg laying curve of the Japanese Quail; 2006.
Available:<http://www.Biomedcentral.com/1421-2156/7/26>
 9. Afolayan M, Dafwang II, Omeke JJ. Performance of broilers fed on- farm versus commercial feeds. Nigerian Journal of Animal Production. 2009;36(1):41–51.
 10. Smith AJ. Poultry. The Tropical Agriculturalist. 2nd (revised) Ed. Macmillan Education Ltd. U.K. 2001;1–73,290-298.
 11. Abeke FO, Ogundipe SO, Sekoni AA, Dafwang II, Oladele SB. Effects of duration of cooking of lablab (*Lablab purpureus*) beans on organ weights and blood parameters of pullet chicks. Proceedings of Annual of Nigerian Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Ibadan. 2003;28:240-242.
 12. Payne WJA, Wilson RT. An Introduction to Animal Husbandry in the Tropics (5th Edition). Blackwell Science Ltd. 1999;815.
 13. Idahor KO, Adua MM, Usman A. Comparative Proximate Analysis of Some Commercial Broiler Feeds Available in Lafia, Nasarawa State. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production, NSAP, 14 – 17 March, University of Ibadan. 2010;35:341–343.
 14. Abeke FO, Sekoni AA, Oni OO, Adeyinka IA, Nwagu BI. Response of Shika–Brown pullet chicks and layers to home made and commercial feeds in zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria. Agro-Science Journal of Tropical Agriculture, Food, Environment and Extension. 2008;7(3):223–228.
 15. Ofomata GEK. Nigeria in Maps. Eastern States, Ethiope Publishing Co. Ltd. Benin; 1975.
Available:www.maps4pc.com/maps+Eastern+States
 16. Agbagha FM, Ezema FU, Omeke BCO. Studies of management effects on fertility of purebred and crossbred exotic goats in two breeding farms at Nsukka, Nigeria. Journal Animal Production. 2000;28(1):20.
 17. Breinholt KA, Gowen FA, Nwosu CC. Influence of environmental and animal factors day and night grazing activity of imported Holstein Freisian cows in the humid lowland tropics of Nigeria. Trop. Animal Production. 1981;6(4):300-307.
 18. Jabeen S, Salim M, Akhta P. Feed conversion ratio on major carp *Cirrhinus iuriga* fingerlings fed cotton seed meal, fish meal and barley. Pakistan Veterinary Journal. 2004;24(1):42-45.
Available:http://pvj.corn.pk/pdf-files-24_1/42-45.pdf (Retrieved 19/11/2008)
 19. Williams C. Some factors affecting albumin quality with particular reference to Haugh Unit Score. World Poultry Science Journal. 1992;48:5-16.
 20. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC); 2006. Official Methods of Analysis. 18th Washington, DC: AOAC. 2263.
 21. Steel RGD, Torrie JH. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Inc. New York. 1980;633.
 22. SPSS. Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Windows Version 8. SPSS Inc. USA; 2003.
 23. Duncan DB. New Multiple Range Test. Biometrics. 1955;11:1-42.
 24. Eniolorunda OO, Taiwo BBA, Oyewumi OO, Adeyemi OA. Performance of laying hens fed graded levels of indomie waste as replacement for maize in a humid tropical environment. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, Calabar. 2007;32: 264-266.
 25. Amaefule KU, Onwudike OC. Evaluation of processing methods of pigeon pea seeds (*Cajanus cajan*) as protein source for broiler starter. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environment. 2000;2(1): 134-138.
 26. Ani AO, Okeke GC. The substitution of pigeon pea (*Cajanuscajan*) seed meal for soyabean in broiler finisher ration. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria, ASAN. 2003;8:10-12.
 27. Etuk EB, Esonu BO, Njoku C. Effect of methionine supplementation on the performance of finisher broilers fed pigeon pea seed meal based diets. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Ibadan. 2003;28:258-260.

28. Akinmutimi AH. Effect of processing on metabolisability of energy content of sword beans (*Canavalia gladiata*), using broiler chicks (Starter Phase). Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Ibadan. 2003;28:194-196.
29. Esonu BO, Iheukwumere FC, Iwuji TC, Akanu N, Nwugo OH. Evaluation of microdermis puberula leaf meal as feed ingredient in broiler starter diets. Nigerian Journal of Animal Production. 2003;30(1): 3-8.
30. Dagher NJ. Poultry Production in hot climates. 2nd ed. CAB International, Wallingford, U.K. 2008;387.
31. Nwagu BI, Olorunju SAS, Oni OO, Eduvie LO, Adeyinka IA, Sekoni AA, Abeke FO. Response of egg number to selection in rhode Island chickens selected for part period egg production. Int. Journal of Poultry Science. 2007;6(1):18-22.
32. Card LE, Neisheim MC. Poultry production. Lea and Febiger. Philadelphia, USA; 1972. Available:http://far.human.cornell.edu/FAR/uploads/webcv/mcn2_webcv.doc
33. Durunna CS, Nwabueze UN, Afulike CE, Ezeokeke CT. Value of *Anthonotha macrophylla* leaf meal as feed ingredient on layer performance and quality of egg. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Calabar. 2007;32:509-511.
34. Brandt AW, Otte AW, Norris KH. Recommended standards for scoring and measuring open egg quality. Food Technology. 1991;9:355-361.
35. Garba S, Jibir M, Omojola AB. Egg quality of commercial laying hens fed diets with increasing substitution levels of Metabolizable energy of pearl millet for corn. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Ibadan. 2010;35:308–301.
36. Odunsi AA, Akande TO, Yusuph AS, Salami IR. Comparative utilization of high inclusion of four agro-industrial by-products in the diet of egg type chickens. Arch, Zootec. 2007;51:465-468.
37. Nesheim MC, Austic RE, Card LE. Poultry Production, 12th Ed. Lea and Febiger Philadelphia. 1979;274-318.
38. Ndubuisi EC, Iheukwumere FC, Etusim PE. Diurnal effects of periodic litter change on egg production and physical qualities in a deep litter system. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2008;7(2):151–155.
39. Madubuike FN, Obidimma VN. Brewer's dried grain as energy source on external and internal egg qualities of laying hens. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Uyo. 2009;34:362-365.
40. Olayeni TB, Farinu GO, Ojebiyi OO. Replacement value of biscuit waste on the performance and egg quality parameters of laying hens. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Calabar. 2007; 32:313-315.
41. Ihekoronye AI, Ngoddy PO. Integrated food science and technology for the tropics. Macmillan Publishers, 1985;336.
42. Imai C, Mowlah A, Saito J. Storage stability of storage quail (*Cotunix cotunix Japonica*) eggs at room temperature. Poultry Science. 1986;65:474-480.
43. Ayorinde KL. Physical and chemical characteristics of eggs of four indigenous guinea fowls (*Numidia meleagris gallentapallas*). Nigerian Journal of Animal Production. 1987;14(1&2):125-128.
44. Adeogun IO, Amole FO. Some quality parameters of exotic chicken eggs under different storage conditions. Bulletin for Animal Health and Production in Africa (Kenya). 2004;52(1):43-47.
45. Dodusola IO. Comparative evaluation of internal and external qualities of eggs from quail and guinea fowl. International Research Journal of Plant Science (ISSN: 2141-5447). 2010;1(5):112-115.
46. Bawa GS, Tegbe TSB, Ogundipe SO, Dafwang II, Abu EA. The effect of duration of cooking of lablab seeds on the level of some antinutritional factors. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Ibadan. 2003;28:213-215.
47. Ogundipe SO, Abeke FO, Seconi AA, Dafwang II, Adeyinka IA. Effect of duration of cooking on the utilization of lablab purpureus beans by pullet chicks. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Ibadan. 2003;28:233-235.
48. Abeke FO. Evaluation of the nutritive value of lablab purpureus beans in replacing

- groundnut cake in poultry diets. Ph.D Dissertation, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. 2005;1-128.
49. Toleun CD, Igba FC. Growth and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens fed water soaked and cooked velvet beans (*Mucuna pruriens*) meal. Proceedings of Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal Production, NSAP, Calabar. 2007;32:240-243.

© 2015 Ani and Oyeagu; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/9753>