



Evaluation of Cherry Tomato [*Solanum lycopersicum* (L) var. *cerasiforme* Mill.] Genotypes for Yield and Quality in Polyhouse in Prayagraj Agro-climatic Condition

Priya Yadav ^{a++*}, Devi Singh ^{a#} and C. John Wesley ^{a#}

^a Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007, (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183321

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102405>

Original Research Article

Received: 02/05/2023
Accepted: 04/07/2023
Published: 20/07/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment entitled "Evaluation of Cherry tomato genotypes for yield and quality in polyhouse in Prayagraj agro-climatic condition [*Solanum Lycopersicum* (L.) var. *cerasiforme* Mill.]" was conducted from Sept, 2022 to March, 2023 at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The investigation was carried out to find out the best cultivar amongst (Yellow Cherry Tomato-round shape, Cherry Red-round shape, Nagmoti, Cherry Red-plum shape, Yellow Cherry Tomato-Pear

⁺⁺ PG Scholar;

[#] Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: priyaa151098@gmail.com;

shape and Pusa Cherry-1) .In all cultivars Pusa Cherry -1 was found the best in overall growth, yield and economic parameters like days of 1st flowering, no. of flower per cluster, no. of fruit per cluster, no. of fruits per plant, total yield, high cost benefit ratio.

Among all the varieties highest total yield was found in Pusa cherry-1 (142.9q/ha) whereas lowest in Yellow pear cherry tomato (74.6q/ha). The highest gross return (283883 Rs/ha) and cost benefit ratio (2.133) were obtained from Pusa Cherry-1. Considering the experimental findings variety Pusa Cherry -1 was found to be the most suitable for higher productivity and economic return under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions.

Keywords: Cherry tomato; growth; yield and quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fruits and vegetables have long been recommended for their high levels of vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals, and India is the world's second-largest producer of these crops, accounting for 14% of global vegetable production. Despite this impressive output, close to 25% of India's agricultural production goes to waste. However, the country's vegetable production is particularly impressive, contributing 15% of global vegetable production despite utilizing only 3% of its arable land. These figures highlight the potential for India to increase its agricultural output while also reducing waste, which could have a significant impact on global food security and nutrition [1-4].

Cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* var. *cerasiforme*) is a warm season crop and requires long growing periods to reap more harvests, it is the most promising crop under protected structures as a small variety of tomato and generally considered to be similar but not identical to the wild precursor of the domestic tomato. It is characterized by small size fruits, with a bright red colour resembling a cherry, having an excellent taste [5-11]. Cherry tomato is becoming popular in the retail chains and marketed at a premium price compared to regular tomato. It is joining the growing market of mini vegetables and is one of the most promising in the line of differentiated products. It is considered as an exotic vegetable, bringing new taste and appearance to dishes [12-15].

Cherry tomato is a highly-priced culinary as well as it is an ornamental vegetable. Cherry tomatoes are normally much sweeter than large tomatoes. Cherry tomato has several medicinal values as it promotes gastric secretion, blood purification, intestinal antiseptic, cure cancer of the mouth and sour throat, apart from improving quality of the prepared foods [16-21]. It is highly nutritious with good amount of vitamins. It is a good appetizer having pleasing test. Tomato

juice contains lycopene one of the most powerful antioxidant and vitamin C which are most beneficial to human beings [22-30].

It has several medicinal values as it promotes gastric secretion, blood purification, intestinal antiseptic, cures cancer of the mouth and sour throat, apart from improving quality of the prepared foods. This crop is considered as one of the important vegetables in terms of vitamin content and other nutrients. It is a good appetizer having pleasing taste. Cherry tomato juice contains lycopene, which is the important antioxidant and vitamin C are most beneficial to human beings [31-37].

Presently, cherry tomatoes are widely cultivated in Central America and are distributed in California, Korea, Germany, Mexico and Florida (Renuka et al., 2014). About 24.00 per cent of retail sales of tomatoes in the U.S are contributed by cherry tomato. They are becoming popular in the retail chains and marketed at a premium price compared to regular tomatoes. Cherry tomato adaptation provides high possibilities for inclusion in breeding programs, using their valuable characteristics on genetic diversity for selecting parents, together with their large geographical diversity (Medina and Lobo, 2001).

The cherry tomatoes developed for fresh market and processing should have distinct quality characteristics (Kumar et al., 2014). Therefore, the aim of the present study is to evaluate the different cherry tomato genotypes for yield and quality characters under shade net conditions in order to evaluate their potential for breeding programs.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prayagraj is situated at an elevation of 98 meters above sea level at 25.87° N latitudes and 81.150 E longitudes. This region has a sub-tropical climate prevailing in the South-East part of U.P. with both the extremes in temperature, i.e., the

winter and the summer. In cold winter months (Dec- Jan), the temperature falls 2-5°C or even low, while in summer months (May- June) it reaches as high as 49°C. The experiment was conducted in the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, during the year 2022-23. The research experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design comprising of 6 cherry tomato varieties with 3 replications which makes it a total of 18 plots. The transplanting of seedlings was accomplished on the last week of september, 2022 during rabi season. A total of 6 plants from each variety was sown at a spacing of 90 cm between rows and 60 cm between plants. The unit plot size was 1 m x 1 m. The varieties were allocated randomly to a unit plot in each of the replication. Regular cultural practices, crop protection measures were taken as per the crop requirement. The crop was watered regularly. Observations were recorded as per the growth, yield and quality parameters and the mean values of data recorded were analyzed statistically by adopting the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatame. The performance of different varieties of cherry tomato was studied and data was collected on the basis of three categories of parameters. First are the growth parameters: Germination percentage, survival percentage, Plant height (cm), Number of branches per plant, Plant spread (cm), leaf area index. Second are the yield related parameters: days to first flowering, number of flowers per cluster, number of fruit per cluster, days to first harvesting, fruit length(cm), fruit diameter(cm), average fruit weight(g), number of fruit per plant (kg), average yield per plant, total yield (t/ha) qualitative characters: Total Soluble Solid (T.S.S) and Asorbic acid, Economic parameters cost of cultivation (Rs), gross return (Rs), Benefit cost Ratio.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of the investigation based on the various observations viz., Plant growth, Yield and quality of different varieties of cherry tomato are presented and to determine best performing variety of cherry tomato in terms of growth, yield, and quality.

3.1 Growth Parameters

3.1.1 Germination percentage

Significantly the maximum germination percentage 89.9 was recorded in variety Yellow

Cherry Tomato (round) followed by variety Red Cherry (plum shape) 85.103 whereas minimum germination percentage 71.1 was recorded in variety Cherry Red (round shape).

3.1.2 Survival percentage

Significantly the maximum survival percentage 88.297 was recorded in variety Nagmoti followed by variety Yellow Cherry Tomato (round) 85.803 whereas minimum survival percentage 70.3 was recorded in variety Red Cherry Tomato (plum shape).

3.1.3 Plant height (cm)

The height of five randomly selected plants from each plot was measured from ground level to tip of the shoot at 30, 60 90,120 DAT and was recorded. Critical analysis of data displayed in table clearly marked out the obvious difference among the treatments with respect to plant height.

3.1.4 Plant height at 30 days after transplanting

Non Significantly the maximum plant height 56.76 cm at 30 days after sowing was recorded in variety Red cherry (plum shape) followed by variety followed by cherry red 54.66 cm whereas minimum plant height 45.03 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.1.5 Plant height at 60 days after transplanting

Significantly the maximum plant height 101.93 cm at 60 days after sowing was recorded in variety Pusa cherry 1 followed by Red cherry (plum shape) 98.007 cm whereas minimum plant height 77.75 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.1.6 Plant height at 90 days after transplanting

Significantly the maximum plant height 189.203 cm at 90 days after sowing was recorded in variety red cheery (plum shape) followed by Pusa cheery 1 186 cm whereas minimum plant height 150.1 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.1.7 Plant height at 120 days after transplanting

Significantly the maximum plant height 243.46 cm at 120 days after sowing was recorded in

variety Cherry red (round) followed by Yellow cherry tomato (round shape) 231.223 cm whereas minimum plant height 174.87 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.1.8 Numbers of primary branches per plant at 30 days after transplanting

Significantly the maximum number of primary branches 9.18 at 30 days after sowing was recorded in variety Cherry Red (round shape) followed by variety followed by Pusa cherry-1 8.137 whereas minimum number of primary branches 4.69 was recorded in variety Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato.

3.1.9 Numbers of primary branches per plant at 60 days after transplanting

Significantly the maximum number of primary branches 11.64 at 60 days after sowing was recorded in variety Cherry Red (round shape) followed by variety followed by Pusa cherry-1 9.627 whereas minimum number of primary branches 5.25 was recorded in variety Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato.

3.1.10 Numbers of primary branches per plant at 90 days after transplanting

Significantly the maximum number of primary branches 16.153 at 90 days after sowing was recorded in variety Cherry Red (round shape) followed by variety followed by Pusa cherry-1 12.853 whereas minimum number of primary branches 7.19 was recorded in variety Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato.

3.1.11 Numbers of primary branches per plant at 120 days after transplanting

Significantly the maximum number of primary branches 18.42 at 30 days after sowing was recorded in variety Cherry Red (round shape) followed by variety followed by Pusa cherry-1 16.62 whereas, minimum number of primary

branches 8.45 was recorded in variety Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato.

3.1.12 Plant Spread (cm)

Significantly the maximum plant spread (EW) 75.1 was recorded in variety Yellow Cherry Tomato (round shape) followed by variety Cherry Red (round) 66.7 whereas minimum plant spread 42.297 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

Significantly the maximum plant spread (SW) 81.503 was recorded in variety Pusa Cherry-1 followed by variety Nagmoti 78.4 whereas minimum plant spread 42.003 was recorded in variety Yellow Cherry Tomato (round shape).

3.1.13 Leaf area index (cm²)

Significantly the maximum leaf area index 6.627cm² was recorded in variety Yellow Cherry Tomato (round) followed by variety Yellow Cherry Tomato (Pear shape) 6.577 whereas minimum leaf area index 4.65 was recorded in Nagmoti.

3.2 Yield Parameters

3.2.1 Days of first flowering

Significantly the maximum number of days taken to first harvesting 89.5 was recorded in variety Nagmoti followed by variety Pusa Cherry-1 84.5 whereas minimum number of days taken to first harvesting 66 was recorded in variety Yellow cherry tomato (pear shape).

3.2.2 No. of flowers per cluster

Significantly the maximum number of flowers per cluster 11.78 was recorded in variety Pusa cherry 1 followed by variety yellow cherry (round) 9.01 whereas minimum number of flowers per cluster 7.713 was recorded in variety Yellow cherry tomato (pear shape).

Chart 1. Varieties and their sources

Sr. No.	Name of variety	Source of variety
1.	Yellow Cherry Tomato- Round Shape	CISH, Lucknow
2.	Cherry Red -Round	CISH, Lucknow
3.	Nagmoti	CISH, Lucknow
4.	Red Cherry- Plum Shape	CISH, Lucknow
5.	Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato	CISH, Lucknow
6.	Pusa Cherry 1	IARI, New Delhi



Fig. 1. Field experiment and variety of fruits

3.2.3 No. of fruits per cluster

Significantly the maximum number of fruits per cluster 11.47 was recorded in variety Pusa cherry -1 followed by variety yellow cherry (round) 8.56 whereas minimum number of fruits per cluster 3.437 was recorded in variety Yellow cherry tomato (pear shape).

3.2.4 Days to first harvesting

Significantly the maximum number of days taken to first harvesting 89.5 was recorded in variety Nagmoti followed by variety Pusa Cherry-1 84.5 whereas minimum number of days taken to first harvesting 66 was recorded in variety Yellow cherry tomato (pear shape).

3.2.5 Fruit length (cm)

Significantly the maximum fruit length 4.22 cm was recorded in variety Red cherry tomato (plum shape) followed by variety Yellow Cherry Tomato (round) 4.15cm whereas minimum fruits length 1.72 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.2.6 Fruit diameter (cm)

Significantly the maximum fruit diameter 35 mm was recorded in variety Red Cherry (plum shape) followed by variety Cherry Red (round) 30 whereas minimum number of fruits diameter 15 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.2.7 Average fruit weight (g)

Significantly the maximum average fruit weight 14.59g was recorded in variety Red Cherry (plum shape) followed by variety Cherry Red (round) 14.02 whereas minimum average fruit weight 9.3 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.2.8 Numbers of fruit per plant (kg)

Significantly the maximum number of fruits per plant 63.8 was recorded in variety Pusa cherry-1 followed by variety Red Cherry (plum shape) 47.397 whereas minimum number of fruits per plant 24.6 was recorded in variety Yellow cherry tomato (pear shape).

3.2.9 Average yield per plot

Significantly the maximum average yield per plot 14.29kg was recorded in variety Red Cherry (plum shape) followed by variety Cherry Red (round) 14.03 whereas minimum average yield per plot 7.46 was recorded in variety Yellow cherry tomato (pear shape).

3.2.10 Total yield (t/ha)

Significantly the maximum total yield 142.9 was recorded in variety Pusa cherry-1 followed by variety Red cherry (plum shape) 140.3 whereas minimum total yield 74.6 was recorded in variety Yellow cherry tomato (pear shape).

3.2.11 Total soluble solids (°Brix)

Significantly the maximum TSS 10.72 was recorded in variety Cherry Red (plum shape) followed by variety Yellow cherry tomato (round) 10.54 whereas minimum TSS 7.723 was recorded in variety Nagmoti.

3.2.12 Ascorbic acid

Significantly the maximum ascorbic acid 20.94 was recorded in variety Pusa Cherry-1 followed by variety Yellow Cherry Tomato (round) 19.89 whereas minimum ascorbic acid 16.94 was recorded in variety Cherry Red (round).

Table 1. Growth parameters – germination percentage, survival percentage, plant height (30,60,90,120 DAT), no. of primary branches (30,60,90,120 DAT), plant spread (EW, NS), Leaf area index

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Germination percentage (%)	Survival percentage (%)	(30 DAT)	(60 DAT)	(90 DAT)	(120 DAT)	(30 DAT)	(60 DAT)	(90 DAT)	(120 DAT)	Plant spread (EW)	Plant spread (NS)	Leaf area index(cm ²)
1	Yellow Cherry Tomato-Round Shape	89.9	85.803	51.69	85.457	172.3	231.223	4.86	5.97	7.193	8.49	75.1	42.003	6.627
2	Cherry Red -Round	71.1	80	54.66	97.657	182.2	243.6	9.18	11.64	16.153	18.42	66.7	49.7	6.567
3	Nagmoti	83	88.297	45.03	77.75	150.1	174.87	5.297	5.96	8.23	9.14	42.297	78.4	4.65
4	Red Cherry-Plum Shape	85.103	70.3	56.76	98.007	189.203	224.77	7.64	9.38	12.313	14.197	49.697	52.5	4.87
5	Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato	78	77.997	50.103	89.18	167.003	221.28	4.69	5.25	7.19	8.453	58.597	59.797	6.577
6	Pusa Cherry 1	82.797	72.8	55.8	101.93	186	231.01	8.137	9.627	12.853	16.62	47.4	81.503	5.747
	Mean	81.65	79.2	52.34	91.66	174.47	221.13	6.63	7.97	10.66	12.55	56.63	60.65	5.84
	CV	6.61	7.29	8.27	8.51	8.21	7.58	7.88	4.38	3.27	3.33	10.97	4.19	6.85
	SEm	3.12	3.33	2.5	4.5	8.27	9.68	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.24	3.59	1.47	0.23
	CD at 5%	9.83	10.5	7.88	14.18	26.06	30.49	0.95	0.63	0.63	0.76	11.3	4.62	0.73
	F Value	S	S	NS	S	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

Table 2. Days of first flowering, No. of flowers per cluster, No. of fruits per cluster, Days to first harvesting, Fruit length (cm), Fruit Diameter (mm), Average fruit weight (g), Numbers of fruit per plant, Average yield per plot(Kg), Total yield (q/ha), Total soluble solids (°Brix), Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Days of first flowering	No. of flowers per cluster	No. of fruits per cluster	Days to first harvesting	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit Diameter (mm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Numbers of fruit per plant	Average yield per plot(Kg)	Total yield (q/ha)	Total soluble solids (°Brix)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)
1	Yellow Cherry Tomato-Round Shape	53.9	9.01	8.56	74.697	4.15	24.997	12.903	44.203	11.273	112.7	10.54	19.89
2	Cherry Red -Round	49.9	8.09	3.57	67.303	4	30	14.02	31.3	9.463	94.6	9.573	16.94
3	Nagmoti	54.497	8.467	6.31	89.5	1.72	15	9.3	42.297	9.927	99.3	7.723	17.437
4	Red Cherry-Plum Shape	53.9	8.21	5.85	75.4	4.22	35	14.59	47.397	14.03	140.3	10.72	17.68
5	Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato	46.5	7.713	3.437	66	3.03	22	12.12	24.6	7.46	74.6	9.21	19.87
6	Pusa Cherry 1	55.497	11.78	11.47	84.5	3.387	20	9.303	63.8	14.29	142.9	9.28	20.94
Mean		52.4	8.88	6.53	76.23	3.42	24.5	12.04	42.27	11.07	110.73	9.51	18.79
CV		5.82	7.11	4.47	4.91	6.96	4.69	9.06	11.31	7.07	8.05	8	7.81
SEm		1.76	0.36	0.17	2.16	0.14	0.66	0.63	2.76	0.45	5.14	0.44	0.85
CD at 5%		5.54	1.15	0.53	6.81	0.43	2.09	1.98	8.7	1.42	16.21	1.38	2.67
F Value		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

Table 3. Economic parameters

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Cost of cultivation	Gross return	Cost: Benefit ratio
1	Yellow Cherry Tomato- Round Shape	130401	255290	1.957
2	Cherry Red -Round	171402	326043	1.9
3	Nagmoti	149602	290689	1.943
4	Red Cherry- Plum Shape	140802	284699	2.023
5	Yellow Pear Cherry Tomato	163188	307161	1.88
6	Pusa Cherry-1	133089	283883	2.133
	Mean	148080.67	291294.17	1.97
	CV	6.9	7.57	5.19
	SEm	5902.33	12727.92	0.06
	CD at 5%	18598.61	40106.48	0.19
	F Value	S	S	NS

3.2.13 Economics of different genotypes

Economics of all genotypes were calculated according to the expenditure occurred from then nursery till harvesting of fruits viz. Cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and benefit cost ratio has been worked out presented in Table 3.

Maximum cost benefit ratio was recorded in Pusa Cherry-1 (2.133) and minimum was recorded in Yellow Pear cherry tomato (1.88).

4. CONCLUSION

Based on experiment it is concluded that the variety Pusa Cherry -1 was found superior in terms of maximum no. of flowers (11.78), fruit per cluster (11.47), maximum number of fruits per plant (63.8), total yield (142.9), ascorbic acid (20.94) and also maximum benefit cost ratio (2.133).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad F, Khan O, Sarwar S, Hussain A, Ahmad S. Performance evaluation of tomato cultivars at high altitude Sarhad J. Agric. 2007;23(3):581-585.
- Alam MS, Sultana N, Ahmad S, Hossain MM, Islam AKMA. Performance of heat tolerant tomato hybrid lines under hot, humid conditions. Bangladesh. J. Agril. Res. 2010;35(3):367-373de.
- Ali A, Hussain I, Khan A, Khan J, Rahman MU, Riaz A. Evaluation of various tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cultivars for quality, yield and yield components under agro climatic condition of Peshawar. J. Agric. Biolo. Sci. 2016;11(2): 59-62.
- Ali W, Jilani MS, Naeem N, Waseem K, Khan J, Ahmad MJ, Ghazanfarullah. Evaluation of different hybrids of tomato under the climatic condition of Peshawar. Sarad J. Agri. 2012;28(2):207-212.
- Kamimura SK, Ito H, Yoshikawa S, Monma, Kanna T. Furikoma -New tomato variety for processing. Bull. Veg. Orn. Crops Res. 1985;5:47.
- Kanneh SM, Quee DD, Ngegba PM, Musa PD. Evaluation of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) genotypes for horticultural characteristics on the Upland in Southern Sierra Leone. J. Agri. Sci. 2017;9(6):213-220.
- Kaushik SK, Tomar DS, Dixit AK. Genetics of fruit yield and it's contributing characters in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicom*). J. Agric. Bio. And Sustainable Dev. 2011;3(10):209-213. Lekshmi SL, Celine VA. Evaluation of tomato hybrids for fruit, yield and quality traits under polyhouse conditions. Int. J. App. Pure Sci. Agri. 2015;01(7):58-64.
- Mahendrakar P, Mulge R, Madalageri MB, Patil MS, Ravi BA, Chandan K. Exploitation of hybrid vigour for growth and yield parameter in tomato. ATSH. 2006; 33.
- Malavika O, Indira P, Sheela KB. Performance evaluation of cherry tomato genotypes under rain shelter. J. Tro. Agri. 2017;55(2):180-183.
- Najeema MH, Revanappa HP, Hadimani, Birador IB. Evaluation of cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. var cerasiforme)

- genotypes for yield and quality traits. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(6):2433-2439.
11. Nitzsche P, Tietjen W, Kline W, Garrison S. Evaluation of grape and cherry tomatoes in North New Jersey. In: Tomato varieties/ trial reports-2003; 2003. Available: <https://njaws.rutgers.edu/>
 12. Dhyani S, Misra AC, Panday V, Sajwan P. Evaluation of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicom* L.) hybrids for fruit yield characters in Hill region of Uttarakhand. Int. J. Curr. Micobiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(9):1622-1633.
 13. Dhyani S, Misra AC, Panday V. Assessment of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicom* L.) hybrids for fruit quality and yield characters in Hill region of Uttarakhand. Int. J. Sci. Res. 2017;7(9): 691-695.
 14. Dundi KB, Mandalageri BB. Heterosis for shelf-life and its components in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). South Indian Hort. 1991;39:353-355.
 15. Dunsin O, Agbaje G, Aboyeji CM, Gbadamosi A. Comparison of growth, yield and fruit quality performances of tomato varieties under controlled environment condition of the Southern Guinea Savannah. J. Agric. Environ. Sci. 2016;16(10):1662-1665.
 16. Omprasad J, Reddy SSP, Madhumathi C, Balakrishan M. Evaluation of cherry tomato under shade Net for growth and yield attributes. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7:700-707.
 17. Omprasad J, Reddy SSP, Madhumathi C, Balakrishan M. Evaluation of cherry tomato for quality characters under shade net. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7:700-707.
 18. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, ICAR, New Delhi; 1985.
 19. Parmar DK, Thakur DR, Jamwal RS, Gurudevsing. Evaluation of tomato cultivar for yield, profit and quality performance in an organic management system in North Western Himalayas, India. Int. J. Curr. Micro. App. Sci. 2018;7(10):498-506.
 20. Venkadeswaran E, Vethamoni IP, Arumugam T, Manivannan N, Harish S. Evaluation and selection of cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. var cerasiforme) genotypes for growth and yield contribution characters. Int. J. Curr. Micro. App. Sci. 2018;7(6):155-1165.
 21. Venkadeswaran E, Vethamoni IP, Arumugam T, Manivannan N, Harish S. Evaluating the yield and quality characters of cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. var cerasiforme) genotypes. Int. J. Chem. Stud. 2018;7(6):155-1165.
 22. Alley EW, Karl HN, John TW, Davis RM. Estimation of chlorophyll and carotenoid contents of whole tomato by light absorbance technique. J. Food. Sci. 1976;41(1976):329-332.
 23. Bajaj KL, Mahajan R, Kaur PP, Chuma DS. Chemical constituents of processing tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum* M.). J. Res. Punjab. Agric. Univ. 1990;27(2):226-230.
 24. Benti G, Degefa G, Biri A, Tadesse F. Performance evaluation of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) varieties under supplement irrigation at Erer valley, Babile district, Ethiopia. J. Plant. Sci. 2017;5(1):1-5.
 25. Berry SZ, Uddin MR, Gould WA, Bisges AD, Dyer GD. Stability in fruit yield, soluble solids and citric acid of eight machine harvested processing tomato cultivars in Northern Ohio., J. Americ. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1988;113(4):604-608.
 26. Bhati V. Evaluation of tomato genotypes for growth, yield and quality traits under foothills condition of Nagaland, India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(3):1645-1649.
 27. Binalfew T, Alemu Y, Geleto J, Wendine G, Hinsermu. Performance of introduced hybrid tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* Mill.) cultivar in the Rift valley, Ethiopia. Int. J. Res. Agric. Fores. 2016;3(10): 25-28.
 28. Biswas M, Sarkar DR, Asif MI, Sikder RK, Mehraj H, Jamaluddin AFM. Comparison of growth and yield characteristics of BARI tomato varieties. J. Biosci. Agri. Res. 2015;03(01):01-07.
 29. Deepa S, Thakur MC. Evaluation of diallele progenies for yield and its contributing traits in tomato under mid-hill conditions. Indian J. Hort. 2008;65 (3):297-301.
 30. Devkota S, Shrestha SL, Dhakal DD, Shakya SM, Pandey A. Evaluation of tomato hybrids for yield attributes under Khumaltar condition. J. Int. Agil. Anim. Sci. 2018;35:191-196.
 31. Fish WW, Perkins VP, Collins JK. Quantitative assay for lycopene that utilizes reduced volumes of organic

- solvents, J. Fd. Comp. Anal. 2002;15: 309-317.
32. Ghosh KP, Islm AKMA, Mian MAK, Hossain MM. Variability and character association in F2 segregating population of different commercial hybrids of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.).J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage. 2010;14(2): 91-95.
33. Gomathi SP. Development of semi determinate F1hybrids in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* Mill.) with combined resistance to viral disease and nematodes. M.Sc. Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore; 2008.
34. Hasan MM, Bari MAA, Hossain MA. Genetic variability and traits association analysis of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) genotypes for yield and quality attributes. Uni. J. Plant. Sci. 2016; 4(3):23-34.
35. Islam MS, Mohanta HC, Ismail MR, Rafii MY, Malek MA. Genetic variability and trait relationship in cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* Var. cerasiforme (Dunnal) A. Gray). Bangladesh J. Bot. 2012;41(2):163-167.
36. Jasmine JAP, Ramadass S. Qualitative evaluation of tomato hybrids and varieties.South Indian Hort. 1994;42 (1):26-28.
37. Joshi AK, Kumar A, Sharma BK. Evaluation tomato genotypes for horticultural traits. Punjab Vegetable Grower. 1998;33:21-22.

© 2023 Yadav et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102405>