



# Optimizing Garlic (*Allium sativum*) Yield through Irrigation Scheduling and Nitrogen Management

Kishor Kumar Sahu <sup>a,b</sup>, J. C. Sharma <sup>a</sup>  
and Sanjay Kumar Sanadya <sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Water Management, College of Forestry, Dr YSP University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan- 173230, Himachal Pradesh, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib- 140406, Punjab, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author KKS did the conceptualization of research. Authors KKS and JCS did the designing of the experiments, Contribution of experimental materials and execution of field/lab experiments and data collection. Authors KKS, JCS and SKS did the Analysis of data and interpretation and Preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i203911

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106718>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/07/2023

Accepted: 26/09/2023

Published: 09/10/2023

## ABSTRACT

**Background and Aim:** Efficient utilization of natural resources, such as water and nutrients, plays a pivotal role in enhancing crop yield and overall productivity. This research aims to develop an efficient irrigation schedule and nitrogen and irrigation interaction effect to achieve higher productivity along with water use efficiency in garlic.

**Place and Duration of Study:** To delve into the intricate interaction between water and nitrogen use efficiency in garlic cultivation, a two-year-long experiment was conducted.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: sanjaypbg94@gmail.com;

**Methodology:** The experiment consisted of twelve treatment combinations, encompassing four distinct irrigation schedules (I0 as the control, I1 with 4 cm irrigation at an IW/CPE ratio of 0.8, I2 at 1.0, and I3 at 1.2) and three nitrogen levels (N0 as the control, N1 at 75%, and N2 at 100% of the recommended nitrogen dose).

**Results:** These treatments were replicated thrice using a factorial randomized block design. Notably, the irrigation schedule I3 exhibited a significant increase in nitrogen use efficiency, consequently resulting in higher garlic yields compared to the control irrigation (I0). Given the substantial difference in bulb yield observed in the I3 level (136.0 q/ha) compared to the control, it can be considered an efficient irrigation schedule for garlic cultivation. Among the tested nitrogen levels, N2 emerged as the most favorable, yielding significantly higher garlic production. The treatment combination of I3N2 recorded the highest bulb yield, boasting a remarkable increase of 64.5% over the control.

**Conclusion:** In summary, this study underscores the effectiveness of the I3N2 treatment combination for achieving robust growth and increased garlic yields while optimizing the utilization of irrigation water and nitrogen resources.

*Keywords: Garlic; water use efficiency; nitrogen use efficiency; irrigation; yield.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The most important bulb crop garlic (*Allium sativum*) belongs to the family Alliaceae contains proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, fibre, alkaloids and vitamins uses as a food preservative. Garlic production in the country has shown steady increase in last few years and it is the second most important bulb crop in India. The global scenario of area and production of garlic shows that it is grown in an area of 12 million hectares with a production of 16.4 million tons globally [1]. Although, the productivity of garlic in Himachal Pradesh is almost three times higher than that of national average, but it is still lower than its potential yield. There is a lot of scope to enhance its productivity by lowering down the gap between potential yield and actual yield Zala et al. [2]. In many garlic producing areas, lack of available nutrients and availability of soil water are two main limiting factors for the low productivity of garlic. Therefore, through proper irrigation and nutrient management practices we can enhance its productivity and bring it near to its potential yield.

The frequency of irrigation and the amount of water required are governed by various factors such as cultivar, soil types, season, distribution of rainfall and management practices etc Singh et al. [3]. Irrigation scheduling is a water management strategy which prevent over application of water while minimizing the yield loss due to water shortage or drought stress. Both over irrigation as well as under irrigation adversely affect the garlic yield. The importance of irrigation scheduling is that it enables the irrigator to apply exact amount of water to

achieve the goal of increased irrigation efficiency Imitiyaz et al. [4]. On the other hand, judicious application of fertilizers is important for garlic production. Nitrogen fertilization is necessary for ensuring successful vegetative growth. However, it is most often the limiting nutrient element in plant growth and is a constituent of chlorophyll, plant proteins and nucleic acids. The efficient use of N from the economic point of view can be achieved by soil moisture management through efficient irrigation schedule. Keeping in view, a study carried out to develop an efficient irrigation schedule and nitrogen and irrigation interaction effect to achieve higher productivity along with water use efficiency in garlic.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

To achieve objectives of present study field experiments were conducted for two consecutive winter seasons 2017-2018 in the experimental farm, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

### 2.1 Soil Analysis

Prior to conducting the experiment, representative soil samples were collected from a depth of 0-15 cm. These samples were gathered to investigate the impact of varying irrigation and nitrogen levels on several soil parameters, including pH, soil organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, exchangeable calcium, and the availability of micronutrient cations such as iron, manganese, zinc, and copper (Fig. 1).

## 2.2 Experimental Details and Data Analysis

A total of 12 treatment combinations, comprising different irrigation schedules (I0: 0.0 IW/CPE, I1: 0.8 IW/CPE, I2: 1.0 IW/CPE, I3: 1.2 IW/CPE) and nitrogen levels (N0: 0.0% N fertilizer, N1: 75% of N fertilizer, N2: 100% of N fertilizer), were arranged in a Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The experimental field underwent thorough plowing using a tractor, followed by planking, 15 days prior to the actual sowing date. A total of thirty-six raised plots, each measuring 3 m × 2 m, were established. All the agronomic practices necessary for garlic bulb production were carried out according to recommended guidelines. To assess yield and related traits, including plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, number of cloves per bulb, biological yield (q/ha), and bulb yield (q/ha), five plants were randomly chosen and marked in each treatment. The data recorded was analyzed by using MS-Excel and OPSTAT software. The mean values of data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) as described by Panse and Sukhatme [5], for using Factorial Randomized Block Design.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to conducting the experiment, the soil profile underwent meticulous analysis over two consecutive seasons at a depth of 0-15 cm. The findings indicated that all the examined physio-

chemical properties exhibited statistically similar results (Fig. 1).

## 3.1 Effects of Irrigation Scheduling and Nitrogen Levels on Garlic Production

### 3.1.1 Plant height

An acquisition of the data in Table 1 showed statistically significant effect of irrigation levels, N levels and their interaction on plant height across years. However, in I3, plant height (60.9 and 65.3 cm) was significantly higher as compared to control (I0), while, N2 level exhibited significantly higher plant height (62.3 and 67.1 cm) across the years, respectively. Furthermore, interaction of I3 and N2 showed significantly highest plant height (69.6 cm and 70.5 cm) in the respective years. Moreover, pooled analysis of data also showed the comparable results of main and interaction of water and nitrogen for plant height. The results of present study are also in close conformity with the findings of Aregawi [6]; Farooqui et al. [7], in garlic, who also reported that increased amount of N fertilizer applied, increased the plant height significantly. Kakar et al. [8]; Gebrehaweria [9], have also reported significant effect of N on plant height in garlic. The increase in height could also be attributed to the involvement of N in building blocks for the synthesis of amino acids, as they link together to form proteins and make up metabolic processes required for plant growth.




Fig. 1. Physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil (depth 0-15 cm)

**Table 1. Effect of irrigation and N levels on plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant and number of cloves per bulb in garlic**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves per plant			Number of cloves per bulb		
	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled
I0N0	39.20	47.20	43.20	7.50	8.50	8.00	10.30	10.50	10.40
I1N0	48.20	56.80	52.50	9.70	10.60	10.20	10.90	10.60	10.80
I2N0	50.00	57.60	53.80	9.70	11.30	10.50	10.90	11.10	11.00
I3N0	50.30	59.90	55.10	9.90	11.40	10.70	11.50	11.90	11.70
I0N1	50.40	53.10	51.70	10.40	10.80	10.60	11.70	11.80	11.70
I1N1	59.00	60.30	59.70	12.70	13.20	12.90	14.30	14.30	14.30
I2N1	61.50	63.70	62.60	12.70	13.30	13.00	14.30	14.50	14.40
I3N1	62.90	65.40	64.20	12.90	13.60	13.20	14.70	15.10	14.90
I0N2	52.70	59.30	56.00	11.00	11.60	11.30	11.90	11.90	11.90
I1N2	61.30	68.50	64.90	13.10	13.80	13.50	14.30	14.60	14.40
I2N2	65.60	70.10	67.90	13.20	13.90	13.60	15.40	15.10	15.20
I3N2	69.60	70.50	70.00	13.40	14.00	13.70	15.70	15.70	15.70
Mean	55.89	61.03	58.47	11.35	12.17	11.77	12.99	13.09	13.03
Critical Difference at 5% level of significance									
I	1.00	0.70	0.70	NS	0.20	NS	0.50	0.30	0.30
N	0.90	0.60	0.60	NS	0.20	NS	0.40	0.30	0.30
IxN	1.80	1.20	1.20	NS	NS	NS	0.80	0.60	0.50

I0: (Control), I1: (0.8 IW/CPE ratio), I2: (1 IW/CPE ratio), I3: (1.2 IW/CPE ratio)

N0: Control, N1: 75% of RDN, N2: 100% of RDN

### 3.1.2 Number of leaves per plant

The number of leaves per plant showed insignificant main (irrigation and nitrogen levels) and interaction effects in 2016-17 and pooled data (Table 1). In year 2017-18, main (irrigation and nitrogen levels) had significant effect but interaction had insignificant effect. Main effect I3 and N2 levels had significantly high number of leaves per plant (13.0 and 13.3) as compared to control, respectively. The results obtained are in line with those of Ahmed *et al.* (2007) and Ahmed *et al.* [10] in garlic and Biswas *et al.* [11] in onion who also observed that increasing the irrigation frequency caused an increase in number of leaves per plant. The more number of leaves in plant grown under higher N level might have been associated with the application of N in adequate quantity that positively improved the vegetative growth of garlic.

### 3.1.3 Number of cloves per bulb

The number of cloves per bulb showed significant main effect (irrigation and N levels) and interaction effect across years and pooled data (Table 1). In irrigation level, the highest number of cloves per bulb (13.9, 14.2 and 14.1) was observed in I3 level during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled data, respectively. Furthermore, in nitrogen level, N2 level exhibited the highest

number of cloves per bulb (14.3 each) in 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled data, respectively. The interaction (IxN) effect was also significant on number of cloves per bulb in both the years and pooled data. These findings are in close agreement with other workers like Singh *et al.* [12]; Doro [13] in garlic. The results of Setty *et al.* [14] also stated that application of N fertilizers significantly increased bulb size and number of cloves in garlic.

### 3.1.4 Biological yield (q/ha)

In two consecutive years and pooled data of present study, the effect of irrigation and N levels and interaction effect on biological yield (q/ha) was found to be significant (Table 2). In irrigation level, I3 had significantly high mean biological yield (245.7 and 269.4 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas in N levels, the N2 level had significantly high mean biological yield (261.5 and 282.2 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to control (N<sub>0</sub> level) during both the years. In case of interaction effect (IxN) significantly higher biological yield of garlic (295.0 and 310.0 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found in I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> in 2016-17 and 2017-18, respectively. Furthermore, in pooled data the I3 had significantly higher biological yield (257.5 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) while N<sub>2</sub> level had significantly higher (271.9 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) biological yield while in case of interaction effect I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> had significantly higher biological yield (302.5 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Higher biological yield of garlic under N<sub>2</sub> might be due to complete solubility, mobilization and availability of N at regular interval in required quantity. The reason suggested for such a response was that optimum N application increased growth parameters, which in turn synthesized more plant metabolites thereby, increasing crop yield. Similar results were also reported by Naruka et al. [15]; Gowda et al. [16] in garlic.

### 3.1.5 Bulb yield (q/ha)

Table 2 showed the significant main effect (irrigation and N levels) on bulb yield during both the years and pooled data. In irrigation levels, during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled data I<sub>3</sub> exhibited significantly highest bulb yield (127.6, 144.4 and 136.0 q/ha) whereas in nitrogen levels, N<sub>2</sub> level had significantly highest bulb yield (134.4, 148.1 and 141.3 q/ha) as compared to control, respectively. Furthermore, interaction I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> was recorded significant during both the years and pooled data in this study. Higher bulb weight and bulb yield with irrigation level I<sub>3</sub> might be due to optimum soil moisture regimes (Table 2) throughout the growing period which might have facilitated greater nutrient uptake and proper soil physical environment to help the plant to put forth better vegetative growth and yield. Better expression of growth and yield under higher quantity of irrigation and N was also reported by Singh et al. [12]; Doro [13] in garlic and Dorcas et al. [17]; Kumar et al. [18] in onion. The enhanced bulb yield under N<sub>2</sub> might be related to sufficient application of N that significantly influenced the plant performance. Studies of Assefa et al. [19] revealed that better N supply to the plant increased the rate of metabolism where more synthesis of carbohydrate takes place. This in turn increased the bulb weight and total yield of garlic. An adequate supply of N is therefore, associated with vigorous vegetative growth and more efficient use of available inputs finally leading to higher productivity. Improvement in overall growth i.e. plant height, leaf length and number of leaves with irrigation coupled with increased net photosynthesis towards reproduction structure, which increased the yield attributes significantly. These findings are in close agreement with previous studies i.e. Singh et al. [12]; Doro [13] in garlic and Dorcas et al. [17] in onion. Additionally, Akbar et al. [20] found a higher bulb yield in responsive drip irrigation compared to furrow irrigation in silty loam soil. Furthermore, Alemayehu et al. [21] revealed that

the combined effect of irrigation water and NPS fertilizer significantly increased potato tuber yield.

## 3.2 Water and Nitrogen use Efficiency of Garlic Production

### 3.2.1 Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) (%)

It is evident from Table 3 that during 2016-17, the highest NUE (35.10 %) was observed under I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> followed by I<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (31.71 %) and lowest (14.51 %) under I<sub>0</sub>N<sub>1</sub> followed by I<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub> (18.06 %) while during 2017-18, the highest NUE (34.49 %) was recorded under I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> followed by I<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (32.58 %) and lowest (18.64 %) under I<sub>0</sub>N<sub>1</sub> followed by I<sub>0</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (24.31 %). On pooled basis, the highest NUE (34.80 %) was recorded under I<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> and lowest (16.58 %) under I<sub>0</sub>N<sub>1</sub>. The results are in accordance with the findings of Liao et al. [22] in radish and Yadan and Xin [23] in tomato who also reported that NUE increased with increase in the amount of water applied. Similar finding was observed by Yadav et al. [24] in onion. Alemayehu et al. [21] revealed that higher rate of NPS fertilizer application increased nutrient use efficiency.

### 3.2.2 Water use efficiency (WUE)

Water use efficiency was calculated on the basis of yield and irrigation water applied during both the years of study. To maximize WUE, it is necessary to conserve water and to promote maximum crop growth. A perusal of data in Table 3 revealed that among irrigation levels, the highest WUE (428.8 and 341.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under I<sub>0</sub> and the lowest (264.0 and 308.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) under I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level during both the years. Among N levels, highest WUE (575.1 and 434.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed under N<sub>2</sub> and the lowest (205.1 and 241.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) under N<sub>0</sub> during both the years of study. On pooled data, the highest WUE was recorded under I<sub>0</sub> (385.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), which was 34.72 per cent higher over I<sub>3</sub> (286.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) irrigation level. As WUE is the ratio of yield to that of water applied, comparatively lower water applied gave higher WUE. This might be due to inverse relation between WUE and amount of irrigation water applied. WUE decreased with higher irrigation regime. This might be due to fact that the increase in yield was not proportionate to the increase in consumptive use of water. These results corroborated with the findings of Singh et al. [3]; Thenmozhi and Duraisamy [25], in onion and Zala et al. [2], in garlic. In agreement with this Lellis et al. [26] found IWUE

**Table 2. Effect of irrigation and N levels on bulb yield (q/ha) and biological yield (q/ha) in garlic**

Treatments	Bulb yield (q/ha)			Biological yield (q/ha)		
	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled
I0N0	87.30	100.00	93.80	155.20	175.30	165.20
I1N0	98.10	108.00	103.00	170.80	190.70	180.70
I2N0	102.40	117.00	110.00	177.90	202.00	190.00
I3N0	108.70	126.00	117.00	188.90	214.80	201.90
I0N1	101.70	119.90	110.80	171.50	197.10	184.30
I1N1	118.10	136.00	127.10	239.70	264.70	252.20
I2N1	121.10	142.30	131.70	245.30	273.60	259.50
I3N1	124.40	147.70	136.10	253.00	283.40	268.20
I0N2	111.70	129.30	120.50	196.10	222.00	209.10
I1N2	133.30	150.60	141.90	271.20	295.40	283.30
I2N2	142.90	153.80	148.30	283.80	301.50	292.60
I3N2	149.80	158.70	154.30	295.00	310.00	302.50
Mean	116.63	132.44	124.54	220.70	244.21	232.46
Critical Difference at 5% level of significance						
I	2.60	1.30	1.40	2.40	1.20	1.40
N	2.20	1.10	1.20	2.10	1.10	1.20
IxN	4.50	2.20	2.50	4.20	2.10	2.40

I0: (Control), I1: (0.8 IW/CPE ratio), I2: (1 IW/CPE ratio), I3: (1.2 IW/CPE ratio)

N0: Control, N1: 75% of RDN, N2: 100% of RDN

**Table 3. Nitrogen and water use efficiency in garlic cultivation**

Treatments	Nitrogen use efficiency (%)			Water use efficiency (%)		
	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled	2016-17	2017-18	Pooled
I0N0	-	-	-	373.7	294.3	334.0
I1N1	18.06	24.32	21.19	251.9	261.0	256.4
I2N2	31.71	32.58	32.15	247.0	262.5	254.8
I3N0	-	-	-	224.8	270.3	247.5
I0N1	14.51	18.64	16.58	435.0	351.9	393.5
I1N2	28.23	32.10	30.16	303.2	325.8	314.5
I2N0	-	-	-	292.1	317.6	304.9
I3N1	25.23	29.56	27.39	257.3	315.2	286.3
I0N2	19.92	24.31	22.11	477.8	379.3	428.6
I1N0	-	-	-	342.1	360.8	351.4
I2N1	20.29	25.34	22.82	344.7	343.4	344.0
I3N2	35.10	34.49	34.80	309.9	338.7	324.3

I0: (Control), I1: (0.8 IW/CPE ratio), I2: (1 IW/CPE ratio), I3: (1.2 IW/CPE ratio)

N0: Control, N1: 75% of RDN, N2: 100% of RDN

from 2.53 to 3.72 kg/m<sup>3</sup> with the application of irrigation water. Ayele et al. [27] found that combined effect of conventional furrow irrigation with 100% evapotranspiration resulted in the lowest water use efficiency in garlic production in Ethiopia. [28].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The study aimed to investigate the impact of varying irrigation schedules and nitrogen levels on garlic yield, as well as water and nitrogen use efficiency. Among the tested nitrogen levels, N2 (at 100% of the recommended N dose) proved to be the most effective, resulting in significantly higher garlic yields. Furthermore, irrigation

schedule I3 demonstrated an increase in nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) and garlic yield when compared to the control irrigation schedule. When combined, irrigation schedule I3 with N2 substantially enhanced NUE in garlic compared to the control. The significant difference in garlic bulb yield observed under the I3N2 treatment combination makes it a compelling choice for achieving both higher garlic yields and improved water and nitrogen use efficiency. Based on the two-year study, it can be concluded that an efficient irrigation schedule and an appropriate nitrogen level are essential factors for maintaining optimal soil moisture conditions and creating a conducive environment for maximizing garlic growth and yield.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was undertaken as part of the first author's Master's degree program at Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan, India. The authors express their gratitude to the dedicated technical staff of the Department of Soil Science and Water Management for their invaluable support and provision of essential research facilities.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. FAO. Global review of area and production of garlic. 2008;135-139.
2. Zala JN, Desai ND, Naik VR, Patel DP, Gonge AP, Patel KK. Effect of irrigation scheduling based on IW/CPE ratios and integrated nutrient management on growth, yield attributes and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Green Farming. 2014;5:793-795.
3. Singh CB, Sidhu AS, Thind SS, Sekhon NK and Dhatt AS. Irrigation and nitrogen requirement of onion. Journal Research Punjab Agricultural University. 2008;45: 132-138.
4. Imtiyaz M, Mgadla NP, Chepeter B, Manase SK. Response of six vegetable crops to irrigation schedules. Agricultural Water Management. 2000;45:331-342.
5. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. 2000. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi; 2008.
6. Aregawi T. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield and dry matter accumulation of garlic at Bule wereda, southern Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Hawassa University, Awassa, Ethiopia; 2006.
7. Farooqui MA, Naruka IS, Rathore SS, Singh SS, Shaktawat RPS. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur levels on growth and yield of garlic. Asian Journal of Food and Agricultural Industries. 2009;18-23.
8. Kakar A, Abdullahzai MK, Saleem M, Shah SAQ. Effect of nitrogenous fertilizer on growth and yield of garlic. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2002;1:544-545.
9. Gebrehaweria T. Effect of mulching, nitrogen and phosphorus on yield and yield components of garlic at Alshaday, eastern zone of Tigray, northern Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis Haramaya University, Ethiopia; 2007.
10. Ahmed MEM, Derbala A, Kader NA. Effect of irrigation frequency and potassium source on the productivity, quality and storability of garlic. Misr Journal of Agriculture Engineering. 2009;26:1245-1262.
11. Biswas SK, Khair A, Sarker PK, Alom MS. Yield and storability of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as affected by varying levels of Irrigation. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010;35:247-55.
12. Singh GRK, Shukla IN, Singh JP, Kumar S. Studies on effect on varying plant density, planting and irrigation time on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Progressive Agriculture. 2007;7:167-168.
13. Doro K. Effect of irrigation interval on the yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) Jorind. 2012;10:30-33.
14. Setty BS, Sulikeri GS, Hulamani NC.. Effect of N, P and K on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1989;2:160-164.
15. Naruka IS, Singh PP, Rathore SS, Singh MM. Response of garlic cultivars to nitrogen fertilization and crop geometry on composition of Seminar on Agro-technology, Quality, Processing and Export of Spices. JNKVV, Jabalpur. 2005;52.
16. Gowda MC, Vijayakumar M, Gowda APM. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of garlic. Agricultural Crop Research. 2007; 33:144-147.
17. Dorcas AOA, Magaji MD, Singh A, Ibrahim R, Siddiqui Y. Irrigations Scheduling for Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) at various plant densities. UMT 11<sup>th</sup> International Annual Symposium on Sustainability Science and Management. 2012;11:266-272.
18. Kumar S, Imtiyaz M, Kumar A, Singh R. Response of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) to different levels of irrigation water. Agricultural Water Management. 2007;8:161-166.
19. Assefa AG, Mesgina SH, Abrha YW. Effect of inorganic and organic fertilizers on the growth and yield of garlic crop in northern Ethiopia. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2015;7:80-86.

20. Akbar G, Islam Z, Ahmad B. Water productivity of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) under the responsive drip irrigation (RDI) system compared to the drip and furrow irrigation systems in silty loam soil. *The Nucleus*. 2023;60(2):154-158.
21. Alemayehu M, Jemberie M, Dessalegn Y. Effects of irrigation scheduling methods and blended NPS fertilizer on tuber yield and water productivity of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in northwest Ethiopia. *Heliyon*. 2023;9:1-10.
22. Liao Y, Rong S, Zheng Q, Liu M, Fan J, Peng, Xie G. Influences of nitrogen fertilizer application rates on radish yield, nutrition quality and nitrogen recovery efficiency. *Frontiers of Agriculture in China*. 2009;3:122-129.
23. Yadan, Xim. Response of yield quality, water and NUE of tomato to different level of water and nitrogen under drip irrigation in North Western China. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*. 2017;16:1153-1161.
24. Yadav GB, Khodke UM, Jadhav SB. Response of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) to irrigation schedules and nitrogen levels under micro-irrigation system. *International Journal of Agricultural Engineering*. 2010; 3:59-61.
25. Thenmozhi S, Duraisamy VK.. Evaluation of irrigation scheduling on water use efficiency nutrient availability and uptake of nutrients by *Aggregatum* onion (*Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum*). *Agriculture Science Digest*. 2014;34:251-256.
26. Léllis BC, Martínez-Romero A, Schwartz RC, Pardo JJ, Tarjuelo JM, Domínguez A.. Effect of the optimized regulated deficit irrigation methodology on water use in garlic. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2022;260:1-15.
27. Ayele BG, Asseffa S, Tuhar AW. Effect of deficit irrigation under furrow irrigation techniques on garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) productivity at the central highland of Ethiopia. *Water-Energy Nexus*. 2023;6: 32-45.
28. Ahmed HG, Magaji MD, Yakutu AI, Aliyu L, Singh A. Response of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) to irrigation interval and clove size in semi-arid, Nigeria. *Journal of Plant Science*. 2002; 2:202-208.

© 2023 Sahu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106718>