



Quantifying the Influence of Enriched Urban Compost and Wastes on Soil Physico-chemical and Biological Parameters of Bettahalli Village, Bangalore North Taluk: A Quantitative Analysis

Shantha Murthy B. ^{a*}, Sathish A. ^a, Saralakumari J. ^a,
Umashankar N. ^b and Seenappa C. ^c

^a Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru-65, India.

^b Department of Agricultural Microbiology, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru-65, India.

^c Department of Agronomy, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru-65, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i213977

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107908>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/08/2023

Accepted: 12/10/2023

Published: 18/10/2023

ABSTRACT

Enriched urban compost enhances soil for sustainable agriculture, improving structure, water dynamics, nutrient release, microbial life, and contributing to climate change mitigation. The present study was carried out in 2022 late winter to assess the impact of enriched urban compost

*Corresponding author: E-mail: murthyb121997@gmail.com;

and wastes on soil properties. Collected Soil samples were analyzed for physical, chemical, and biological properties pre and post-maize harvest. Enriched urban compost treatments showed a slight reduction in bulk density. Increased Moisture holding capacity, pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, and magnesium remained mostly unchanged.

Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium increased significantly with enriched compost, promoting sustained nutrient release. Available sulfur rose with compost addition. DTPA-extractable Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn, and B were significantly higher with enriched compost. Chromium, nickel, lead, and cadmium remained below critical limits. Dehydrogenase and urease activities were significantly higher with enriched compost, indicating enhanced microbial action. Acid phosphatase activity increased, likely due to elevated phosphorus levels in organics, supporting P solubilizers.

Enriched urban compost enhances soil properties, promoting agricultural sustainability through climate change mitigation, improved structure, and enhanced nutrient release. Advisably, incorporating enriched urban compost into agricultural practices optimizes soil health, fosters sustainable nutrient management, and supports microbial activities, thereby enhancing agricultural productivity.

Keywords: Urban compost; soil properties; soil fertility; electrical conductivity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Enriched urban compost and waste materials play a pivotal role in transforming soil's properties, offering a holistic approach to sustainable agriculture and environmental stewardship Ayilara et al. [1]. The addition of enriched compost significantly influences soil structure. Compost is rich in organic matter and acts as a binding agent, fostering the development of soil aggregates. This aggregation enhances soil porosity, allowing for improved water infiltration and retention. In sandy soils, the organic matter in compost acts like a sponge, preventing rapid drainage and aiding in water retention. In contrast, in clayey soils, compost facilitates better aeration and drainage, mitigating issues related to waterlogging.

The chemical implications of enriched urban compost are equally profound. Compost serves as a nutrient reservoir, containing essential elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and micronutrients. These nutrients are released gradually as the organic matter decomposes, providing a sustained and balanced source of nutrition for plants. Importantly, the organic matter in compost also acts as a pH buffer, helping to stabilize soil pH levels. This is particularly beneficial in urban areas where soils may be prone to acidification due to pollution or industrial activities.

Biologically, the introduction of enriched compost to soil has far-reaching implications. Compost is a rich source of microbial life, including bacteria, fungi, and other microorganisms that enhance the soil's biological diversity and activity. The

microbial communities in compost aid in breaking down organic matter, releasing nutrients in forms that plants can readily absorb Paredes et al. [2]. Additionally, these microorganisms play a crucial role in suppressing soil-borne pathogens, thereby promoting plant health. Moreover, the incorporation of enriched compost and waste materials into urban soils contributes to carbon sequestration. Organic matter in compost is a storehouse of carbon, and by adding compost to the soil, carbon is sequestered, mitigating the effects of climate change. This dual benefit of enhancing soil fertility and contributing to climate change mitigation underscores the importance of utilizing enriched compost in urban agriculture. By considering the above importance, the present study is undertaken to assess the effects of enriched urban compost and wastes on soil physicochemical and biological properties.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was taken up during late winter 2022 at Bettahalli, Bangalore North taluk, situated in the Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka. Soil samples were collected at a depth of 0–15 cm both before sowing and after crop harvest. These samples were air-dried, powdered, sieved through a 2 mm sieve, and stored in polythene bags. Standard procedures (Table 1) were applied to analyze various physical and chemical properties of the soil. Additionally, for the assessment of biological properties, soil samples were obtained before sowing and at the 50 percent flowering stage.

The compost and wastes were enriched with the liquid microbial consortium, Twelve days before

sowing, nine treatments (Table 2) involving various combinations of enriched and unenriched FYM, sewage sludge, urban solid waste compost, and humanure compost were applied. The basal dose of 50% N and 100% of P, K, and Zn fertilizers were supplied, remaining nitrogen was top-dressed 30 days after sowing.

The study followed a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The cultivation of the maize hybrid BRMH-8 adhered to recommended cultural practices.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil samples from the experimental site were collected and analyzed for physical,

chemical, and biological properties before sowing and after harvest of maize crop were presented in Table 3, 4, and 5 respectively. Bulk density varied between 1.42 Mg m⁻³ (T₇: 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC) and 1.50 Mg m⁻³ (T₁: Absolute control). Despite this range, there was no significant difference from the initial value of 1.47 Mg m⁻³. The lack of significant variation may be attributed to the insufficient seasonal use of organics, in agreement with Meena et al. [14]. However, plots treated with enriched urban compost experienced a slight reduction in bulk density. This reduction could be due to the increased organic carbon content from higher doses of urban compost, enhancing soil aggregation and porosity.

Table 1. Methods followed for analysis of enriched urban compost and waste on soil physico-chemical and biological parameters

Parameter	Method	Reference
Physical properties		
MWHC (%), Bulk density	Keen Raczkowski Cup	Piper [3]
Chemical properties		
pH (1:2.5)	Potentiometry	Jackson [4]
EC (dS m ⁻¹)	Conductometry	Jackson [4]
Organic carbon	Wet oxidation	Walkley and Black [5]
Available Nitrogen	Alkaline permanganate	Subbiah and Asija [6]
Available Phosphorus	Spectrophotometry	Bray and Kurtz [7]
Available Potassium	Flame photometry	Page et al. [8]
Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium	Complexometric titration method	Jackson [4]
Available Sulphur	Turbidometry	Black [9]
Hot water-soluble Boron	Azomethane-H	Dhyan Singh et al. [10]
DTPA extractable micronutrients & heavy metals	Atomic Absorption	Lindsay and Norvell [11]
Biological Parameters		
Estimation of Urease Activity	KCL-AG ₂ SO ₄ solution	Eivazi and Tabatabai [12]
Estimation of Dehydrogenase activity	2-3-5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride reduction method	Casida et al. [13]
Estimation of Phosphatase activity	p-nitrophenyl phosphatase method	Eivazi and Tabatabai [12]

Table 2. The nine different treatment combinations are as follows

T ₁	Control
T ₂	100% NPK + FYM @ 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ (POP)
T ₃	100 % NPK + 7.5t ha ⁻¹ HC
T ₄	100 % NPK + 7.5t ha ⁻¹ USWC
T ₅	100 % NPK + 7.5t ha ⁻¹ SS
T ₆	75 % NPK + 7.5t ha ⁻¹ Microbial enriched HC
T ₇	75% NPK + 7.5t ha ⁻¹ Microbial enriched USWC
T ₈	75 % NPK + 7.5t ha ⁻¹ Microbial enriched SS
T ₉	75 %+ NPK + 7.5t ha ⁻¹ Microbial enriched FYM

Note: 10Kg of ZnSO₄ per ha was added in T₂ to T₉ treatments USWC: Urban Solid Waste Compost, SS: Sewage Sludge, FYM: Farm Yard Manure, HC: Humanure Compost

Table 3. Initial physicochemical and biological properties of the experimental site

Sl. No.	Parameters	Values
Physical properties		
01	Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	1.47
02	MWHC (%)	36.51
Chemical properties		
01	pH (1:2.5)	5.36
02	EC (dS m^{-1})	0.02
03	Organic Carbon (%)	0.68
04	Avail. N (kg ha^{-1})	183.97
05	Avail. P_2O_5 (kg ha^{-1})	45.32
06	Avail. K_2O (kg ha^{-1})	240.16
07	Exchangeable Ca [$\text{C mol (p+) kg}^{-1}$ of soil]	4.52
08	Exchangeable Mg [$\text{C mol (p+) kg}^{-1}$ of soil]	2.21
09	Available S (mg kg^{-1})	13.25
10	Hot water-soluble B (mg kg^{-1})	0.36
11	DTPA extractable Fe (mg kg^{-1})	9.46
12	DTPA extractable Mn (mg kg^{-1})	8.24
13	DTPA extractable Zn (mg kg^{-1})	1.98
14	DTPA extractable Cu (mg kg^{-1})	0.66
15	DTPA extractable Ni (mg kg^{-1})	0.68
16	DTPA extractable Cr (mg kg^{-1})	0.27
17	DTPA extractable Pb (mg kg^{-1})	1.69
18	DTPA extractable Cd (mg kg^{-1})	0.032
Biological properties		
01	Dehydrogenase ($\mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1}$ soil 24 h^{-1})	25.74
02	Urease ($\mu\text{g NH}_4\text{-N g}^{-1}$ soil h^{-1})	16.59
03	Acid Phosphatase ($\mu\text{g PNP g}^{-1}$ soil h^{-1})	32.11

None, of the treatments were found to be non-significant with respect to the maximum water-holding capacity of soil after the harvest of the crop. Higher soil moisture content was observed in the plots treated with enriched urban compost and wastes. This might be attributed to the increased specific surface area due to an increase in the relative number of micropores by application of organic sources and thereby an increase in moisture holding capacity of soil as reported by Hernando et al. [15]. The physical properties of the soil did not vary significantly between treatments. This lack of variation might be attributed to the slow nature of changes in physical properties, requiring an extended period of time for crop cultivation with these treatments to effectively alter the soil's physical characteristics.

None, of the treatments showed significant differences in soil pH after crop harvest. The increased pH in T_8 resulted from carbon mineralization in sewage sludge, leading to the production of OH^- ions through ligand exchange.

Additionally, the introduction of basic cations like K^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+} contributed to this increase, aligning with the observations of Mkhabela and Warman [16].

None, of the treatments exhibited significant differences in soil electrical conductivity after crop harvest. Nevertheless, a slight increase in EC was observed in treatments utilizing enriched urban compost and wastes. This could be attributed to the mineralization and release of bicarbonates, Fe, Mn, and NH_3 content, leading to the subsequent formation of soluble salts of calcium.

No significant differences were observed in the organic carbon content of the soil after harvest. A slight increase in organic carbon content compared to the initial value was noted, The variation in OC status among the treatments was linked to the differential rate of oxidation of organic matter by microbes. The addition of compost and FYM (Farm Yard Manure) enhanced soil organic carbon due to mineralization.

Table 4. Effect of enriched urban compost and wastes on physico-chemical properties afterharvest of maize

Treatments	BD (Mg m ⁻³)	MWHC (%)	pH (1:2.5)	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	OC (%)	Avail. N (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Avail. P ₂ O ₅ (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Avail. K ₂ O (Kg ha ⁻¹)
T1: Control	1.50	35.42	5.30	0.016	0.66	120.41	34.41	174.70
T2: 100% NPK + FYM @ 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ (POP)	1.47	36.50	5.42	0.017	0.69	189.84	51.38	225.76
T3 :100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ HC	1.46	36.92	5.40	0.020	0.70	196.25	50.89	228.25
T4 :100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ USWC	1.47	37.35	5.42	0.019	0.69	205.84	52.22	230.66
T5 :100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ SS	1.48	35.06	5.45	0.017	0.69	186.55	48.80	226.92
T6 :75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched HC	1.43	37.12	5.41	0.018	0.68	219.94	58.30	237.62
T7:75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC	1.42	38.91	5.42	0.021	0.72	231.98	60.68	238.06
T8:75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched SS	1.45	36.08	5.47	0.022	0.70	212.27	54.63	234.52
T9:75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched FYM	1.44	37.06	5.45	0.021	0.71	215.30	57.53	233.84
S.Em±	0.03	0.76	0.08	0.003	0.01	1.45	1.79	3.24
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	4.35	5.38	9.71
Initial	1.47	36.51	5.36	0.020	0.68	183.97	45.32	240.16

Table 5. Effect of enriched urban compost and wastes on physico-chemical properties afterharvest of maize

Treatments	Exch. Ca (c mol (p+) kg ⁻¹)	Exch. Mg	Avail. S (mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA-Cu (Mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA-Zn (Mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA-Fe (Mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA-Mn (Mg kg ⁻¹)	Hot water-B (Mg kg ⁻¹)
T1: Control	4.11	1.91	7.68	0.62	1.91	9.18	7.78	0.27
T2: 100% NPK + FYM @ 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ (POP)	4.63	2.32	15.14	0.65	2.06	9.41	8.11	0.35
T3: 100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ HC	4.71	2.35	14.55	0.65	2.05	9.68	8.14	0.34
T4: 100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ USWC	4.90	2.38	15.22	0.64	2.04	9.80	8.20	0.35
T5: 100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ SS	4.33	2.18	13.02	0.62	2.03	9.35	8.09	0.31
T6: 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched HC	5.30	2.51	16.20	0.69	2.10	10.14	8.26	0.37
T7: 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC	5.47	2.62	16.90	0.71	2.12	10.21	8.29	0.40
T8: 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched SS	5.01	2.41	15.71	0.66	2.07	9.85	8.23	0.36
T9: 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched FYM	5.17	2.45	16.41	0.67	2.09	10.01	8.27	0.39
S.Em±	0.12	0.15	1.22	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.04	0.02
CD at 5%	0.36	NS	3.67	0.03	0.03	0.58	0.12	0.06
Initial	4.52	2.21	13.25	0.66	1.98	9.46	8.24	0.36

Table 6. Effect of enriched urban compost and wastes on soil DTPA-extractable heavy metals chromium, nickel, lead, and cadmium after harvest of maize

Treatments	DTPA-Cr (Mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA-Ni (Mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA-Pb (Mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA-Cd (Mg kg ⁻¹)
T ₁ : Control	0.269	0.647	1.694	0.031
T ₂ : 100% NPK + FYM @ 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ (POP)	0.274	0.693	1.696	0.033
T ₃ : 100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ HC	0.272	0.693	1.711	0.032
T ₄ : 100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ USWC	0.271	0.700	1.698	0.031
T ₅ : 100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ SS	0.276	0.723	1.708	0.032
T ₆ : 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched HC	0.278	0.712	1.719	0.033
T ₇ : 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC	0.277	0.715	1.713	0.034
T ₈ : 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched SS	0.279	0.740	1.714	0.033
T ₉ : 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha ⁻¹ microbial enriched FYM	0.273	0.712	1.712	0.032
S.Em±	0.002	0.016	0.008	0.001
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
Initial	0.27	0.68	1.69	0.032

Note: 10Kg of ZnSO₄ per ha was added in T₂ to T₉ treatments

*HC= Humanure Compost

*USWC= Urban Solid Waste Compost

*SS= Sewage Sludge

*FYM=Farm Yard Manure

Table 7. Effect of enriched urban compost and wastes on biological parameters at 50 % flowering stage of maize crop

Treatments		Dehydrogenase activity ($\mu\text{g TPF g}^{-1}$ soil 24 h $^{-1}$)	Urease ($\mu\text{g NH}_4\text{- N g}^{-1}$ soil h $^{-1}$)	Acid phosphatase ($\mu\text{g PNP g}^{-1}$ soil h $^{-1}$)
T ₁ :	Control	32.96	23.16	52.50
T ₂ :	100% NPK + FYM @ 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ (POP)	60.85	45.66	70.31
T ₃ :	100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ HC	65.28	49.23	74.49
T ₄ :	100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ USWC	69.52	53.74	79.73
T ₅ :	100 % NPK + 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ SS	58.55	39.62	59.45
T ₆ :	75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ microbial enriched HC	84.91	68.34	114.25
T ₇ :	75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ microbial enriched USWC	95.17	72.31	125.07
T ₈ :	75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ microbial enriched SS	70.94	60.04	98.53
T ₉ :	75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha $^{-1}$ microbial enriched FYM	76.11	65.14	105.26
S.Em \pm		1.04	0.15	3.73
CD at 5 %		3.11	0.44	11.19
Initial		25.74	16.59	32.11

Note: 10Kg of ZnSO₄ per ha was added in T₂ to T₉ treatments

*HC= Humanure Compost

*USWC= Urban Solid Waste Compost

*SS= Sewage Sludge

*FYM=Farm Yard Manure

The available nitrogen (N) content displayed significant variation among treatments after harvest, especially with the application of enriched urban composts and wastes. The treatment with 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇) recorded a significantly higher N content of 231.98 kg ha⁻¹, followed by (T₆) 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched HC with 219.94 kg ha⁻¹. In contrast, the control (T₁) exhibited a lower N content of 120.41 kg ha⁻¹. This increase can be attributed to the release of nitrogen through the mineralization. Additionally, microbial enrichment, particularly nitrogen-fixing microorganisms, may have contributed to the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and the conversion of organically bound nitrogen into an inorganic form Bhardwaj et al. [17]. In addition to these factors, the inoculation of a liquid microbial consortium into urban compost and wastes aids in maintaining a soil environment rich in various micro and macronutrients. These include nitrogen fixation, phosphate, and potassium solubilization or mineralization Bhardwaj et al. [17].

Significant differences were observed among the treatments in terms of the soil's available phosphorus content. The application of 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇) resulted in a significantly higher P₂O₅ content of 60.68 kg ha⁻¹. This finding was on par with the treatment in 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched HC (T₆: 58.30 kg ha⁻¹) and 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched FYM (T₉: 57.53 kg ha⁻¹). In contrast, the absolute control (34.41 kg ha⁻¹) recorded significantly lower available phosphorus.

The increase in available phosphorus may be attributed to the release of phosphorus from fixed forms, facilitated by the heightened population of soil microflora and organic matter. The elevated available phosphorus in plots treated with enriched urban composts and wastes could be linked to the higher phosphorus content in these materials. Additionally, the decomposition of compost and wastes, accompanied by the release of various acids and root exudations, may have solubilized native phosphorus, a process potentially accelerated by phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB). According to Zhang et al. [18], the application of municipal solid waste compost effectively supplied phosphorus to the soil by reducing phosphorus fixation through the chelation of organic ligands, forming phosphor-humate complexes, and increasing soil

phosphorus concentration with higher application rates.

A significantly higher available potassium level was observed with 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇: 238.06 kg ha⁻¹), which was on par with the T₄ (230.66 kg ha⁻¹), T₆ (237.62 kg ha⁻¹), T₈ (234.52 kg ha⁻¹), and T₉ (233.84 kg ha⁻¹). These findings align with the results of Sangakkara et al. [19], who reported that microbial inoculants increased soil nitrogen, potassium, organic carbon, and soil respiration, highlighting the advantages of enhancing soil microbial life. The significant increase in potassium content is attributed to the release of potassium from composts and the solubilization of mineral-bound or native potassium. Additionally, the application of composts led to the prevention of leaching losses by retaining more potassium through organic colloids. Similar findings were reported by Biswas [20], demonstrating a significant increase in available potassium through the inoculation of a potassium mobilizer (*Frateuria aurentia*) into compost.

A notable elevation in exchangeable calcium levels was observed in the treatment involving 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ of microbial enriched USWC (T₇: 5.47 C mol (p+) kg⁻¹). This finding was on par with the treatments with 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ of microbial enriched HC (T₆: 5.30 C mol (p+) kg⁻¹) and 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ of microbial enriched FYM (T₉: 5.17 C mol (p+) kg⁻¹). In contrast, significantly lower values of exchangeable calcium were observed in the absolute control (T₁) at 4.11 C mol (p+) kg⁻¹.

There were no significant differences in exchangeable magnesium content in the soil observed with the application of various treatments after the maize crop harvest (Table 4). However, a numerically higher value (2.62 C mol (p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded with the application of 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇). The quantity of magnesium remaining in the soil is influenced by both the amount of magnesium applied to the soil and the amount taken up by the crop. The elevated levels of calcium and magnesium in treatments with organic sources may be attributed to the influence of dissolved carbon dioxide and organic acids on the native CaCO₃ in the soil. The addition of organic sources could have led to an increase in calcium and magnesium in the soil. These findings align with the results of Dotaniya et al. [21].

Significantly, higher available sulphur was recorded in treatment with 75 % NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇) 16.90 mg kg⁻¹. However, it was found to be on par with all the treatments except T₁ (control) with 7.68 mg kg⁻¹. Available sulphur might have increased due to the addition of bio-enriched urban compost and wastes along with inorganic fertilizers, which favors microbial oxidation and thus renders available sulphur [22]. During the decomposition of organic matter, the organic sulphur compounds are broken down to simpler compounds i.e. inorganic sulphur, and thus increase in available sulphur in the soil. Zhang et al. [18] recorded an increase in soil S concentration as a result of the mineralization of municipal solid waste compost.

The application of enriched urban composts and wastes had a significant impact on DTPA-extractable Cu, with the highest copper content observed in 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇: 0.71 mg kg⁻¹), followed by T₆, T₉, and T₈. The control (T₁) had a lower Cu content of 0.62 mg kg⁻¹.

Similarly, significantly higher zinc (2.12 mg kg⁻¹) was recorded in T₇, followed by T₆, T₉, and T₈. DTPA-extractable zinc was significantly lower in the control (T₁: 1.91 mg kg⁻¹). These results align with Sebastio et al. [23], who observed a significant increase in Cu with the application of organic manures. Additionally, higher iron content was noted in T₇ (10.21 mg kg⁻¹), similar to T₃, T₄, T₆, T₈, and T₉, while the control T₁ had significantly lower iron (9.18 mg kg⁻¹). The organic amendments such as organic manures, facilitated the release of iron from the native soil through chelating agents, aligning with the findings of Sharma et al. [24]. Enriched urban composts exhibited high iron content, possibly due to enhanced microbial activity, preventing iron precipitation, fixation, and oxidation.

Additionally, significantly higher DTPA-extractable manganese was noted in T₇ (8.29 mg kg⁻¹), similar to T₄, T₆, T₈, and T₉, while the control T₁ had significantly lower manganese (7.78 mg kg⁻¹). This increase may be attributed to the higher initial Mn content in the soil, and the application of enriched composts upon mineralization might have released native Mn. Moreover, significantly higher boron content was observed in T₇ (0.40 mg kg⁻¹), on par with other treatments except T₅ and the control T₁. The slight increase in boron content was attributed to compost mineralization, causing a decrease in

boron fixation and a significant release of boron in an available form, in agreement with Rangaraj et al. [25]. These results are consistent with Rodd et al. [26] who reported increased available soil boron with increased MSW compost.

There was a non-significant difference in DTPA-extractable chromium, nickel, lead, and cadmium with the application of enriched urban composts and wastes. They were found below the critical limit of 100 mg kg⁻¹ as recommendations of WHO [27].

Significantly higher dehydrogenase activity was observed during the 50% flowering stage in maize, particularly with 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇), recording 95.17 µg TPF g⁻¹ soil 24 hr⁻¹. In comparison to absolute control (T₁: 32.96 µg TPF g⁻¹ soil 24 hr⁻¹). Dehydrogenase enzyme activity in soil serves as a crucial indicator of microbial activity, influenced by factors such as crop age, fertilizer addition, and soil characteristics. Microbial presence depends on various factors like chemical composition, moisture, pH, and structure, impacting nutrient transformations into available forms. The observed higher dehydrogenase activity with microbial consortia application is attributed to a combination of microorganisms promoting nitrogen fixation, mineralization, and nutrient solubilization, consequently increasing the microbial population in the soil. This aligns with findings by Yuvaraj [28], indicating a positive correlation between organic carbon content, microbial population, and dehydrogenase activity.

It was observed that Significantly higher urease enzyme activity in the treatment of 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇), recording 72.31 µg NH₄-N g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹, over the absolute control (T₁: 23.16 µg NH₄-N g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹). Urease, pivotal in urea breakdown, exhibited increased activity in treatments involving urban compost and inorganic fertilizer, likely due to their higher nitrogen content and N release through compost mineralization. This aligns with Amanda Shylla [29], who reported the addition of organics with chemical fertilizers led to increased urease activity. The higher nitrogen content in the soil stimulated microbial activity, resulting in enhanced urease activity.

Significantly higher acid phosphatase activity was observed with 75% NPK + 7.5 t ha⁻¹ microbial enriched USWC (T₇), recording 125.07 µg PNP g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹, against the absolute control

(T1: 52.50 µg PNP g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹). The application of organics into the soil enhanced mineralization, providing carbon as an energy source for P solubilizers. This increase in activity may be attributed to higher phosphorus levels from organics, promoting the proliferation of P solubilizers. The elevated enzyme activities in organically amended soils are often linked to increased organic substrate inputs, stimulating microbial growth and enzyme synthesis. These findings align with Kaur and Reddy [30], who reported similar results using phosphate-solubilizing bacteria for maize crop yield.

4. CONCLUSION

The enriched compost treatments played a crucial role in promoting sustainable nutrient release, as evidenced by a substantial increase in nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and available sulfur. Additionally, the enriched compost significantly elevated the levels of DTPA-extractable Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn, and B, while keeping levels of chromium, nickel, lead, and cadmium below critical limits, ensuring the safety of the soil environment.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

Incorporation of enriched urban compost into agricultural practices for sustainable and enhanced soil health. This integration is crucial for optimizing soil structure, fostering sustainable nutrient management, and supporting microbial activities. Farmers and agricultural practitioners are advised to consider the enriched urban compost as a valuable resource for promoting agricultural sustainability, mitigating climate change impacts, and ultimately enhancing overall agricultural productivity. Regular monitoring and further research on the long-term effects of enriched urban compost application are also recommended to ensure its continued positive impact on soil quality and agricultural sustainability.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ayilara MS, Olanrewaju OS, Babalola OO, Odeyemi O. Waste management through composting: Challenges and potentials. *Sustainability*. 2020;12:4456.

2. Paredes A, Valdes G, Araneda N, Valdebenito E, Hansen F, Nuti M. Microbial community in the composting process and its positive impact on the soil biota in sustainable agriculture. *Agronomy*. 2023 ;13:542.
3. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Hans Publications, Bombay; 1966.
4. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd. New Delhi; 1973.
5. Walkley AJ, Black CA. An examination of the method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci*. 1934;37:29-38.
6. Subbiah BV, Asija CL. A rapid procedure for method for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci*. 1956;25:259-260.
7. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Sci*. 1945;59(1):39-46.
8. Page AL, Mille RH, Keene. Methods of soil analysis. 2nd Edn., American Soc. Agron, Madison, WI. USA; 1982.
9. Black CA. Method of soil analysis part II Agronomy monograph No, 9, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin. 1965;148.
10. Dhyani Singh, Chhonkar PK, Dwivedi BS. Manual on soil, Plant and water analysis, Westville Publishing House, New Delhi; 2005.
11. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of DTPA soil test for Zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. American J*. 1978;42:421-428.
12. Eivazi F, Tabatabai MA. Phosphatase in soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem*. 1977;9:167- 177.
13. Casida LE, Klein DA, Santoto T. Soil dehydrogenase activity. *Soil Sci*. 1964;98:371-376.
14. Meena MC, Patel KP, Rathod DD. Effect of zinc and iron enriched FYM on mustard yield and micronutrient availability in loamy sand soil (TypicHaplustept) of Anand. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci*. 2006;54(4):495-499.
15. Hernando S, Lobo M, Polo A. Effect of the application of a municipal refuse compost on the physical and chemical properties of soil. *Environ Sci*. 1989;81/82:589- 596.
16. Mkhabela MS, Warman PR. The influence of municipal solid waste compost on yield, soil phosphorus availability and uptake by

- two vegetable crops grown in a Pugwash sandy loam soil in Canada. Agric. Ecosys. Envi. 2005;106:57-67.
17. Bhardwaj D, Ansari MW, Sahoo RK, Tuteja N. Biofertilizers function as key player in sustainable agriculture by improving soil fertility, plant tolerance and crop productivity. Microbia. Cell Fact. 2014;13(1):1-10.
 18. Zhang M, Heaney D, Henriquez B, Solberg E, Bittner E. A four- year study on influence of bio solids or MSW co-compost application in less productive soils in Alberta: Nutrient dynamics. Compost Sci. Util. 2006;14(1):68-80.
 19. Sangakkara UR, Wijesinghe DB, Attanayake KB. Soil quality and crop yields as affected by microbial inoculants in nature farming. J. Bridge Eng. 2014;3:987-990.
 20. Biswas S. Evaluation of growth, yield and nutrient content with microbial consortia combined with different organic manures in *Rumex acetosella*. L. IOSR J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol Food Technol. 2014;8(11):01-05.
 21. Dotaniya ML, Datta SC, Biswas DR, Dotaniya CK, Meena BL, Rajendiran S, Regar KL, Lata M. Use of sugarcane industrial by-products for improving sugarcane productivity and soil health. International J. Rec. Org. Waste. Agri. 2016;5(3):185-194.
 22. Sinha SK, Vipinkumar Jha CK. Effect of integrated use of bio-compost and nitrogen on productivity and soil properties of sugarcane plant-ratoon system in calcareous soil. Sugar Tech. 2016;3:213-219.
 23. Sebastiao M, Queda A, Campos L. Effect of municipal solid waste compost on potato production and heavy metal contamination in different types of soil. In: Warman, P.R., Taylor, B. (Eds.), Proc. International Composting Symp. 2000;760-772.
 24. Sharma B, Singh AP, Sinha MK. Effect of poultry manure as a source of zinc, Fe and as complexing agent on Zn and Fe availability and crop yield in calcareous soil. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 2001;32:519-521.
 25. Rangaraj V, Sultana M. Standardization of the optimal ratio of distiller spent wash to the pressmud wind rows for effective and ecofriendly compost. Int. J. Nov. Trend. pharm. Sci. 2007;4(4):2277-2782.
 26. Rodd A, Warman PR, Hicklenton P, Webb K. Comparison of N fertilizer, source-separated municipal solid waste compost and semisolid beef manure on the nutrient concentration in boot-stage barley and wheat tissue. Canadian J. Soil Sci. 2002;82:33-43.
 27. WHO (World Health Organization). Permissible limits of heavy metals in soil and plants. Geneva, Switzerland; 1996.
 28. Yuvaraj K. Effect of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on soil health, growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) crop. M.Sc. Thesis, Maharana Pratap Univ. Agri. Technol, Udaipur; 2016.
 29. Amanda Shylla. Microbial and biochemical soil health indicators for dryland agriculture in alfisols. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bengaluru, India; 2012.
 30. Kaur G, Reddy MS. Influence of P-solubilizing bacteria on crop yield and soil fertility at multilocational sites. European. J. Soil Biol. 2014;61:35-40.

© 2023 Murthy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107908>