



# Effect of Hairamin and Fertilizer Application on Grain Yield and Its Attributes in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Varieties

Jag Mohan <sup>a\*</sup>, Ishwar Singh <sup>a</sup>, R. K. Behl <sup>a</sup>,  
Pravin Kumar Sharma <sup>a</sup>, Babita Bharti <sup>a</sup>,  
Ridhima Arya <sup>a</sup>, Sahil Mittan <sup>a</sup> and Vikas Tomar <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agriculture, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana, Ambala, Haryana, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJSSPN/2024/v10i1244

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113806>

Original Research Article

Received: 26/12/2023  
Accepted: 01/03/2024  
Published: 08/03/2024

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at the Research farm of the Department of Agriculture, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala during Rabi season of 2021. Response of three wheat varieties to integrated nutrient management involving Hairamin (protein hydrolysate from human hair) and inorganic fertilizers (NPK) for grain yield and its attributes was studied in a field experiment. The soil was sandy loam, well-drained, alkaline (pH 7.23), low in nitrogen, medium in phosphorus, and high in potassium, with an electrical conductivity of 0.89 d/Sm. Eight treatments comprising a control and seven combination application of Hairamin, *Azotobacter* and recommended dose of inorganic fertilizers were evaluated in three wheat varieties "DBW 222", "HD 2967" and "PBW 723" in a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The T<sub>7</sub>

\*Corresponding author: Email: Jagmohan1610@gmail.com;

treatment, 75% recommended N, P, K dose throughout synthetic fertilizers and appropriate dose of Hairamin foliar spray, exhibited highest grain yield, biological yield and harvest index. This treatment was shown to be statistically comparable to T<sub>6</sub> (50% recommended N, P, K dose throughout synthetic fertilizers and appropriate dose of Hairamin Foliar spray). This increase in yield was reflected in higher expression of yield attribute in traits namely (ears per running meter row length, spikelets ear<sup>-1</sup>, grains ear<sup>-1</sup>, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, straw yield, biological yield and harvest index). Therefore, use of Hairamin as bio-stimulant with reduced fertilizer doses is recommended for sustainable wheat production.

**Keywords:** *Wheat; hairamin; azotobacter; recommended dose of fertilizers.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important staple food crops in the world, as it provides 21% of the food calories, 20% of the protein and 55% of the carbohydrates in the diet to more than 4.5 billion people worldwide. Compared to other cereals, wheat grains have greater protein content (12%) and a fairly high niacin and thiamine content [1]. Globally, wheat occupies about 216.18 million hectares of land producing 763.6 million metric tonnes grain yield with an average yield of 3530 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [2]. India ranks 2<sup>nd</sup> in wheat production covering 29.32 million ha producing 103.6 million metric tonnes, with an average productivity of 3530 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [2]

To achieve higher grain and straw production for food and feed purposes higher doses of inorganic fertilizers are being used especially in irrigated wheat production regions [3]. Intensive use of chemical fertilizers has manifold environmental impacts including degradation of soil fertility, organic matter absorption, decreased water holding capacity, nutrient mobilization [4] and up taken by root [5]. Shortage of arable land, limited water and nutrient resources necessitates increase in resource use efficiency without sacrificing production through effective use of modern technologies [6]. Bio-fertilizers are live microorganisms with the ability to mobilize plant nutrients in the soil [7]. Hairamin a protein hydrolyzate obtained from human hair is a new generation, highly effective natural organic fertilizer that promotes growth, yield and enhances the resistance to biotic and abiotic stress of many crops [8]. Present studies were conducted to explore the possibilities of reducing some doses of chemical fertilizers without compromising wheat production potential of different cultivars.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during the winter (*rabi*) season of 2021-2022 at research

farm of Department of Agriculture, Maharishi Markandeshwar, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana is situated at 30°17'0"N latitude, 77°3'0"E longitude and at an altitude of 264 meters above mean sea level. The soil of experimental site was sandy loam in texture, well-drained, had an alkaline reactivity (pH 7.23), low in nitrogen, medium in phosphorus, with a conductivity of 0.89 d/Sm. The experiment was conducted in factorial randomized block design with three replication and two factors comprising three wheat varieties DBW222, HD2967, PBW723 (Factor A) and eight treatments including T<sub>1</sub> Control (no fertilizer), T<sub>2</sub> (50% recommended N, P, K dose throughout synthetic fertilizers), T<sub>3</sub> (50% recommended N,P,K dose through synthetic fertilizers and seed treated with *Azotobacter*), T<sub>4</sub> (75% recommended N,P,K dose throughout synthetic fertilizers and seed treated with *Azotobacter*), T<sub>5</sub> (100% recommended N,P,K dose throughout synthetic fertilizers), T<sub>6</sub> (50% recommended N, P, K dose through synthetic fertilizers and appropriate dose of Hairamin Foliar spray 4ml Hairamine per liter water), T<sub>7</sub> (75% recommended N,P,K dose through synthetic fertilizers and appropriate dose of Hairamin foliar spray) (Factor B) The recommended dose of fertilizer was applied as 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Nitrogen (N) through urea + DAP, 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Phosphorus (P) through DAP and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Potassium (K) through MOP. Hairamin was applied as foliar spray twice (4ml Hairamin per liter water). *Azotobacter* (400 grams/500 ml of water) was applied through seed bacterization before sowing at the rate of CFU 10<sup>9</sup> The mean data recorded on five randomly selected plants from each treatment for different characters (ears per running meter row length, spikelets per ear, grains per ear, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, straw yield, biological yield and harvest index) was statistically analyzed for analysis of variance and critical differences among treatments was calculated to compare treatment means.

### 3. RESULTS

All investigational data (means) for different growth each plot's or treatment's yield contributing characters, yields, etc. were recorded and statistically analyzed by means of the variances partition (ANOVA) method as stated by Panse and Sukhatme (1968). With the guidance of "F" (variance ratio test the meaning of treatment effects (at 5%) was evaluated. For separating the effects of the treatment from those of chance effects, appropriate typical errors and the critical difference (C.D.) at 5% level of significance were calculated. The mean data for different characters was used for analysis of variance as described by Panse and Sukhatme 1968. The salient features of results are presented here under:

#### 3.1 Effect on Varieties (Factor A)

Among three different wheat varieties DBW 222 performed best for all parameters, yield attributes like number of ears per running meter row length (66.23) (Table 1), spikelets per ear (21.10) (Table 2), grains per ear (39.55) (Table 3) and 1000 grain weight (42.42 g) (Table 4). Yield parameters like Grain yield (43.93 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 5), Straw Yield (58.70 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 6), Biological Yield (102.63 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 7) and Harvest Index (42.65 %) (Table 8).

#### 3.2 Effect on Treatments (Factor B)

Different yield attributes of wheat were significantly enhanced by treatments of

integrated nutrient management 50% RDF and 50% RDF with *Azotobacter*, 75% RDF with *azotobacter*, 100 % RDF, 50% RDF with Hairamin Spray and 75 % RDF with Hairamin spray resulted in significantly higher number of ears per running meter row length, spikelets per ear, grains per ear and 1000 grain weight over the control. Number of ears per running meter row length of wheat was significantly enhanced by application of 75 % RDF with Hairamin spray over the control. 75% RDF and Hairamin spray significantly increased the number of ears per running meter row length (72.07) (Table 1), spikelets per ear (26.33) (Table 2), grains per ear (45.29) (Table 3) and 1000 grain weight (45.42 g) (Table 4) and found superior to rest of the treatments. These findings were similar to Jafarzadeh *et al.*, [9].

#### 3.3 Effect on Yield

The highest grain yield and straw yield was obtained by application of 75% RDF and Hairamin spray which remained at par with 50% RDF and Hairamin spray recorded a significant increase of 102.2, 104.7 and 107.4 % in grain (Table 5) and 102.7, 104.9 and 105.9 % in straw (Table 6) over control, respectively. Integrated nutrient management with 75% RDF and Hairamin spray significantly increased biological yield (109.45q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (43.15%). Grain yield increased significantly as a result of enhanced growth, which resulted to an improvement in the various yield attributes described above. This is in agreement with the findings of Neelam *et al.*, [10].

**Table 1. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on ears per running meter row length**

|   | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|---|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> Control  | 55.32        | 54.43  | 55.23  | 54.99                          |
| T <sub>2</sub> 50%RDF   | 62.33        | 61.37  | 62.17  | 61.95                          |
| T <sub>3</sub> 50% RDF and Seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 65.23        | 64.37  | 65.17  | 64.92                          |
| T <sub>4</sub> 75% RDF and seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 67.84        | 66.53  | 67.33  | 67.23                          |
| T <sub>5</sub> 100% RDF   | 69.56        | 68.67  | 69.47  | 69.23                          |
| T <sub>6</sub> 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 70.68        | 69.51  | 70.31  | 70.14                          |
| T <sub>7</sub> 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 72.67        | 71.33  | 72.13  | 72.07                          |
| Varieties means over treatments                                 | 66.23        | 65.17  | 65.97  |                                |
| Factors   | C.D.(p=0.05) |        |        | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source   | 2.09         |        |        | 1.04                           |
| Variety   | 1.09         |        |        | 0.39                           |
| Interaction   | 3.62         |        |        | 1.28                           |

**Table 2. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on spikelets per ear**

|                 |  | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|-----------------|--|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub>  | Control  | 15.23        | 14.32  | 15.11  | 14.88                          |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 50%RDF   | 19.93        | 18.93  | 19.33  | 19.39                          |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 50% RDF and Seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 20.73        | 19.53  | 20.43  | 20.23                          |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 75% RDF and seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 20.93        | 19.13  | 20.33  | 20.13                          |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 100% RDF   | 21.73        | 20.73  | 21.43  | 21.29                          |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 22.43        | 21.83  | 22.23  | 22.16                          |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 26.73        | 25.93  | 26.35  | 26.33                          |
|                 | Varieties means over treatments                  | 21.10        | 20.05  | 20.74  |                                |
| Factors         |  | C.D.(p=0.05) |        | SE(d)  | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source |  | 3.19         |        | 0.59   | 1.06                           |
| Variety         |  | 0.62         |        | 0.31   | 0.22                           |
| Interaction     |  | 4.06         |        | 1.03   | 1.35                           |

**Table 3. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on number of grains per ear**

|                 |  | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|-----------------|--|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub>  | Control  | 33.86        | 32.62  | 33.62  | 33.36                          |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 50%RDF   | 36.28        | 35.91  | 36.16  | 36.11                          |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 50% RDF and Seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 38.98        | 37.52  | 38.22  | 38.24                          |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 75% RDF and seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 39.51        | 38.51  | 39.64  | 39.22                          |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 100% RDF   | 40.77        | 39.09  | 40.95  | 40.27                          |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 41.84        | 40.93  | 41.94  | 41.57                          |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 45.62        | 44.64  | 45.62  | 45.29                          |
|                 | Varieties means over treatments                  | 39.55        | 38.46  | 39.45  |                                |
| Factors         |  | C.D.(p=0.05) |        | SE(d)  | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source |  | 3.43         |        | 1.72   | 1.21                           |
| Variety         |  | 1.79         |        | 0.90   | 0.63                           |
| Interaction     |  | 5.95         |        | 2.97   | 2.10                           |

**Table 4. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on 1000 grains weight (g)**

|                |  | DBW222 | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|----------------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> | Control  | 37.45  | 36.57  | 37.36  | 37.12                          |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 50%RDF   | 40.82  | 39.38  | 40.24  | 40.14                          |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 50% RDF and Seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 41.52  | 40.39  | 41.04  | 40.98                          |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 75% RDF and seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 42.84  | 41.46  | 42.77  | 42.35                          |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 100% RDF   | 43.45  | 42.58  | 43.28  | 43.10                          |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 44.98  | 43.68  | 44.67  | 44.44                          |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 45.88  | 44.66  | 45.72  | 45.42                          |

|                                 | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| Varieties means over treatments | 42.42        | 41.24  | 42.15  |                                |
| Factors                         | C.D.(p=0.05) |        | SE(d)  | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source                 | 3.00         |        | 1.50   | 1.06                           |
| Variety                         | 1.57         |        | 0.78   | 0.55                           |
| Interaction                     | 5.19         |        | 2.59   | 1.83                           |

**Table 5. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on grain yield q/ha**

|   | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|---|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> Control  | 35.57        | 34.97  | 35.07  | 35.20                          |
| T <sub>2</sub> 50%RDF   | 42.89        | 40.76  | 42.25  | 41.96                          |
| T <sub>3</sub> 50% RDF and Seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 43.98        | 42.77  | 43.07  | 43.27                          |
| T <sub>4</sub> 75% RDF and seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 44.68        | 43.32  | 44.02  | 44.00                          |
| T <sub>5</sub> 100% RDF   | 45.77        | 44.06  | 45.67  | 45.16                          |
| T <sub>6</sub> 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 46.91        | 45.68  | 46.21  | 46.26                          |
| T <sub>7</sub> 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 47.75        | 46.48  | 47.02  | 47.29                          |
| Varieties means over treatments                                 | 43.93        | 42.57  | 43.33  |                                |
| Factors   | C.D.(p=0.05) |        | SE(d)  | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source   | 1.83         |        | 0.91   | 0.65                           |
| Variety   | 0.96         |        | 0.48   | 0.34                           |
| Interaction   | 3.17         |        | 1.58   | 1.12                           |

**Table 6. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on straw yield q/ha**

|   | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|---|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> Control  | 51.98        | 50.3   | 51.47  | 51.25                          |
| T <sub>2</sub> 50%RDF   | 57.53        | 56.34  | 57.29  | 57.05                          |
| T <sub>3</sub> 50% RDF and Seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 58.31        | 57.10  | 58.40  | 57.93                          |
| T <sub>4</sub> 75% RDF and seed treated with <i>Azotobacter</i> | 59.91        | 58.65  | 59.35  | 59.30                          |
| T <sub>5</sub> 100% RDF   | 59.78        | 58.46  | 59.01  | 59.08                          |
| T <sub>6</sub> 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 60.84        | 59.53  | 60.54  | 60.30                          |
| T <sub>7</sub> 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                       | 62.56        | 61.31  | 62.35  | 62.07                          |
| Varieties means over treatments                                 | 58.70        | 57.38  | 58.34  |                                |
| Factors   | C.D.(p=0.05) |        | SE(d)  | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source   | 1.34         |        | 0.67   | 0.44                           |
| Variety   | 0.85         |        | 0.42   | 0.28                           |
| Interaction   | 2.57         |        | 1.28   | 0.85                           |

**Table 7. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on biological yield q/ha**

|                 |   | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|-----------------|---|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub>  | Control                                   | 87.55        | 85.27  | 86.54  | 86.45                          |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 50%RDF                                    | 100.42       | 97.10  | 99.54  | 99.02                          |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 50% RDF and Seed treated with Azotobacter | 102.29       | 99.87  | 101.47 | 101.21                         |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 75% RDF and seed treated with Azotobacter | 104.59       | 101.97 | 103.37 | 103.31                         |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 100% RDF                                  | 105.55       | 102.52 | 104.68 | 104.25                         |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                | 107.75       | 105.21 | 106.75 | 106.57                         |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                | 110.31       | 107.79 | 109.37 | 109.45                         |
|                 | Varieties means over treatments           | 102.63       | 99.96  | 101.67 |                                |
| Factors         |   | C.D.(p=0.05) |        | SE(d)  | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source |   | 3.66         |        | 1.83   | 1.29                           |
| variety         |   | 1.91         |        | 0.96   | 0.68                           |
| Interaction     |   | 6.34         |        | 3.17   | 2.24                           |

**Table 8. Effect on various treatments of fertilizers and organic manures in wheat varieties on harvest index (%)**

|                 |   | DBW222       | HD2967 | PBW723 | Treatment means over varieties |
|-----------------|---|--------------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub>  | Control                                   | 41.29        | 40.07  | 41.19  | 40.85                          |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 50%RDF                                    | 42.62        | 40.86  | 41.36  | 41.61                          |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 50% RDF and Seed treated with Azotobacter | 42.31        | 41.17  | 41.76  | 41.74                          |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 75% RDF and seed treated with Azotobacter | 42.18        | 41.27  | 41.97  | 41.80                          |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 100% RDF                                  | 43.32        | 42.12  | 42.64  | 42.69                          |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 50% RDF and Hairamin spray                | 43.34        | 42.36  | 42.78  | 42.82                          |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 75% RDF and Hairamin spray                | 43.51        | 42.72  | 43.22  | 43.15                          |
|                 | Varieties means over treatments           | 42.65        | 41.51  | 42.13  |                                |
| Factors         |   | C.D.(p=0.05) |        | SE(d)  | SEm ±                          |
| Nutrient source |   | 0.25         |        | 0.13   | 0.09                           |
| variety         |   | 0.13         |        | 0.07   | 0.05                           |
| Interaction     |   | 0.43         |        | 0.22   | 0.15                           |

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Crop yield is influenced by genetic potential and management techniques used. The use of appropriate management methods is essential in order to fully use a variety's genetic potential. Crop production and quality can be significantly reduced as a result of nutritional deficiencies [11]. For greater output, the correct amount of natural biological nutrients found in the soil and fertilizer are required [12]. The various nutrient treatment exhibited positive significant effect on expression of various traits like number of ears

per running meter row length, spikelets per ear, grains per ear, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, straw yield, biological yield and harvest index [13]. This may be because of favourable root system or higher input use efficiency and better plant growth and sink source relationship resulting in higher grain yield and biomass in recently bred wheat varieties used in present studies. Such results have also been reported [14]. The plant's sink capacity primarily depends on its vegetative and reproductive growth, which is favourably impacted by the application of Bio stimulants such as Hairamin and bio-fertilizer,

such as *azotobacter* as well as the availability of photosynthates for the synthesis of yield components. Similar result was also advocated by [15]. Application of 100% NPK added with spray of nano Zn and Bio-stimulant increased straw yield by 2.6 q ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.6%) and 1.7 q ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.3%) over 100% NPK respectively. Choudhary et al., (2017) and Kaur et al., (2018) also observed similar results in wheat.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Based on the study, it was concluded that variety DBW 222 figured to be highest performing variety for grain yield (43.93 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (58.70 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), biological yield (102.63 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (42.65 %). In treatments the highest grain yield (47.29 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) straw yield (62.07 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), Biological yield (109.45 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Harvest index (43.15 %) were obtained with the application of 75% recommended dose of fertilizer and Hairamin spray. Thus it is evident from our studies that 25% dose of inorganic fertilizers can be reduced by two foliar application of Hairamin which is rich in organic carbon (18-20%), organic nitrogen (6-8%), calcium (2%), and amides and amino acids. The Hairamin application is eco-friendly and may have positive impact on soil health by increasing soil organic carbon content. Inclusion of bio-stimulant in integrated nutrient management strategies would therefore be useful for sustainable wheat production system.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Singh A, Kumar N, Shahi UP, Pandey BR, Kumar P, Singh PD, Singh RP. Evaluation of Customized Fertilizers in Respect to Yield, Soil Nutrients Status, Uptake and Economics of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Eastern Uttar Pradesh. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019; 8(3): 883-894.
- USDA Report. 2020;11-12.
- Rehman AU, Mehmood A, Naveed K, Haq NU, Ali S, Ahmed J, Shahzad Q. Integrated effect of nitrogen and sulphur levels on productive traits and quality of black cumin (*Nigella sativa* L.). J of Xi'an Shiyong Uni, Nat Sci Edi. 2022;18(10):38-58.
- Mehmood A, Naveed K, Ayub Q, Alamri S, Siddiqui MH, Wu C, Wang D, Saud S, Banout J, Danish S, Datta R. Exploring the potential of moringa leaf extract as bio stimulant for improving yield and quality of black cumin oil. Scientific reports. 2021;11(1):24217.
- Xiao G, Zhao Z, Liang L, Meng FWW, Guo Y. Improving nitrogen and water use efficiency in a wheat-maize rotation system in the North China Plain using optimized farming practices. Agricultural Water Management. 2019;2(12):172–180.
- Manonmani V, Srimathi P. Influence of mother crop nutrition on seed and quality of balckgram. Madras Agriculture Journal. 2009; 96(16):125-128.
- Klopper JW, Lifshitz R, Zablutowicz RM. Free-living bacterial inocula for enhancing crop productivity. Trends in Biotechnology. 1989; 7(2):39-44.
- Dhargalkar VK, Pereira N. Seaweed promising plant of the millennium. Science and Culture. 2005; 71: 60-66.
- Jafarzadeh R, Jami M, Hokmabadi M. Response of yield and yield components in wheat to soil and foliar application of Nano Potassium fertilizer. Journal of crop production research, Environmental stresses in Plant Sciences. 2013;5(2):189-197.
- Neelam, Nanwal RK, Kumar P. Productivity and quality of mungbean-wheat cropping system as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nutrients in semi-arid environment. Research on crops. 2013;14(3):786-791.
- Mehmood A, Naveed K, Azeem K, Khan A, Ali N, Khan SM. 10. Sowing time and nitrogen application methods impact on production traits of Kalonji (*Nigella sativa* L.). Pure and Applied Biology (PAB). 2018;7(2):476-85.
- Iqbal M, Naveed K, Ali R, Hussain R, Ahmed SS, Shaukat MF, Ijaz H, Haq NU, Ahmed M, Khan S, Mehmood A. Agronomic and nutritional evaluation of Groundnut crop as affected by moringa leaf extract and zinc application. Pure and Applied Biology (PAB). 2023;13(1): 82-92.
- Ayub Q, Mehmood A, Hayat U, Shahzad Q, Ahmad S. 7. Effect of salinity on physiological and biochemical attributes of different Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) cultivars. Pure and Applied Biology (PAB). 2020;9(4):2190-8.

14. Mishra CN, Singh GP. DBW222 (Karan Narendra): A new high-yielding, lodging-tolerant wheat variety for North Western plains of India. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnology.* 2020; 20(3) Available:<https://doi.org/10.1590/1984-70332020v20n3c41>.
15. Tarafdar JC, Sharma S, Raliya R. Nano-technology: Interdisciplinary science of applications. *African Journal of Biotechnology.* 2013;12(3):219-226.

---

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113806>