



# **Management of Carrot Root Borer, *Melanagromyza* sp. in Carrot *Dacus carota* L**

**N M Ramesha <sup>a\*</sup>, Chava Asritha <sup>a</sup>, C M Rafee <sup>b</sup>, M G Hegde <sup>b</sup>  
and S M Hiremath <sup>b</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences  
Dharwad 580005, Karnataka, India.

<sup>b</sup> College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-580005, Karnataka, India.

## **Authors' contributions**

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Article Information**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i82710>

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118388>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 10/04/2024**

**Accepted: 13/06/2024**

**Published: 24/07/2024**

## **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi/summer* 2021-22 at Nagara village of Ron taluka in Gadag district, Karnataka to identify the appropriate causal agent for carrot root damage and to assess the field efficiency of different insecticide molecules against agromyzid fly, *Melanagromyza* sp. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 11 treatments replicated thrice. The crop was cultivated during 1<sup>st</sup> January 2022 as per the recommended package of practices except the insect protection measures. Observation on per cent infestation by agromyzid fly was recorded in each treatment by adopting a destructive sample technique from 40 days after sowing to 100 days after sowing. Per cent damage and yield parameters were subjected to one-way ANOVA and Duncan's Multiple Range Test. Result indicated that highest reduction of agromyzid fly

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [rameshanmr317@gmail.com](mailto:rameshanmr317@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Ramesha, N M, Chava Asritha, C M Rafee, M G Hegde, and S M Hiremath. 2024. "Management of Carrot Root Borer, *Melanagromyza* Sp. In Carrot *Dacus Carota* L". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (8):337-43. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i82710>.

damage over untreated control was recorded in treatment with soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS (71.86% reduction over control) and soil application with fipronil 0.3 % GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS with 69.10 per cent reduction over control. These are followed by seed treatment with cyantraniliprole 19.8% + thiamethoxam 19.8% @ 10 ml/kg, seed treatment with thiamethoxam 30 FS @ 10 ml/kg, seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 10ml/kg and soil drenching with chlorpyrifos 20% EC @ 3 ml/l at 35 DAS, these treatments registered a maximum reduction of agromyzid fly viz., 69%, 58.03%, 56.79% and 58.03%, respectively.

**Keywords:** Carrot, chlorantraniliprole; management; *Melanagromyza sp.*; *Metarhizium anisopliae*.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Carrot *Daucus carota* L. is an important vegetable belongs to the family Apiaceae [1]. It is thought to be a native of the Mediterranean region [2] and is primarily grown in temperate climates, but it is also cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions [3]. Carrot is an essential root crop from a nutritional perspective [4]. Carrot is used in pickles, preserves, sweets, carrot powder, kanji, salads, cooked vegetables and other delectable beverages [5]. Carrot is planted throughout India, with a total area of 0.6433 million hectares and a production of 4.14 million tonnes. Karnataka holds a 5.06% share with respect to total production with a production of 96.63 million tonnes [6]. The major carrot-growing districts in Karnataka are Belagavi, Gadag, Dharwad, Bengaluru, Bagalkot and other districts [7].

Various insect pests are known to attack carrot crop, among these insect pest complex, root bores cause significant economic damage to the crop especially during *rabi/summer*. Some of the most serious insect pests which attack carrot are as follows: carrot weevil, *Listronotus oregonensis* LeConte; carrot rust fly, *Psila rosae* Fabricius; carrot psyllid *Trioza apicalis* Forster; aster leaf hopper, *Macrostelus quadrilineatus* Forbes; willow carrot aphid, *Cavariella aegopodii* Scopoli; cutworm, *Agrotis segetum* Denis; pale striped flea beetle, *Systema blanda* Melsheimer; aphid *Myzus persicae* sulzer, from United States of America [8]; semilooper, *Thysanoplusia orichalcea* Fabricius; and thrips, *Aeolothrips meridionalis* Bagnall from Jammu and Kashmir [9]. However, none of the studies ascertained the economic damage caused by these pests on carrot.

During present studies, significant economic yield loss of carrot due to infestation by an agromyzid fly was noticed. The damage caused by the maggot of the fly led to severe injury to the

taproot, resulting in the production of inferior quality carrots with lower marketable prices. To address this issue, a study was conducted to determine efficacy of different insecticide molecules on agromyzid fly.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was carried out at Nagal village of Ron taluk in Gadag district, Karnataka to assess the field efficiency of different insecticide molecules against agromyzid fly. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 11 treatments replicated thrice in 1.83 × 1.23 m plot size. The carrot was cultivated during 1<sup>st</sup> January 2022 as per the recommended package of practices [10] except the insect protection measures. The village, Nagal is situated at 15° 25' North latitude and 75° 37' East longitude with an altitude of 655 meters above mean sea level which lies in northern dry zone of Karnataka (Zone III). The average annual rainfall was 650 mm. The treatments are, Seed treatment with thiamethoxam 30% FS @ 10 ml/kg/kg (T<sub>1</sub>), Seed treatment with cyantraniliprole 19.8 % + Thiamethoxam 19.8% @ 10 ml/kg (T<sub>2</sub>), Seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS 10 ml/kg (T<sub>3</sub>), Soil drenching with chlorpyrifos 20% EC @ 3 ml/l at 35 DAS (T<sub>4</sub>), Soil application of chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS (T<sub>5</sub>), Soil application with fipronil 0.3% GR 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS (T<sub>6</sub>), Foliar spray with chlorantraniliprole 18.5% @ 0.3 ml/l at 25 DAS (T<sub>7</sub>), Soil application of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (cfu 2 × 10<sup>8</sup>/g) @ 7.50 kg/ha at sowing (T<sub>8</sub>), Soil application of pongamia cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing (T<sub>9</sub>), Soil application of neem cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing (T<sub>10</sub>) and Untreated control (T<sub>11</sub>). Observation on per cent infestation/damage by agromyzid fly was recorded in each treatment by adopting a destructive sample technique from 40 DAS to 100 DAS. Three spots were selected and in each spot five plants were selected randomly and per cent infestation was calculated.

Per cent infestation = (Number of infested plants/ Total number of plants) × 100

The yield of carrot was recorded from each treatment plot by excluding the damaged roots and then expressed in tonnes/hectare. Based on the yield data, cost of plant protection, total cost of production, gross returns and net returns were calculated for each treatment. The selling price of the carrot was used to calculate the gross returns and the net returns of the various treatments was calculated by subtracting the total cost of production from the gross returns. Finally, benefit cost ratio (B: C ratio) was calculated for each treatment.

B: C Ratio = (Net Returns (Rs/ha)/ Cost of control measures in the treated plot (Rs/ha)

Net Returns (Rs/ha) = Gross Returns (Rs/ha) - the cost of control measures in the treated plot (Rs/ha). Cost of control measures in the treated plot (Rs/ha) = cost of insecticide (Rs/ha) + cost of application (Rs/ha). The data on per cent infestation of agromyzid fly and mean number of sucking pests and yield parameters as stated above were subjected to one-way ANOVA, after angular and square root transformation, respectively. The differences in the observations among the different treatments were compared by following Duncan's Multiple Range Test [11].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS (10.00%), soil application with fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS (10.00 %) and seed treatment with cyantraniliprole 19.8% + thiamethoxam 19.8% @ 10 ml/kg (10.00%) recorded significantly lowest per cent infestation and were on par with each other. The next best treatments in the order of efficacy were seed treatment with thiamethoxam 30 FS @ 10 ml/kg (13.33%), this was on par with soil drenching with chlorpyrifos 20% EC @ 3 ml/l at 35 DAS (13.33%) followed by seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 10 ml/kg (16.69%). The foliar spray with chlorantraniliprole 18.5% @ 0.3 ml/l at 25 DAS (23.33%), soil application of neem cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing (23.33%) and pongamia cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing (23.33%) were moderately effective and on par with each other. The soil application of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (cfu 2 × 10<sup>8</sup>/g) @ 7.50 kg/ha at sowing (43.33%) had the least efficacy as compared to all other treatments However, untreated control recorded highest per cent

infestation (46.67%) at 40 days after sowing. Same trend was followed in the efficacy of different treatments at 55, 70, 85 and 100 days after sowing. However, all the treatments found significantly superior over control (Table 1).

The results pertaining to the mean indicated that, lowest % mean infestation of agromyzid fly was recorded in soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS (15.20%) followed by seed treatment with cyantraniliprole 19.8% + thiamethoxam 19.8% @ 10 ml/kg (16.73 %) and soil application with fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS (16.74%). However, the highest mean population of agromyzid fly was recorded in untreated control (54%) followed by soil application of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (cfu 2 × 10<sup>8</sup>/g) @ 7.50 kg/ha at sowing (46.67%), soil application of pongamia cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing (29.33%) and soil application of neem cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing (28.68%). Overall efficacy of tested treatments indicated that, the most effective treatment was soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS with 71.86% reduction over control followed by soil application with fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS with 69.10% reduction over control (Table 1).

Significantly highest carrot yield of 17.78 t/ha was obtained in the treatment of soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS which was on par with soil application of fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS with 16.44 tonnes per hectare. Significantly the highest B: C ratio of 3.00 was obtained in soil application of chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS followed by soil application of fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS (2.67). The maximum net returns were encountered from soil application of chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS with 1,99,916.67 Rs/ha this was followed by soil application of fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS (179526.67 Rs/ha) as evident from Table 2.

The highest reduction in the % infestation of agromyzid fly was recorded in soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4 % GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS with 71.86% reduction over control and next best treatment was soil application with fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS with 69.10% reduction over control.

**Table 1. Efficacy of insecticides against agromyzid fly (*Melanogromyza* sp.) in carrot during rabi/summer 2021-22**


Treatment details	Per cent infestation of <i>Melanogromyza</i> sp.					Mean	ROC (%)
	40 DAS	55 DAS	70 DAS	85 DAS	100 DAS		
T <sub>1</sub> - ST with thiamethoxam 30% FS @ 10 ml/kg	13.33 (21.39) <sup>b</sup>	20.00 (26.54) <sup>b</sup>	23.33 (28.85) <sup>b</sup>	26.67 (31.06) <sup>b</sup>	30.00 (33.18) <sup>b</sup>	22.67	58.03
T <sub>2</sub> - ST with cyantraniliprole 19.8% + thiamethoxam 19.8% @ 10 ml/kg	10.00 (18.41) <sup>a</sup>	13.33 (21.39) <sup>a</sup>	16.67 (24.07) <sup>a</sup>	20.00 (26.54) <sup>a</sup>	23.67 (29.08) <sup>a</sup>	16.73	69.00
T <sub>3</sub> - ST with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 10 ml/kg	16.69 (24.07) <sup>b</sup>	20.00 (26.54) <sup>b</sup>	23.33 (28.85) <sup>b</sup>	26.67 (31.06) <sup>b</sup>	30.00 (33.18) <sup>b</sup>	23.33	56.79
T <sub>4</sub> - Soil drenching with chlorpyrifos 20% EC @ 3 ml/l at 35 DAS	13.33 (21.39) <sup>b</sup>	20.00 (26.54) <sup>b</sup>	23.33 (28.85) <sup>b</sup>	26.67 (31.06) <sup>b</sup>	30.00 (33.18) <sup>b</sup>	22.67	58.03
T <sub>5</sub> - Soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS	10.00 (18.41) <sup>a</sup>	13.33 (21.39) <sup>a</sup>	15.22 (22.76) <sup>a</sup>	17.43 (24.65) <sup>a</sup>	20.00 (26.54) <sup>a</sup>	15.20	71.86
T <sub>6</sub> - Soil application with fipronil 0.3 % GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS	10.00 (18.41) <sup>a</sup>	13.33 (21.39) <sup>a</sup>	16.69 (24.07) <sup>a</sup>	20.00 (26.54) <sup>a</sup>	23.67 (29.08) <sup>a</sup>	16.74	69.10
T <sub>7</sub> - Foliar spray with chlorantraniliprole 18.5% @ 0.3 ml/l at 25 DAS	23.33 (28.85) <sup>c</sup>	26.67 (31.06) <sup>c</sup>	30.00 (33.18) <sup>c</sup>	33.33 (35.23) <sup>c</sup>	33.33 (35.23) <sup>c</sup>	29.33	45.68
T <sub>8</sub> - Soil application with <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (cfu 2 × 10 <sup>8</sup> /g) at sowing	43.33 (41.15) <sup>d</sup>	46.67 (43.08) <sup>d</sup>	46.67 (43.08) <sup>d</sup>	50.00 (45.00) <sup>d</sup>	46.67 (43.08) <sup>d</sup>	46.67	13.58
T <sub>9</sub> - Soil application with pongamia cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing	23.33 (28.85) <sup>c</sup>	26.67 (31.06) <sup>c</sup>	30.00 (33.18) <sup>c</sup>	33.33 (35.23) <sup>c</sup>	33.33 (35.23) <sup>c</sup>	29.33	45.68
T <sub>10</sub> - Soil application with neem cake @ 250 kg/ha sowing	23.33 (28.85) <sup>c</sup>	26.67 (31.06) <sup>c</sup>	28.82 (32.44) <sup>c</sup>	31.24 (33.95) <sup>c</sup>	33.33 (35.23) <sup>c</sup>	28.68	46.89
T <sub>11</sub> - Untreated control	46.67 (43.08) <sup>e</sup>	50.00 (45.00) <sup>e</sup>	56.67 (48.87) <sup>e</sup>	56.67 (48.87) <sup>e</sup>	60.00 (50.83) <sup>e</sup>	54.00	0.00
S. Em. ±	1.10	1.41	1.65	1.50	1.10	-	
C.D. at 5 %	3.32	4.17	4.85	4.52	3.32	-	
C.V. (%)	10.82	10.36	10.45	11.15	10.92	-	

Figures in parenthesis are angular transformed values, Means showing similar alphabets do not differ significantly by DMRT, DAS – Days after sowing, ROC - Reduction over control, ST - Seed treatment

**Table 2. Cost economics of insect pest management in carrot during rabi/summer 2021-22**

Treatment details	Yield (t/ha)	Total production cost (Rs/ha)	Gross returns (Rs/ha)	Net returns (Rs/ha)	B: C ratio
ST with thiamethoxam 30% FS @ 10 ml/kg	14.52 <sup>b</sup>	65088	217777.78	152689.78	2.35
ST with cyantraniliprole 19.8% + thiamethoxam 19.8% @ 10 ml/kg	15.26 <sup>b</sup>	72122	228888.89	156766.89	2.17
ST with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 10 ml/kg	13.93 <sup>b</sup>	66000	208888.89	142888.89	2.16
Soil drenching with chlorpyrifos 20% EC @ 3 ml/l at 35 DAS	13.63 <sup>b</sup>	65450	204444.44	138994.44	2.12
Soil application with chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS	17.78 <sup>a</sup>	66750	266666.67	199916.67	3.00
Soil application with fipronil 0.3% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS	16.44 <sup>a</sup>	67140	246666.67	179526.67	2.67
Foliar spray with chlorantraniliprole 18.5% @ 0.3 ml/l at 25 DAS	12.74 <sup>c</sup>	67595	191111.11	123516.11	1.83
Soil application with <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (cfu 2 × 10 <sup>8</sup> /g) at sowing	6.20 <sup>e</sup>	66575	93000.00	26425.00	0.39
Soil application with pongamia cake @ 250 kg/ha at sowing	8.95 <sup>d</sup>	72200	122222.22	50022.22	0.69
Soil application with neem cake @ 250 kg/ha sowing	10.96 <sup>c</sup>	69950	164444.44	94494.44	1.35
Untreated control	5.04 <sup>e</sup>	64000	75555.56	11555.56	0.18
S. Em. ±	0.83				
C. D. at 5 %	2.46				
C.V. (%)	11.67				

Means showing similar alphabets do not differ significantly by DMRT (p .05)



**Fig. 1. Mean per cent infestation and per cent reduction of agromyzid fly, *Melanagromyza* sp. in different treatments**

These were followed by seed treatment with cyantraniliprole 19.8% + thiamethoxam 19.8 % @ 10 ml/kg with, seed treatment with thiamethoxam 30 FS @ 10 ml/kg, seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 10 ml/kg and soil drenching with chlorpyrifos 20% EC @ 3 ml/l at 35 DAS, these treatments registered maximum reduction of agromyzid fly viz., 69%, 58.03%, 56.79% and 58.03% reduction over untreated control, respectively (Fig. 1).

Very limited studies have been documented on the management of insect pests of carrot with insecticide molecules i.e., the information regarding above studies are scanty. However, available literatures indicated that chlorantraniliprole, a novel insecticide belongs to the anthranilic diamide class of insecticides, it activates ryanodine receptors and stimulates  $Ca_2^+$  release from muscle cells leading to feeding cessation, lethargy, muscle paralysis and ultimately death in vulnerable species [12]. Kumar et al. [13] reported that seed treatment with imidacloprid was free from stem fly, *Melanagromyza sojae* incidence and was on par with seed treatment with thiamethoxam 25 WG at 100 ml/ha at 10 days after germination and on par with soil application of granular insecticides at 10 days after germination. Raghunandan and Manjunatha [14] reported the feeding damage and symptoms caused on carrot by the carrot fly *Melanagromyza* sp. for the first time on carrot from India. The adult fly activity was commenced at 25-27 days after sowing (DAS) and peak activity recorded during 34 to 40 DAS. Damage commenced from 41 to 60 days old and prevailed till 70 to 90 days old crop and caused an economic loss of rupees 18.30/kg of good quality carrots. Further, suggested that the pest could be managed through the application of soil insecticide effectively.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Among the insecticides, chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS and fipronil 0.3% GR @ 20 kg/ha at 35 DAS were proved effective in controlling root borer, *Melanagromyza* sp. The treatment with soil application of chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR @ 10 kg/ha at 35 DAS registered the maximum yield and benefit cost ratio.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image

generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Peirce LC. Vegetable: Characteristics, production and marketing, John Wiley and Sons. Inc, New York, United States. 1987;448.
2. Shinohara SE. Technology of horticultural root crops. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 1984;3(2):13-16.
3. Rubatzky VE, Quiros C E, Simon PW. Introduction: Geographic origin and world importance. In: Carrots and related vegetable Umbelliferae, Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux Publishing co., New York, United States. 1999:304
4. Yawalkar KS. Vegetable crops in India, Agric-Horticultural Publishing House, Maharashtra, India. 1985:152.
5. Chadha KL. Hand Book of Horticulture. Directorate of Information and Publications of Agriculture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi, India; 2003.
6. Anonymous. Area, production and productivity of carrot; 2021. Available:https://nhb.gov.in.
7. Neeru. Effect of organic manures and zinc on growth, yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). M.Sc. (Horti.) Thesis, Sri Karan Narendra Agricultural University, Jobner, Rajasthan, India; 2021.
8. Delahaut K A, Newenhouse AC. Growing carrots, beets, radishes, and other root crops in Wisconsin: A guide for fresh worker growers. University of Wisconsin Extension. 1998:10-16. Available:http://learningstore.uwex.edu/assets/pdfs/A3686.
9. Bhat DM, Ahangar FA. A Systematic checklist and species richness of insect pests associated with vegetable crops in Jammu & Kashmir State (India). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6 (2):328-338.
10. Anonymous. Package of Practices for Horticulture crops, University of Horticulture Sciences, Bagalkot; 2013.

- Available:<https://kvkvijayapura.org/new/package-of-practicepop>.
11. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. Laguna, Philippines. 1984:704.
  12. Cordova D, Benner EA, Sacher MD, Rauh JJ, Sopa JS, Lahm GP, Tao Y. Anthranilic diamides: a new class of insecticides with a novel mode of action, ryanodine receptor activation. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology. 2006;84(3):196-214.
  13. Kumar NG, Nguyen PD, Nirmala P, Hiremath US. Effect of various methods of application of insecticides on stem fly and termite incidence in soybean. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009;22(3):642-643.
  14. Raghunandan NV, Manjunatha R. A new report of a fly, *Melanagromyza* sp. (Diptera: Agromyzidae) on carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) from India. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems. 2023;29(1):169-171.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118388>