

### International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 8, Page 854-863, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.121156 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Impact of Black Soldier Fly Larval Frass on Growth and Yield of Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.)

G. Vasavi a++\*, J. Arunakumari a#, G. Kiran Reddy b†,
A. Nirmala c‡ and V. Anitha d^

<sup>a</sup> Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

<sup>b</sup> AICRP on Integrated Farming System, Water Technology Center, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana,

<sup>d</sup> Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i84916

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121156

Received: 05/06/2024 Accepted: 07/08/2024

Published: 14/08/2024

Original Research Article

Cite as: Vasavi, G., J. Arunakumari, G. Kiran Reddy, A. Nirmala, and V. Anitha. 2024. "Impact of Black Soldier Fly Larval Frass on Growth and Yield of Cluster Bean (Cyamopsis Tetragonoloba L.)". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (8):854-63. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i84916.

<sup>++</sup> PG Student (Soil Science);

<sup>#</sup> Professor (Bio-chemistry);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Scientist; (Soil Science);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Assistant Professor;(Horticulture);

<sup>^</sup> Professor (Entomology) & PG Dean Studies;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: vasavigopisetty61 @gmail.com;

### **ABSTRACT**

Hermetia illucens L., commonly known as the Black Soldier Fly (BSF), has garnered global attention for its role in insect farming due to its efficient conversion of organic waste into nutrient-rich larval biomass, with frass as a primary byproduct. This study aimed to explore the potential of BSF larval frass (BSFF) as an organic fertilizer for agricultural production, especially evaluating its performance compared to vermicompost on cluster bean growth and yield under field conditions during rabi season at Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. Using a completely randomized block design with eight treatments, various growth parameters such as plant height, number of branches plant 1 and dry matter production, along with yield attributes including number of clusters plant-1, number of pods cluster-1, number of pods plant-1, pod weight (g), pod yield plant-1 and yield (t ha-1) were assessed. Significant differences were observed among treatments, the highest growth and yield parameters were recorded in application of 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN (T<sub>5</sub>) and it was on par with 25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN (T<sub>6</sub>), 50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN (T<sub>7</sub>), 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN (T<sub>8</sub>) and RDF (T<sub>2</sub>) compared to 100 % N through BSF frass (T<sub>3</sub>), 100 % N through vermicompost (T<sub>4</sub>) and control (T<sub>1</sub>). These treatments resulted in yield increase of 35.7 % ( $T_5$ ), 24.7 % ( $T_6$ ), 23.4 % ( $T_7$ ), 22 %( $T_8$ ) and 24.36 % ( $T_2$ ) respectively, compared to the control. These findings indicated that BSF larval frass holds promise as a sustainable alternative to vermicompost for enhancing cluster bean production, offering potential benefits for agricultural sustainability and organic waste management.

Keywords: Hermetia illucens; BSF larval frass; vermicompost; cluster bean; organic fertilizer; sustainable nutrient management.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The intensification of agriculture has a negative impact on the environment and combined with a growing world population, it poses an enormous challenge to the global society of the twenty-first century. Food demand is constantly increasing population growth and sustainable approaches are required to address the resulting challenges in agricultural production [1]. Organic fertilizer application is one of the most promising pathways for long-term improvement of soil and crop productivity [2]. These organic fertilizers are good source of nitrogen, one of the most limited soil nutrients for crop production. Despite many advantages they provide, the application of organic fertilizers in agriculture is still limited due to their scarcity. Most of the crop residues generated after harvesting of the crop are used to feed the livestock, leaving little or no organic resources to be used as manure for agricultural production [3,4]. Organic fertilizers at present are not widely used in intensive arable agriculture. High input agricultural systems that only provide major nutrients to the crop may lack secondary nutrients (Ca, Mg and S) and micronutrients (Fe. Cu, Mn and Zn), which leads to reduced yield and nutritional quality of harvested products [5]. Organic fertilizers are typically required in large quantities, making it difficult for farmers to incorporate into soil. Hence there is a need to explore new alternative sources that could be part of an integrated nutrient management plan. In recent years, Hermetia illucens L., commonly referred as black soldier fly (BSF), had been shown to be a reliable recycler of organic waste products into nutrient rich organic fertilizer for crop cultivation and soil health management. As a result of their high efficiency of waste degradation (65 - 78 %) [6,7], BSF larvae are capable of reducing compost maturity time to 5 weeks, as compared to conventional composting methods which generally takes 8 - 24 weeks [8,9]. The primary by product of waste bioconversion into high-quality protein for insect feed is known as 'frass'. In general, frass refers to insect excretions, but in commercial context, it is described as a mixture of insect faeces, substrate residues and shed exoskeletons. The composition of BSF frass varies according to the composition of the substrates. Frass contains high levels of NPK, organic matter and chitin (from larvae skins). Frass has numerous applications, such as direct or as composted fertilizer and soil conditioner. The present study was carried out on cluster bean crop to understand the effect of frass on the pulse crop. Cluster bean (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.) belongs to the family Leguminaceae. Which is a versatile and multipurpose legume crop of arid and semiarid regions cultivated for food, feed, fodder and nitrogen fixation purposes. The endosperm of cluster bean contains protein ranging from 18-25 % [10], fiber (13-16 %) [11]

and about 30-33 % gum or galactomannan [12]. Gum is used in a wide range of industries, including textiles, paper, petroleum, pharmaceuticals, food processing, cosmetics, mining, explosives and oil drilling [13,14].

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 Experimental Site Characteristics

The field experiment was carried out at the Horticulture Garden, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Rangareddy district. Telangana state located at 17°19'26" North latitude and 78°24'32" East longitude. The soil at the experimental site, before imposition of treatments was collected from a depth of 0-15 cm and analysed for physical properties. such as soil texture and bulk density, physicochemical properties such as pH, electrical conductivity and organic carbon. To determine the soil fertility status, the sample analysed for available nitrogen, available phosphorus, available potassium depicted in (Table 1).

### 2.2 Source of Fertilizers

The experiment involved three fertilizers: BSF larval frass, vermicompost and mineral fertilizer (N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O 30-63-63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The vermicompost and NPK fertilizers were collected from college farm, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The BSF larval frass was generated from the feeding of BSF larvae on chicken feed at the ICAR NAHEP BSF Unit, college farm. The BSF larvae were reared following procedures described by [9]. Table 2 presents the

characteristics of BSF larval frass and vermicompost used in the experiments.

# 2.3 Treatments and Experimental Setup

The BSF larval frass, vermicompost and NPK fertilizer were applied at uniform rates equivalent to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in treatments. For cluster bean crop to receive 100 % nitrogen, 1.126 t ha<sup>-1</sup> BSF frass was required. Similarly, 50 % nitrogen was obtained by BSF frass equivalent to 0.563 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 25 % nitrogen was obtained by adding 0.282 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. For 100 % nitrogen, 3.704 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost was required. Likewise, for 50 % nitrogen through 1.852 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of vermicompost and 25 % nitrogen through 0.926 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of vermicompost. 65.2 kg urea required for 100 % nitrogen correspondingly. P and K fertilizers were applied based on recommended dose.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates and 8 treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub> - Control, T<sub>2</sub> - RDF, T<sub>3</sub> - 100 % N through BSF frass, T<sub>4</sub>- 100 % N through vermicompost, T<sub>5</sub>- 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN, T<sub>6</sub> - 25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN, T<sub>7</sub> - 50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN and T<sub>8</sub> - 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN. The cluster bean seeds (variety: Samrat) were sown at a spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm. After 15 days of germination, thinning was done to maintain one plant. The experiment was carried out in plot size of 4.5 m x 3m. The BSF larval frass and vermicompost were applied 15 days before sowing, whereas the mineral fertilizer (NPK) was applied as basal dose. Manual weed control was practiced while water requirements were facilitated through check basin irrigation system.

Table 1. Properties of the soil, pre-treatment imposition at the experimental site

Soil parameters	Contents	Method
Sand (%)	63.4	Bouyoucos hydrometer method [15]
Silt (%)	16.0	
Clay (%)	20.6	
Soil texture	Sandy loam	
Bulk density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.44	Core sampler method [16]
pH (1:2.5 soil: water)	7.6	"Eutech" digital pH meter [17]
Electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	0.38	"Eutech" digital conductivity meter [17]
(1:2.5 soil: water)		
Organic Carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.2	Chromic acid wet digestion method [18]
Available Nitrogen (kg ha-1)	132.13	Alkaline permanganate method [19]
Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	70.8	0.5M NaHCO <sub>3</sub> (pH 8.5) method [20]
Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	236.8	Neutral normal ammonium acetate method
		followed by using flame photometer [17]

Table 2. Characteristics of BSF larval frass (60 days old) and vermicompost used in experiment

Parameters	BSF frass	Vermicompost	Method
pH (1:10)	7.55	7.62	"Eutech" digital pH meter. [17]
EC (1:10) d Sm <sup>-1</sup>	7.8	1.21	"Eutech" digital conductivity meter. [17]
C total (%)	23.14	28	Dry combustion method. [21]
N total (%)	2.64	0.9	Micro kjheldhal distillation. [22]
C:N ratio	8.7:1	31:1	-
P total (%)	0.33	0.2	Vanadomolybdate - phosphoric acid method [22]
K total (%)	1.95	0.84	Diacid extraction method followed by flame photometer. [22]
Ca (%)	0.20	0.92	
Mg (%)	0.58	0.43	
S (%)	0.59	0.16	
Cu (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	27	54	Diacid extraction method followed by ICP- OES. [22]
Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	990	120	
Mn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	74	96	
Zn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	120	78	

### 2.4 Growth and Yield

Five cluster bean plants were randomly selected and tagged from each treatment replicate for the determination of growth parameters. Plant height and number of branches plant-1 was determined by collecting data on 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stage. The plant height was measured from ground level to tip of the upper leaf. Number of branches plant-1 were determined by hand counting. Five plants from the second row of the border were selected to assess dry matter accumulation at harvest. The weight of ovendried samples was measured after drying at 65°C and reported in tonnes per hectare, while vield attributes viz., number of clusters plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of pods cluster-1, number of pods plant-1, pod weight, pod yield plant<sup>-1</sup> were counted on the randomly tagged plants. The total yield plot-1 was calculated by summing the yields obtained from four pickings and expressed as t ha-1 [23].

### 2.5 Data Analysis

Data were statistically analysed as described by [24]. The critical difference was calculated to assess the significance of treatment mean wherever, the 'F' test was significant at 5 percent level.

# 3. RESULTS

# 3.1 Growth Parameters

The results revealed (Table 3) that BSF larval frass and vermicompost in combination with NPK

fertilizers significantly influenced the different growth characters of cluster bean. Application of 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN (T<sub>5</sub>) being at par with treatments T<sub>6</sub> (25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN), T<sub>7</sub> (50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN), T<sub>8</sub> (50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN) and T<sub>2</sub> (RDF) recorded significantly highest plant height at 30 DAS (38.8 cm), 60 DAS (87.9 cm) and at harvest ( 111.9 cm) and number of branches plant-1 at 30 DAS (4.33), at 60 DAS (16.48) and at harvest (18.57) and higher dry matter accumulation in stover (3.51 t ha-1) and pod (1.59 t ha-1) at harvest over rest of the treatments. significantly lowest plant height at 30DAS (23.9 cm), 60DAS (60.5 cm) and at harvest (76.0 cm), number of branches plant-1 30DAS (2.53), 60DAS (8.92) and at harvest (10.04) and total dry matter accumulation in stover (2.1 t ha-1) and pod (0.95 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in control.

### 3.2 Yield Attributes and Yield

Application of 25 % N through BSF frass+ 75 % RDN ( $T_5$ ), 25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN ( $T_6$ ), 50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN ( $T_7$ ), 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN ( $T_8$ ) and RDF ( $T_2$ ) treatments were on par with each other with respect to yield attributes viz., number of clusters plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of pods cluster<sup>-1</sup>, number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> and pod weight (g). Number of clusters per plant (22.34, 21.14, 20.34, 19.14 and 19.39), Number of pods per cluster (7.25, 7.25, 7.17, 6.88 and 6.74), Number of pods per plant (162.2, 153.4, 152.9, 148.2 and

152.3) and pod weight in grams (5.31, 5.21, 4.57, 4.65 and 4.93) as shown in Fig. 1. While the lowest was found in control (14.07, 5.39, 79.3 and 3.52). The results pertained to pod yield per plant (g) revealed significant differences among various treatments, wherein application of 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN (831.2), 25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN (825.5), 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN (813.5), 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN (816.4) and RDF (556.9). The similar trend was reported for pod yield per hectare for T<sub>5</sub> (7.25 t  $ha^{-1}$ ),  $T_6$  (7.09 t  $ha^{-1}$ ),  $T_7$  (6.97 t  $ha^{-1}$ ),  $T_8$ (6.84 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>2</sub> (7.06 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were on par with each other. Whereas, lowest was observed in control (5.34 t ha-1).

### 3.3 Economics of Cluster Bean

Table 4. Presents the total cultivation costs, gross returns, net returns and B: C ratio. The net returns from  $T_5$  - 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN were the highest (84778.4 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>). Similar patterns were noted for  $T_6$  - 25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN (75140.5 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_7$ -50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN (74891.25 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_8$  - 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN (60746.17 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_2$  - RDF (84655.33 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>). Whereas, lowest (32472.67 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) was reported in  $T_4$  (100% N through vermicompost). While, highest B: C ratio was recorded in  $T_2$  - RDF (1.92). Lowest B: C ratio (1.27) was recorded in  $T_4$  (100% N through vermicompost).

Table 3. Effect of black soldier fly larval frass and vermicompost in combination with NPK fertilizers on growth parameters


Treatments	Plant height (cm)		Branches plant <sup>-1</sup>			Total dry matter (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at harvest		
	30DAS	60DAS	Harvest	30 DAS	60DAS	Harvest	stover	Pod
T <sub>1</sub>	23.9	60.5	76.0	2.53	8.92	10.04	2.10	0.95
$T_2$	32.0	80.8	96.9	3.87	14.75	17.70	3.24	1.54
$T_3$	26.2	73.4	86.8	3.27	11.17	13.40	2.80	1.31
$T_4$	28.3	73.4	90.7	3.28	12.59	15.11	2.81	1.34
T <sub>5</sub>	38.8	87.9	111.9	4.33	16.48	18.57	3.51	1.59
T <sub>6</sub>	37.9	85.7	100.4	4.25	15.10	18.38	3.45	1.55
$T_7$	32.7	82.9	103.0	3.77	13.93	17.65	3.13	1.52
T <sub>8</sub>	34.3	77.2	98.4	3.76	13.86	17.70	3.15	1.50
S.E m±	2.87	4.58	6.26	0.24	0.96	1.01	0.23	0.08
CD (5 %)	8.70	13.91	18.98	0.72	2.91	3.06	0.69	0.25


\*RDF (Recommended dose of fertilizer), RDN (Recommended dose of nitrogen) Table 3. Treatment details: Control (T₁), RDF(T₂),100 % N through BSF frass (T₃), 100 % N through vermicompost (T₄), 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN (T₅),25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN (T₆), 50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN (T₀) and 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN (T₆). Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at P≤0.05


Table 4. Effect of black soldier fly larval frass and vermicompost in combination with NPK fertilizers on economics of cluster bean

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	84925	133532.5	48607.5	1.57
$T_2$	91795	176450.3	84655.33	1.92
T <sub>3</sub>	98220	150098.7	51878.67	1.53
$T_4$	120441	151813.7	32472.67	1.27
T <sub>5</sub>	96577	181355.4	84778.42	1.88
$T_6$	102132	177272.5	75140.5	1.74
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	99268	174159.3	74891.25	1.75
T <sub>8</sub>	110378	171124.2	60746.17	1.55
SEm ±	-	9712.07	9712.07	-
CD (P=0.05)	-	29458	29458	-

\*RDF (Recommended dose of fertilizer), RDN (Recommended dose of nitrogen) Table 3. Treatment details: Control (T₁), RDF(T₂),100 % N through BSF frass (T₃), 100 % N through vermicompost (T₄), 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN (T₅),25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN (T₆), 50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN (T₀) and 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN (T₆). Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at P≤0.05







**Treatments** 

Fig. 1. Effect of black soldier fly larval frass and vermicompost in combination with NPK fertilizers on yield attributes a) number of clusters plant⁻¹ b) number of pods cluster⁻¹c) number of pods plant⁻¹ d) pod weight (g) e) pod yield plant⁻¹ f) pod yield t ha⁻¹. Control (T₁), RDF(T₂),100 % N through BSF frass (T₃), 100 % N through vermicompost (T₄), 25 % N through BSF frass + 75 % RDN (T₅), 25 % N through vermicompost + 75 % RDN (T₆), 50 % N through BSF frass + 50 % RDN (Tォ) and 50 % N through vermicompost + 50 % RDN (T₃). Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at P≤0.05

# 4. DISCUSSION

The findings indicated that the combination of BSF larval frass and vermicompost with NPK fertilizers significantly enhanced plant growth compared to both the control and the application of 100 % nitrogen solely through BSF larval frass and vermicompost. This suggests that these organic amendments effectively supported plant growth in conjunction with mineral fertilizers. Vermicompost and BSF larval frass may have improved the soil by increasing organic matter, thereby improving soil physical properties such as water retention and fostering a diverse population of beneficial microbes. Additionally, the composted organic material provided the essential nutrients, particularly nitrogen and

potassium, crucial for plant development, as detailed in Table 2. The solid organic manure derived from BSF larval frass could have potentially to met the nitrogen requirements, which are often deficient in Indian soils [24]. Data in Table 3 demonstrated that plant height, number of branches plant-1 and dry matter production were relatively higher when manure was integrated with NPK fertilizer compared to using manure alone or the control. However, the treatment 25 % nitrogen from BSF larval frass with 75 % recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) showed the highest and most favorable plant growth performance.

The higher growth rate was observed in cluster bean crop by application of BSF larval frass. It

might be due to its high maturity and stability as indicated by parameter, such as the lower C/N ratio (8.6) reported in (Table 2). This suggests that the enhanced vegetative growth, dry matter production and yield while using BSF larval frass, vermicompost and mineral fertilizers could be due to improved nutrient supply and availability. Moreover, the rapid mineralization rate of BSF larval frass likely contributed to a more synchronized nutrient release, supporting both vegetative growth and yield, in accordance with findings of [25]. Additionally, the presence of chitin in BSF frass fertilizer had been reported to enhance plant health by boosting crop disease resistance [26].

The enhanced yield attributes and overall yield associated with the application of BSF larval frass, vermicompost and NPK fertilizer (refer to Fig. 1) might be attributed to resulted from the integrated use of organic manures along with fertilizer, inorganic facilitating translocation of photosynthates from source to sink and thereby increasing yield, consistent with the findings of [27]. These findings are pivotal in shifting perceptions towards organic fertilizer usage, given their advantages of being less bulky and high in nutrients. Consequently, relying on costly mineral nitrogen fertilizers could potentially be reduced by addition of high-quality organic fertilizers like BSF larval frass which in the long term may result in sustainable soil health management.

The Table 4. indicated that application of T<sub>5</sub> -25% N through BSF frass+ 75% RDN optimizes net returns without compromising yield or soil health, potentially reducing inorganic nitrogen fertilizer usage. For an environmentally sustainable approach, a combination of T<sub>7</sub> - 50 % N through BSF frass + 50% RDN was recommended. B: C ratio was highest in T2-RDF due to less cost of cultivation. Conversely, applying organic manure results in lower nutrient concentrations per unit, necessitating larger quantities for desired effects, thereby increasing overall cultivation cost. Similar conclusions were drawn by previous studies conducted by [28]

### 5. CONCLUSION

The study findings indicate that nitrogen released from both BSF larval frass fertilizer (BSFF) and vermicompost was slow during the early stages of crop growth, resulting in inadequate nitrogen availability during periods of peak demand. This necessitates supplementation with inorganic

nitrogen compensate to for nitrogen immobilization observed in the early growth stages. The significant pod yields associated with BSFFF highlight its potential to enhance crop productivity. Based on the current research, applying 0.28 t ha-1 of BSF larval frass along with 49 kg of urea can maximize yields by ensuring sufficient nutrient supply in cluster bean production. For an environmentally safe and sustainable approach to increase cluster bean yields, applying 0.56 t ha-1 integrated with 32.6 kg of urea is recommended. Over the long term, we expect that sustainable use of BSF larval frass fertilizer will reduce dependence on expensive mineral fertilizers that can adversely affect soil and environmental health. Future studies should explore the effects of BSF larval frass fertilizer on soil physical properties, water retention capacity and soil microbiome across diverse agro-ecological zones and cropping systems.

# **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author wishes to express gratitude to the PJTSAU for research grant the chairperson, members, Dean PG Studies, Department of Soil science, family and friends for their invaluable support during the entire work.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### **REFERENCES**

- McKenzie FC, Williams J. Sustainable food production: Constraints, challenges and choices by 2050. Food Security. 2015;7: 221-233.
- 2. Vanlauwe B, Descheemaeker K, Giller KE, Huising J, Merckx R, Nziguheba G, et al. Integrated soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa: Unravelling local adaptation. Soil. 2015;1(1):491-508.
- 3. Rufino MC, Dury J, Tittonell P, Van Wijk MT, Herrero M, Zingore S, et al. Competing use of organic resources, village-level interactions between farm

- types and climate variability in a communal area of NE Zimbabwe. Agricultural Systems. 2011;104(2):175-190.
- 4. Rusinamhodzi L, Corbeels M, Giller KE. Diversity in crop residue management across an intensification gradient in southern Africa: System dynamics and crop productivity. Field Crops Research. 2016;185:79-88.
- 5. Davis DR. Declining fruit and vegetable nutrient composition: what is the evidence. HortScience. 2009;44(1):15-19.
- Diener S, Zurbrugg C, Gutierrez FR, Nguyen DH, Morel A, Koottatep T et al. Black soldier fly larvae for organic waste treatment-prospects and constraints. Proceedings of the WasteSafe. 2011;2:13-15.
- Li Q, Zheng L, Qiu N, Cai H, Tomberlin JK, Yu Z. Bioconversion of dairy manure by black soldier fly (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) for biodiesel and sugar production. Waste Management. 2011;31(6):1316-1320.
- 8. Beesigamukama D, Mochoge B, Korir NK, Fiaboe KK, Nakimbugwe D, Khamis FM. Exploring black soldier fly frass as novel fertilizer for improved growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of maize under field conditions. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2020;11:574592.
- Beesigamukama D, Mochoge B, Korir NK, Fiaboe KK, Nakimbugwe D, Khamis FM et al. Low-cost technology for recycling agroindustrial waste into nutrient-rich organic fertilizer using black soldier fly. Waste Management. 2021;119:183-194.
- 10. Kumari A, Chaurasia AK, Pandey AK. Studies on seed quality parameters in cluster bean [Cymopsis tetragonoloba (L.)Taub.-guar]. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(4):3311-3315.
- Akcura M, Muftuoglu NM, Kaplan M, Turkmen C. Nutrient potential and mineral contents of some vegetable cluster bean genotypes. Cereal Chemistry. 2020;97(6): 1193-1203.
- 12. Selvaraj S, Prasanna KL. Dry matter production, yield attributes, yield and quality of clusterbean [Cyamopsis tetragonoloba (L.) Taub.] as influenced by nitrogen and zinc application. Indian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2012;2(3).
- 13. Senapati MK, Srinatha A, Pandit JK. *In vitro* release characteristics of matrix tablets: Study of karaya gum and guar gum as release modulators. Indian journal of pharmaceutical sciences. 2006;68(6).

- Pathak R, Roy MM. Climatic responses, environmental indices and interrelationships between qualitative and quantitative traits in cluster bean Cyamopsis tetragonoloba (L) Taub. under arid conditions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences. 2015;85: 147-154.
- Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of Soils. Agronomy Journal. 1962;54:464-465.
- Black GR, Hartge KH. Bulk density. In: Klute, A, Ed., Methods of soil analysis, Part 1- Physical and Minerological Methods. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Agronomy Monograph 9. American Society of Agronomy-Soil Science Society of America Madison. 1986;363-382
- 17. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1973:498.
- 18. Walkley AJ, Black IA. Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934;37:29–38.
- Subbaiah BV, Asija GL. Rapid Procedure for the Determination of available nitrogen in soil. Current Science. 1956; 25: 226-230.
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watnabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extracting with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular. Washington. 1965;939.
- 21. Nelson DW, Sommers LE. Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. Methods of soil analysis: Chemical and microbiological properties. 1982;9:539-579.
- 22. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Hans Publication. Bombay. India. 1966; 251-350.
- 23. Rao GN. Statistics for agricultural sciences. BS Publication, Hyderabad. 1983;250-295.
- 24. Available:https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#h
- 25. Tyagi PK, Singh VK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and nutrients uptake of summer blackgram (*Vigna mungo*). Annals of plant and soil research. 2019;21(1):30-35.
- 26. Quilliam RS, Nuku-Adeku C, Maquart P, Little D, Newton R, Murray F. Integrating insect frass biofertilisers into sustainable peri-urban agro-food systems. Journal of Insects as Food and Feed. 2020;6(3):315-322.

- Thakur R, Sawarkar SD, Vaishya UK, Singh M. Impact of continuous use of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on soil properties and productivity under soybean-wheat intensive cropping of a Vertisol. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2011;59(1):74-81.
- S, Mahato M. Biswas Dutta Effect of integrated nutrient on growth, yield and management maize (Zea economics of hybrid mays L.). Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2020;39(3): 78-86.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121156