

*International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*

*Volume 36, Issue 9, Page 729-738, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.123781 ISSN: 2320-7035*

# **Effects of Sewage Sludge Biochar (Sewchar) Application on Nutrient Uptake and Yield of African Marigold**

# Rehana M R <sup>a\*</sup>, Gladis R <sup>b</sup>, Rani B <sup>a</sup>, Biju Joseph <sup>c</sup>, **Naveen Leno <sup>a</sup> and Priya kumari I <sup>d</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala, India.*

*<sup>b</sup> Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agriculture Research Station, Thiruvalla, Kerala, India. <sup>c</sup> Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Rice Research Station Mancompu, Kerala, India. <sup>d</sup> Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala, India.*

#### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95022](https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95022)

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123781>

> *Received: 14/07/2024 Accepted: 18/09/2024 Published: 21/09/2024*

*Original Research Article*

#### **ABSTRACT**

The study was conducted in the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, India to evaluate the effect of sewage sludge (SS), sewage sludge compost (SSC) and sewage sludge biochar (sewchar, SC) on plant growth and productivity. Comparative studies showing the effect of sewage sludge, sewage sludge compost and its biochar on plant growth and productivity are limited. Sewage sludge, sawdust, zeolite

*Cite as: M R, Rehana, Gladis R, Rani B, Biju Joseph, Naveen Leno, and Priya kumari I. 2024. "Effects of Sewage Sludge Biochar (Sewchar) Application on Nutrient Uptake and Yield of African Marigold". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (9):729-38. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95022.*

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: rehanacoa@gmail.com;*

(50:30:20) and 2.5 kg of flyash were combined and composted for 60 days to prepare sewage sludge compost. Sewchar was prepared through the process of slow pyrolysis at a temperature of 400℃ for 2 hours. A field experiment was conducted to using marigold as a test crop. Experimental results showed that conversion of sewage sludge to sewchar causes the enrichment of nutrients in them. Field experiment revealed that maximum N, P, K content, uptake and yield parameters such as flower diameter (9.35 cm), flower weight (9.21 g) and number of flowers per plant (98) was recorded with sewchar received treatments compared to other treatments. Gradual release of nutrients from sewchar is important in maintaining the soil fertility and boosting the plant growth parameters and productivity.

*Keywords: Biochar; marigold; sewage sludge; sewage sludge compost; sewchar.*

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The amount of solid waste from the community produced per person has increased due to rapid urbanisation, industrialisation and economic expansion, placing a strain on the capabilities of the current waste management system. India generates 160038.9 tonnes per day (TPD) of solid waste daily, of which 152749.5 TPD are effectively collected at a rate of 95.4 %. Of this, 50 % is treated, 18 % is dumped in a landfill, and 37 % is not recorded [1]. Kerala generates 3543 TPD of solid waste in total, of which 2550 TPD are treated [2].

Sewage sludge or solid waste, is an unavoidable product of treating municipal waste water. Because of its growing volume and the problems involved with its disposal, sewage sludge is a major problem in many countries since it contains a range of hazardous organic compounds, bacteria and potentially hazardous metals, its safe disposal is a major responsibility [3]. Among the typical sludge management techniques most commonly employed are land application, land filling and incineration. Because of its high operating costs and poor public approval, incineration is not utilised very often.

About 30-60 % of the organic matter, 1.5-4.5 % nitrogen, 1.0-2.2 % phosphorus and minerals such microcline, quartz, calcite and heavy metals are present in sewage sludge [4]. When applied to agricultural land, it increases crop productivity and soil fertility while also improving the physical characteristics of the soil, such as porosity, infiltration rate, aggregate formation and stability and it decreases bulk density, surface runoff and water erosion [5]. Its application in agricultural production is being restricted by the existence heavy metals. Inadequate sludge application can alter the qualities of the soil because of the higher concentrations of pathogens, heavy metals and toxic components. Growing food crops with the sludge requires stabilising the heavy metal and lowering its bioavailability.

Pyrolysis is a major practical approach for the long-term sustainable handling of sewage sludge. Biochar is a solid product of pyrolysis with a high carbon content that is produced by the thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-free environment [6]. Pyrolysis decreases the amount of sludge gets rid of any viruses or parasites, turns organic materials into bioenergy and immobilises metals in a leftover carbonaceous solid material called sewchar that has been burned and left behind. Sewchar makes the soil more stable and recalcitrant because the pyrogenic carbon compounds present in this carbonaceous product stay in the soil for a longer amount of time. The pyrogenic carbon also affects the quality of the soil's organic matter and can reduce its susceptibility to losses brought on by insufficient management techniques. Sewchar is a stable, high-carbon material that can fix carbon during preparation and storage to keep carbon out of the environment. This improves soil carbon sink and lowers soil emissions of carbon dioxide and methane [7].

Biochar made from sewage sludge can fix heavy metals found in soil. Applying sewchar can also enhance nutrient uptake, boost crop development and lessen the amount of exchangeable heavy metals and their biological consequences. Pyrolysis can dramatically alter the weakly bound forms of metals in sewage sludge to more stable states (in oxidisable and residual forms), lowering the environmental risk connected to the land application of sludge biochars [8]. Energy efficiency and reduced heavy metal pollution at temperatures below 600°C are benefits of using pyrolysis of sewage sludge rather than incineration at high temperatures between 300°C and 1000 °C.

Most of the studies on sewage sludge biochar is based on the agronomic performance, carbon sequestration and green house gas emissions. Only a little research were focussed on the impact of sewage sludge biochar on soil properties, plant growth parameters and nutrient uptake. Eventhough sewage sludge biochar can fix heavy metals and pathogens in sewage sludge, the scope of utilisation of sewage sludge for the production of edible crops are limited. But there is a possibility for utilising this sewchar as a fertiliser for ornamental crop production. Because they are not naturally edible and they can extract heavy metals from contaminated soil floriculture plants can be used for phytoremediation purposes [9]. Marigold (*Tagetes sp*.) is a popular and aesthetically pleasing decorative plant that have a good capacity to absorb heavy metals [10]. Marigold plant have a strong root system that enables them to endure in a conditioned soil environment and can grow quickly [5]. Marigold hence has the potential to clean up contaminated areas. Because of its broad adaptability in a variety of soil types, ease of cultivation, fast growth rate, early maturity, low nutrient requirements, virtuous adaptation to heavy metal stress and inedible nature, the marigold species was chosen as a test plant. With this back ground the study was conducted for the evaluation of of sewchar for ornamental crop production using marigold as test crop.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Sewage Sludge Compost and Sewchar Preparation**

For the preparation of sewage sludge compost and sewchar the raw material sewage sludge was collected from the waste water treatment plant, Muttathara, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala. For sewage sludge compost preparation sewage sludge was composted for 60 days with sewage

sludge, sawdust, zeolite (50: 30: 20) and 2.5 kg flyash. The compost heap was irrigated every weeks to ensure adequate moisture and turned once in a week to ensure enough oxygen for the composting process. This method was adopted from our previous study [11]. Slow pyrolysis was used to produce sewchar from sewage sludge. The feed stock, sewage sludge was oven dried at 45°C. Sewage sludge was placed in the ceramic crucibles and the crucibles were then pyrolysed at 400 ℃ for 2 hours in a muffle furnace. After the completion of pyrolysis, the muffle furnace was let to cool overnight and sewchar was collected.

### **2.2 Field Experiment**

A field experiment was carried out at the instructional farm of the College of Agriculture, Vellayani, by using marigold as a test crop. The experimental field soil belongs to loamy, kaolinite isphyperthermic typic Kandiustult of Vellayani series. The field was laid out in Randomized Block Design with nine treatments. The treatment details are given in Table 1.

Seeds of Inca Orange marigold was sown in portrays that were filled with coirpith, vermiculite and perlite. The seeds were irrigated and covered with fine FYM. Portrays were watered every two days and maintained in insect-proof stands. The seedlings were moved to the main field one month after they were sown and transplanted main field at a spacing of  $45 \times 45$ cm. From  $T_2$  to  $T_9$  recommended fertiliser dosage (N: P: K @225:60:60 kg ha-1 ) was applied as muriate of potash, urea and single-supper phosphate. The entire dosage of single-supper phosphate, muriate of potash and ½ dose of urea were applied at the time of transplantation with the remaining ½ dose of urea being applied one month later.





For the estimation of N, P, K and uptake by marigold the plant samples were collected after the harvest. The plant samples such as shoot, root and flower were powdered separately for the estimation. The 2mm sieved air-dried sewage sludge, sewage sludge compost and sewchar were kept in an airtight container for chemical analysis. Nitrogen content was estimated using Kjeldahl's method, phosphorus was estimated by Vanadomolydophosphoric acid yellow colour method (di-acid extract), potassium was estimated by using the flame photometer [12]. Uptake was calculated by multiplying the dry matter yield with the corresponding content of N, P and K.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **3.1 Chemical Characterisation of Sewage Sludge, Sewage Sludge Compost and Sewage Sludge Biochar**

Chemical analysis of the sewage sludge, sewage sludge compost and sewchar showed that the nutrient content in the sewage sludge compost is lower than sewage sludge (Table 2). Sewage sludge had a nitrogen content of 1.68 %, which reduced to 1.60 % and 0.92 % when it is converted to sewage sludge compost and sewchar respectively. During sewage sludge composting process,  $40 - 80$  % of N is lost as NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, this level of nitrogen loss must lower the compost's quality and cause air pollution [13]. When the sewage sludge is pyrolyzed at 400℃ for 2 hours, a massive loss of N as  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> and  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup> occurs. During this process decomposition of nitrate and ammonium salts initially present in the sewage sludge

causes the decline in the amount of NH4-N and NO<sub>3</sub>-N [14]. According to [15], nitrogen can be extracted by losing  $NH_4-N$  and  $NO_3-N$  fractions as well as volatile materials that contain N groups at 200°C. In comparison to SSC and SS, sewchar's overall P and K contents increased. The loss of P and K content in sewage sludge compost may be due to the dilution effect offered by the bulking agent (sawdust) used in this composting process [16]. The enrichment of the P content in sewchar might be attributed to the fact that phosphorous present in the sewage sludge is mostly associated with thermostable phosphate minerals, which is difficult to decompose and crystallised more during the pyrolysis process [17]. The increased K content in sewchar may be described by the fact that, the breakdown of organic matter releases nutrients linked to organic compounds and causes a loss of volatile content, causing the enrichment of nutrient during the pyrolytic conversion of sewage sludge to sewchar [18].

# **3.2 Floral parameters of Marigold**

The weight of the marigold flower varied from 4.05 to 9.21 grams, while the days to flowering ranged from 31.82 to 44.52. When compared to the other treatments, the sewchar  $@5t$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM applied treatment had the shortest flowering time and the largest flower weight. Marigold flowers have a diameter ranged from 5.01 to 9.35 cm (Table 3). When compared to SS and SSC, the maximum flower diameter was seen in sewchar received treatments. The marigold's flower length did not significantly vary throughout the treatments.





| <b>Treatments</b> | Days to<br>flowering | Flower diameter (cm) |                   | No of flowers<br>per plant |  |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
| T <sub>1</sub>    | 43.49 <sup>a</sup>   | $5.43$ <sup>de</sup> | 5.11 c            | 63.80 <sup>e</sup>         |  |
| T <sub>2</sub>    | 41.68 <sup>a</sup>   | $5.68$ <sup>de</sup> | 6.65 <sup>b</sup> | 65.56 de                   |  |
| $T_3$             | 36.04 bc             | 7.54 <sup>c</sup>    | 7.47 <sup>b</sup> | 70.30 bc                   |  |
| T <sub>4</sub>    | 33.51 cd             | $8.21^{bc}$          | 7.56 <sup>b</sup> | 73.74 b                    |  |
| T <sub>5</sub>    | 31.82 <sup>d</sup>   | 9.35 <sup>a</sup>    | 9.21 <sup>a</sup> | 93.58 <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| $T_6$             | 33.04 <sup>d</sup>   | 8.70 ab              | 8.83 <sup>a</sup> | 97.77 <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| T <sub>7</sub>    | 37.54 b              | 5.94 <sup>d</sup>    | 6.97 $b$          | 68.15 cd                   |  |
| $T_8$             | 44.52 <sup>a</sup>   | 5.01 e               | 4.05 $C$          | 46.17 $†$                  |  |
| T9                | 37.20 <sup>b</sup>   | 7.52c                | 7.45 <sup>b</sup> | 69.13 <sup>cd</sup>        |  |
| SEm $(\pm)$       | 0.99                 | 0.31                 | 0.41              | 1.43                       |  |
| CD (0.05)         | 2.97                 | 0.92                 | 1.22              | 4.27                       |  |

**Table 3. Effect of treatments on floral parameters of marigold**

The treatment receiving SC  $@$  10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 5 t FYM showed the maximum number of flowers per plant, which was comparable to the treatment receiving SC  $@$  5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM. Significantly,  $T_8$  receiving 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> SS achieved the lowest value. This is consistent with the results of [19], who found that the floral parameters decreased with an increase in the rate of sewage sludge application. This may be explained by the pH decreasing when a certain amount of sewage sludge was added, despite this, the plant growth and floral parameters were decreased. Driesen [20] claimed that biochar increases plant growth by strengthening the soil's structure, encouraging root development and increasing stomatal density, photosynthetic rate and total plant productivity.

#### **3.3 Content and Uptake of Nitrogen**

The various sewage sludge treatments significantly influenced the content (Table 4) and uptake of nitrogen (Fig. 1). The nitrogen content of the root, shoot and flower varied from 1.54  $(T_1)$ to 2.81 %  $(T_5)$ , 1.25  $(T_1)$  to 1.92 %  $(T_5)$  and 1.12  $(T_8)$  to 1.92 %  $(T_5)$ . Considering the different treatments the treatments receiving sewchar recorded the highest nitrogen content in shoot, root, flower and the uptake by shoot, root and flower. Similar result was quoted by [21] that the application of paddy husk biochar to ginger crop attained the highest N content in root, shoot and rhizome. This higher performance could be attributed to the gradual release of nutrients from biochar. This release corresponds with the crops' nutrient requirements, ensuring a consistent supply of nutrients over a longer period of time, which in turn promotes better plant growth. The

application of sewchar along with mineral fertilisers causes the reduction in the leaching of nitrogen and thus retaining N in the soil. The retention of N hinders the hinders the denitrification and volatilisation thus supplying N for a prolonged period [22]. The reason for high N uptake in sewchar treatments could be attributed to the plants producing more dry matter as a result of absorbing a greater amount of nitrogen from the soil. In African marigold, similar findings were reported by [23,24]. Because of the porous nature and presence of negatively charged functional groups in sewchar it can electrostatically retain  $NH_4^+$  in the soil [25]. As per the findings of [26], soil microorganisms are crucial for the production of ammonium compounds during the ammonification process and for reducing nitrogen losses due to gaseous fluxes and leaching. It has been reported that adding biochar to the soil increases its nitrogen content [27]. The quantity of nitrogen that seeps out of the soil can be decreased by adding biochar. According to [28] the application of biochar enhanced the fertiliser nitrogen recovery. Furthermore, biochar may indirectly impact the nitrogen content by enhancing microbial populations.

#### **3.4 Content and Uptake of Phosphorus**

The phosphorus content in the root, shoot and flower varied from 0.34  $(T_1)$  to 0.46 %  $(T_5)$ , 0.30  $(T_1)$  to 0.44 %  $(T_5)$  and 0.18  $(T_8)$  and 0.31 %  $(T_4)$ respectively. With respect to the uptake, it varied from 1.32 (T<sub>1</sub>) to 2.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>), 3.03 (T<sub>1</sub>) to 9.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>) and 2.05 (T<sub>8</sub>) to 15.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(T_6)$  in root, shoot and flower respectively (Fig. 2). Biochar applied treatments had the highest uptake of P compared to other as suggested by [29]. The application of biochar and fertilisers leads to extensive root growth, which in turn causes an increase in the phosphorus content and uptake by plants. The relationship between mycorrhizal fungi and plant roots produces P- solubilising organic acids and extracellular phosphatase enzymes, which convert P into a state that is available [30]. The present study's findings demonstrated that applying SC might enhance the soil's P status while lowering leaching. This is consistent with the results of [31], who found that the highest available P content was recorded in both sandy and laterite soils when applying 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> biochar. The primary reason why sewchar increases soil P content availability is that it creates a large number of micropores, which serve as a habitat for microorganisms that increases the availability of P [32]. Yang et al. [33] stated that oxygen-containing functional groups on the surface of biochar aid in the adsorption of soluble P and thus, aid in the retention of P in the soil.

| <b>Treatments</b> | N content $(\%)$  |                     |                      | Phosphorous (%)      |                     | Potassium (%)        |                   |                   |                      |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                   | Root              | <b>Shoot</b>        | <b>Flower</b>        | Root                 | <b>Shoot</b>        | <b>Flower</b>        | Root              | <b>Shoot</b>      | <b>Flower</b>        |
| T <sub>1</sub>    | 1.54 $e$          | $1.25$ <sup>f</sup> | $1.42$ <sup>cd</sup> | 0.34 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.30 <sup>1</sup>   | $0.19$ fg            | 1.17 <sup>e</sup> | 1.17e             | 2.28 <sup>e</sup>    |
| T <sub>2</sub>    | 2.22c             | 1.51e               | $1.42$ <sup>cd</sup> | 0.39 <sup>bc</sup>   | 0.339               | $0.21$ ef            | 1.53c             | 1.54 $d$          | $2.38$ <sup>de</sup> |
| $T_3$             | 2.28 <sup>c</sup> | 1.74c               | $1.76$ abc           | 0.39 <sup>bc</sup>   | 0.37 <sup>d</sup>   | 0.28c                | 1.54c             | 2.17c             | 2.58 <sup>b</sup>    |
| T <sub>4</sub>    | 2.40 <sup>b</sup> | 1.83 $b$            | $1.78$ <sup>ab</sup> | 0.41 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.39 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.31a                | 1.57c             | 2.53 <sup>b</sup> | 2.80 <sup>a</sup>    |
| $T_5$             | 2.81a             | 1.92 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.92 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.46a                | 0.44a               | 0.29 <sup>bc</sup>   | 2.07 <sup>a</sup> | 2.86 <sup>a</sup> | 2.58 <sup>b</sup>    |
| $T_6$             | 2.72 <sup>a</sup> | 1.87 $^{ab}$        | 1.84 $ab$            | $0.43$ <sup>ab</sup> | 0.42 <sup>b</sup>   | $0.30$ <sup>ab</sup> | 2.01a             | 2.57 <sup>b</sup> | 2.64 <sup>b</sup>    |
| T <sub>7</sub>    | 2.43 <sup>b</sup> | 1.62 $d$            | 1.54 bc              | $0.43$ <sup>ab</sup> | $0.35$ <sup>f</sup> | $0.22$ de            | 1.84 $b$          | 1.97c             | $2.46$ <sup>cd</sup> |
| $T_8$             | 1.97 $d$          | 1.32 <sup>†</sup>   | 1.12 <sup>d</sup>    | $0.38$ <sup>cd</sup> | 0.32 <sup>h</sup>   | 0.189                | 1.17e             | 1.32 e            | 1.68 $f$             |
| T <sub>9</sub>    | 2.18 <sup>c</sup> | 1.68 $cd$           | $1.65$ abc           | $0.38$ <sup>cd</sup> | 0.36 <sup>e</sup>   | 0.23 <sup>d</sup>    | 1.32 $d$          | 2.07c             | $2.54$ bc            |
| SEm $(\pm)$       | 0.041             | 0.025               | 0.117                | 0.015                | 0.001               | 0.007                | 0.035             | 0.067             | 0.04                 |
| CD(0.05)          | 0.121             | 0.074               | 0.349                | 0.045                | 0.003               | 0.022                | 0.104             | 0.202             | 0.12                 |

**Table 4. Content of major nutrients**



**Fig. 1. N uptake by marigold**



**Fig. 2. P uptake by marigold**



**Fig. 3. K uptake by marigold**

#### **3.5 Content and Uptake of Potassium**

The potassium content in the root, shoot and flower varied from 1.17 to 2.07 %, 1.17 to 2.86 % and 1.68 to 2.80 % respectively. The uptake of potassium ranged between 4.59 to 10.81 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 11.83 to 63.14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 19.40 to 140.74 kg ha-<sup>1</sup> in root, shoot and flower respectively (Fig. 3). Treatment receiving sewchar recorded the highest nutrient content and uptake of potassium.

The superior results in sewchar received treatments may be due to the result of increased potassium absorption from the soil, which has improved plant development and increased dry matter generation by the plants in comparison to the other treatments. According to [34], the application of biochar increases K uptake because of its capacity to decrease nutrient leaching and increase nutrient availability. The prolonged and consistent presence K in readily available form and the improved root development brought about by the fertilisers and biochar enhanced the plants' uptake of K. The presence of K nutrients in the amendments may be the cause of the soil's increased K content. Biochar reduces K leaching losses and increases soil K availability due to its comparatively high K content and ability to absorb large amounts of K from soil solutions. The use of biochar leads to an improvement in pH and CEC, which is consistent with the higher nutritional levels. A higher degree of oxidation, an increase in the surface area accessible for adsorbing cations, or a combination of these factors can be attributed to the enhanced CEC. This increase in charge density per unit surface area of organic matter can be measured [35].

# **4. CONCLUSION**

The results of the present study showed that application of sewage sludge, sewage sludge compost and its biochar significantly enhanced the growth, productivity and uptake of marigold plant. When compared to sewage sludge, sewage sludge compost and sewchar the better results were given by sewchar. From the experiment it was revealed that application of SC enhanced the floral parameters such as flower diameter, flower weight and number of flowers per plant. Treatment receiving SC @ 5 t ha-1+ 10 t FYM was found to be the highest in nitrogen uptake and those receiving SC  $@$  10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 5 t FYM registered the highest uptake for P and K. Thus it can be concluded that sewchar application had more benefits to marigold and soil when compared to the application of sewage sludge and sewage sludge compost.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We would like to express our atmost appreciation and thanks to Kerala Agricultural University for financial support of this research project

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Singh M, Singh M, Singh SK. Tackling municipal solid waste crisis in India: Insights into cutting-edge technologies and risk assessment. Science of The Total Environment. 2024;170453.
- 2. Jayakumar Menon V, Palackal, A. Centralized and decentralized approaches to solid waste management–A case study. The Holistic Approach to Environment. 2022;12(4):155-164.
- 3. Głąb T, Żabiński A, Sadowska U, Gondek K, Kopeć M, Mierzwa-Hersztek M, Tabor S. and Stanek-Tarkowska J. Fertilization effects of compost produced from maize, sewage sludge and biochar on soil water retention and chemical properties. Soil and Tillage Research. 2020;197:104493.
- 4. Sichler TC, Montag D, Barjenbruch M, Mauch T, Sommerfeld T, Ehm JH, Adam C. Variation of the element composition of municipal sewage sludges in the context of new regulations on phosphorus recovery in Germany. Environmental Sciences Europe. 2022;34(1):84.
- 5. Shan Y, Lv M, Zuo W, Tang Z, Ding C, Yu Z, Shen Z, Gu C, Bai Y. Sewage sludge application enhances soil properties and rice growth in a salt-affected mudflat soil. Scientific Reports. 2021;11(1):1402.
- 6. Hwang IH, Ouchi Y, Matsuto, T. Characteristics of leachate from pyrolysis residue of sewage sludge. Chemosphere. 2007;68(10):1913-19.
- 7. Chagas JKM, de Figueiredo CC. and Ramos MLG. Biochar increases soil carbon pools: Evidence from a global meta-analysis. Journal of Environmental Management. 2022;305:114403.
- 8. Singh S, Kumar V, Dhanjal DS, Datta S, Bhatia D, Dhiman J, Samuel J, Prasad R and Singh J. A sustainable paradigm of sewage sludge biochar: Valorization, opportunities, challenges and future prospects. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2020;269:122259.
- 9. Tabrizi L, Mohammadi S, Delshad M, Moteshare ZB. Effect of arbuscular<br>mycorrhizal fungi on yield and mycorrhizal fungi on yield and phytoremediation performance of pot marigold (*Calendula officinalis L*.) under heavy metals stress. Int J Phytoremediation. 2015;17(12):1244–52.
- 10. Mahmood-Ul-Hassan M, Yousra M, Saman L, Ahmad R. Floriculture: Alternate non-edible plants for phyto-remediation of

heavy metal contaminated soils. Int J Phytoremediation. 2020;22(7):725–28

- 11. Rehana MR, Joseph, B, Gladis, R. Heavy metal stabilization in sewage sludge composting process. Cur J Appl Sci and Technol*.* 2020;39(19):38-48.
- 12. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. New Delhi, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 1967;498.
- 13. Tang J, Li X, Cui P, Lin J, Zeng RJ, Lin H, Zhou S. Nitrification plays a key role in N2O emission in electric-field assisted aerobic composting. Bioresource Technology. 2020;297:122470.
- 14. Yuan H, Lu T, Huang H, Zhao D, Kobayashi N and Chen Y. Influence of pyrolysis temperature on physical and chemical properties of biochar made from sewage sludge. Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis. 2015;112:284-289.
- 15. Hossain MK, Strezov VK, Yin C, Nelson PF. Agronomic properties of waste water sludge biochar and bioavailability of metals in production of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Chemosphere*.* 2010;78: 1167–71.
- 16. Rehana MR. Heavy metal stabilized sewage sludge compost as a growth medium for ornamentals. MSc (Ag) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2019;245.
- 17. Zheng H, Wang Z, Deng X, Zhao J, Luo Y, Novak J, Herbert S, Xing B. Characteristics and nutrient values of biochars produced from giant reed at different temperatures. Bioresource Technology. 2013;130:463-471.
- 18. Devi P, Saroha AK. Risk analysis of pyrolyzed biochar made from paper mill effluent treatment plant sludge for bioavailability and eco-toxicity of heavy<br>metals. Bioresource Technology. Bioresource Technology. 2014;162:308-315.
- 19. Asokan A. Evaluation of sewage sludge as a growth medium for ornamentals. M.Sc.(Ag) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University. Thrissur. 2017;110.
- 20. Driesen E, Van den Ende W, De Proft M. Saeys W. Influence of environmental factors light, CO2, temperature, and relative humidity on stomatal opening and development: A review. Agronomy. 2020;10(12):1975-84.
- 21. Jabin N. Biochar for carbon sequestration, soil health and crop crop productivity. PhD thesis, Kerala Agricultural University. 2022;309.
- 22. Abbas Z, Ali S, Rizwan M, Zaheer IE, Malik A, Riaz MA, Shahid MR, Rehman MZU and Al-Wabel MI. A critical review of mechanisms involved in the adsorption of organic and inorganic contaminants through biochar. Arabian Journal of Geosciences. 2028;11:1-23.
- 23. Joshi AS. and Barad AV. Effect of N. P and pinching on the nutrient composition and uptake by African marigold. Proceeding of National symposium on 'Indian floriculture in the new millennium. 25-27. 2002;334-335.
- 24. Sharma DP, Patel Manisha and Gupta Nishith. Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and pinching on vegetative growth and floral attributes in African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn*.).* J. Ornamental Hort. 2006;9:25-28.
- 25. Lee J, Kim KH, Kwon EE. Biochar as a catalyst. Renewable Sustain. Energy Rev. 2017;77:70-79.
- 26. Novak JM, Busscher WJ, Laird DL, Ahmedna M, Watts DW, Niandou MA. Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a southeastern coastal plain soil. Soil science. 2009:174(2):105-12.
- 27. Zhao X, Yan X, Wang S, Xing G, Zhou Y. Effects of the addition of rice-straw-based biochar on leaching and retention of fertilizer N in highly fertilized cropland soils. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2013;59(5):771-82.
- 28. Kanthle AK, Lenka NK, Lenka S, Tedia K. Biochar impact on nitrate leaching as influenced by native soil organic carbon in an Inceptisol of central India. Soil and Tillage Research. 2016;157:65-72.
- 29. Dainy MS. Investigations on the efficacy of biochar from tender coconut husk for enhanced crop production. Ph.D. thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2015;245.
- 30. Antonkiewicz J, Kuc A, Witkowicz R, Tabak M. Effect of municipal sewage sludge on soil chemical properties and chemical composition of spring wheat. *Ecological Chemistry and Engineering.*  2019;*26*(3):583-595.
- 31. Kavya SR, Rani B, Aparna B and Gladis R. Ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen release pattern from biochar and biochar blended urea fertilizers in sandy soil. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(8):617-25.
- 32. Fischer D, Glaser B. Management of organic waste. Halle (Germany): Institute

of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences; 2012.

- 33. Yang D, Liu Y, Liu S, Li Z, Tan X, Huang X, Zeng G, Zhou L, Zheng, B. Biochar to improve soil fertility. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 2016;36(2):36-54.
- 34. Nagula S. Technology refinement for biochar production and evaluation of its

effect on soil health and crop productivity. Ph.D. thesis, Kerala Agricultural University. Thrissur. 2017;243.

35. Atkinson CJ, Fitzgerald JD, Hipps NA. Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: A review. Plant Soil. 2010;337:1–18.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

\_ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123781>*